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## Monday 2nd November 2015, Wicklow County Council meeting:

CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, good afternoon members, apologies for the slight delay. The CPG ran over and that delayed us slightly. So, first and foremost, we start with sympathies, I think everybody has their sympathies and has expressed them over the last number of weeks about the tragedy that happened in Carrickmines and our thoughts and prayers go out to all those who lost their lives in that. You have a number of other sympathies.
MS GALLAGHER: Yes, Frank O'Brien, former County Manager of Wicklow County Council, for Martin Breheny, husband of Ailbhe Breheny, corporate affairs. Brigid Murphy, mother-in-law to Tom Culkin, who is general services in the Tinahely area as well as grandmother to Emma Culkin. Kathleen Moore, mother of our colleague Pauline Moore. Maureen Brennan, sister of Tom Gregan, and Elizabeth Murphy, sister of Mary McCarthy, Tinahely library.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Brady, is it a sympathy?
Cllr BRADY: Yes, it is, just in relation to the tragic events in Carrickmines, of which five of the unfortunate victims were from this county, from Bray, Cathaoirleach, just on behalf of Sinn Fein, can we offer our condolences to the families, friends and the wider traveller community. It was a tragic, tragic event, something that I don't think certainly this county hasn't seen anything like it in our history, nor indeed has the State. I know in Bray, the Bray Municipal District, we had a meeting there last week as you are aware Cathaoirleach, and I, as a public representative, obviously whilst passing my condolences, I think lessons need to be learned from it, and I propose that a motion at the meeting in Bray there last week, and just with your indulgence, I would like to propose because I think...
CATHAOIRLEACH: To be fair, this is sympathies right now.
Cllr BRADY: Can we come back to this?
CATHAOIRLEACH: If we have time we will come back to it.
Cllr BRADY: Immediately after sympathies Cathaoirleach, I think it's important that it just isn't talked about that action is taken as well. I would like to...

CATHAOIRLEACH: It won't be directly after sympathies.
Cllr BRADY: I propose this motion here now Cathaoirleach, I don't want to be kicked to touch, can I propose the following motion then Cathaoirleach that Wicklow County Council express... CATHAOIRLEACH: This is sympathies, please, this isn't - you are not going to mix it up with proposals.
Cllr BRADY: Can I come back immediately after sympathies. I am going to propose this then which is my entitlement.

CATHAOIRLEACH: We will stand for sympathies now please.
(A minute's silence was held)

CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, item number one is just - Cllr Snell.
Cllr SNELL: Thanks Cathaoirleach, and I'll be brief. As is the normal members get an opportunity at such times to congratulate sporting organisations, and if I could, since our last full Council meeting, the blue ribbon event in Gaelic football in Wicklow took place between the parish neighbours of St Patrick's Wicklow Town, and my own home club of Rathnew. I just want to put on record first and foremost to St Patrick's, I said it last year and I'll say it again, that they will be back, an extraordinary club doing great, great work around the county town. But I supposed to I must congratulate my own club, Rathnew, for not only rewriting the history books in an historic three in a row, but also for last Sunday week Cathaoirleach, whilst wearing the county colours and the county jersey, they represented Co Wicklow in O'Connor Park in Offaly and beat Edenderry, the Offaly champions. And again next Sunday, they'll be flying the flag for Wicklow, along with Bray Emmets, when they take on the champions of Westmeath, St Loman's in the quarter final of the Leinster senior championship. Thanks Cathaoirleach.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Fox.
Cllr FOX: Thank you Chairman, I would also like to congratulate Rathnew on winning the senior championship, a fantastic double they done this year, intermediate and senior from fantastic club, and it was great to see them. In typical Rathnew fashion, winning by a point at the death against Edenderry down in Offaly. But I also would like to congratulate my own club Kilmacanogue, on winning the junior championship. I haven't been able to say that here since I came into the Council, so I am not going to miss the opportunity. There was great celebrations enjoyed in the village, the whole community got behind the team, and I think there was that much celebrations that there was lads proposing to their girlfriends, there was other lads coming out, there was all sorts of -- lads were losing the run of themselves all together. Maybe the same
happened in Lacken, Gerry last week, but there was one particular person who was there, Shane Vickers, who was on the team last year that was beat in the final. A week after that, he got knocked down and suffered severe head injuries. And he was able to attend the match and I know the captain allowed him to partake in the celebrations which was absolutely fantastic. And it is great to see him back, I know he was a fantastic sportsman, and I just would like to mention also that the GAA, the Leinster Council, made a very generous donation on the day to the Shane Vickers trust of 15,000 euro, and Shane is a tenant of ours, the Local Authority here, and Joe Lane has been working with the Shane Vickers Trust in adapting Shane's house in Kilmacanogue, and the trust has been funding that and for the GAA to make a donation of 15,000 was a fantastic gesture and it just capped off a fantastic day. So thanks.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Fitzgerald.
Cllr FITZGERALD: I just want to congratulate my own club, Arklow Geraldine's in winning the county championship premier football. It's the first time in 43 years we won the championship and these young people have been together since the age of eight and I have no doubt we will be dealing with Rathnew in future years and Wicklow Town. We previously won the championship in '72. I was on a championship team myself just a few years before that. And it gave me great pride that day to see these young people, they gave a display of football that I think has been unequalled in a minor championship final for many, many years. I also want to congratulate Arklow Rock Parnells on winning the county under 16 hurling championship B and it's great to see them coming back into the fray. They have been the most successful team for many years and gone through lean times. So to both teams, I wish them well into the future and I have no doubt both will be back on the senior, in Aughrim, competing in the senior football championship in the years to come.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Thank you. Cllr Walsh.
Cllr WALSH: I would like to extend best wishes to the Rathnew footballers and the Bray Emmets hurlers as they represent Wicklow in Aughrim next Sunday and a big congratulations to Kilmacanogue. If any club deserves a championship win, it's Kilmacanogue. They suffered three final defeats in a four-year period. It goes to show, if you keep plugging away, success will eventually come your way and well done.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Timmins.
Cllr TIMMINS: Speaking of county final day in Aughrim, I think anyone who stayed around late would have seen the best football played that day was the under 14A final, when Baltinglass defeated St Nicholas. I think most of you probably went home to see the rugby, but very good match, Baltinglass won by a point. Secondly congratulations to the Baltinglass Junior B team
who won the county championship on Saturday beating Newtown.

## CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Blake.

Cllr BLAKE: Tinahely did win the under 14 hurling, which is a bit unusual for Tinahely, but they also won the under 16 football, so can I just add that.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay great. Cllr Brady, is it...
Cllr BRADY: Well I want to propose a suspension of standing orders on the issue I was trying to deal with there a few moments ago, Cathaoirleach, just to deal with the whole issue of travellers, travellers' rights and the unfortunate bereavement of ten members of the travelling community. I would like to put forward the motion, which unfortunately was - didn't see fit last week at our Municipal District meeting, and I would like to put it forward here today. Can I propose we have a suspension of standing orders now to deal with that Cathaoirleach.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, have you got a seconder for that proposal?
Cllr LAWLESS: I'll second it.
CATHAOIRLEACH: And it's up to the members. Okay, so, Cllr Casey? Sorry, Cllr Vance. Cllr VANCE: The meeting here is convened at 11 o'clock for the County Development Plan. And I just feel that that's what we are all here for today. And we should be discussing this. Now if there is time left over later on in the afternoon, I don't have any problem at all with discussing this. But I think we should go right into the Development Plan meeting now and let's see how we get on in regard to that. If there is time available later on, we could avail of this, but to look for it now, I think it's wrong, because most people have made a special effort to be here at this particular time in the morning and I think for this reason, and I think for my own point of view, I think it would be better if we just went into the Development Plan and trying to get that out of the way before we discuss this.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay. So...
Cllr BRADY: Cathaoirleach, can I just say, people were here at 11 o'clock, this meeting didn't start until a quarter past eleven. In fairness, the Development Plan is item number eight on the agenda, so I am proposing to spend five minutes, if even to discuss this issue, an important issue, so I am proposing we go ahead, spend five minutes, if even, to take this motion I am putting forward, that I think is hugely important and I understand other members trying to kick into touch, they may not see the importance or the significance of it, discussing such an important issue, but I would propose that we deal with it now Cathaoirleach.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Behan.
Cllr BEHAN: I want to propose an amendment to that proposal that it be taken at a quarter to five this evening for ten minutes.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Have we got a seconder for that? Okay, would you agree with that. So we don't have to have a vote.
Cllr BRADY: If we are guaranteed to deal with it at ten to five, I'll agree with that.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay. Great. Okay, so item number one, to confirm and sign -- sorry Gerry.
Cllr O'NEILL: The Notice of Motions here, I would be looking for a suspension of standing orders, if we could even allocate a half an hour to go through some of the Notices of Motions. Some of these are out and about now for the last 12 months. So every -- at every Council meeting there is extra Notice of Motions being put on the floor and we are not getting to any of them, so if we could even allocate half an hour to go through some them today, that's what I am proposing.
CATHAOIRLEACH: I do recognise that there are Notice of Motions there sitting for too long, but unfortunately obviously if people keep talking at meetings about other things, we never actually manage to get to them, so whether or not we have a meeting dealing with just the Notice of Motions.

Cllr O'NEILL: In fairness, there are plenty of these that are straightforward.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Today is the day we have to get through the Development Plan, but I suppose if there is a seconder for your motion, we can open it to the floor, if the members think we should give a half an hour to Notice of Motions, that's up to the members. Is there a seconder for that proposal?
Cllr O'BRIEN: Seconded.
Cllr VANCE: Chairman, it's up to the members to decide, if they get to through the agenda here, then there is no problem with this. It's up to the members to decide how quickly we get through the agenda, that will indicate that will allow for the motions to -- and this is the problem as you are well saying, is that some members are so long-winded here, it's unbelievable, and then they're talking - not Cllr O'Neill to be fair to them, they talk about Notice of Motion not being met. It's the Councillors as a body that's causing this particular problem. Let's get into the agenda and let's -- if we can get through it as quickly as we possibly can, then it would leave time to do exactly what the Councillors are asking for, it would leave plenty of time for that. It's up to ourselves to decide this.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Absolutely it is, I am just asking members to do here, I need a little bit of direction? There is a proposal and it's been seconded.
Cllr BRADY: I propose that we take Notice of Motions at 4.30 and deal with them at that stage.
Cllr VANCE: What if we are not through the Development Plan at that stage? Which is more
important?
CATHAOIRLEACH: So I mean personally, I would like to get the Development Plan done, I think a huge amount of work has gone into it. That takes priority today, that's my personal opinion. I propose we just go on with the agenda and obviously we have agreed to have the 4.50 notice, or the suspension from Cllr Brady, that would be my proposal if there is a seconder for that? Okay, seconded. Do we need to have an actual vote?
Cllr BRADY: What was the proposal?
CATHAOIRLEACH: That we just proceed with the meeting as is and we have your suspension at the 4.50? So if that's not agreed, we will actually have a vote on it then? It is agreed, okay, thank you for that.
Cllr LAWLESS: At protocol today, that is down on our agenda to discuss about what we can put in place to deal with motions was something I brought up. We will deal with that at protocol today and bring it back to the county meeting the next time around.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, that's fine, thank you Nicola. Great, okay, so to confirm and sign the minutes of the Special Meeting of Wicklow County Council held on Monday the 28th of September. Do I have a proposer for that? Proposed John Snell and seconded by Sylvester Burke. Item number two, to confirm and sign the minutes of the meeting held on Monday 5th of October. Proposer for that, Cllr Lawless, and a seconder for that, Cllr Vance. Item number three to consider the disposal in Kindlestown - do we have a proposer for that. Proposed by Cllr Lawless and seconded by Cllr O'Neill. Gerry, Gerry Walsh sorry. Okay, is that agreed? Item number four, to consider the disposal of the freehold interest in 20 Liam Mellows Avenue, Arklow, Co Wicklow. Do I have a proposer for that? Proposed by Pat Fitzgerald and seconded by Cllr Annesley. Is that agreed? Number five consider the disposal of freehold interest in the property known as 31 Liam Mellows Avenue. Proposed by Cllr Fitzgerald and seconded by Cllr Bourke. 6, to consider the report in accordance with Part 8 of the planning and development regulations for Knockroe bend re-alignment, Cllr Timmins?
Cllr TIMMINS: Thank you Chairman, this item has been brought to our local area meeting, our Municipal District meeting a number of times over the last few months, and it's what I would call long overdue. It's removing a very, very dangerous bend, almost 90 degree dangerous bend, it has a history of accidents, removing it from the N81 about half a mile South of Hollywood Cross and hopefully it's the start of future major improvement works on the N81 including hopefully someday soon a totally new N81 from Hollywood Cross to Tallaght. I would be happy to propose this.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Ruttle.

Cllr RUTTLE: As Cllr Timmins said, this has been discussed at our local area meeting, on the agenda for an awful long time, one of the most dangerous bends imaginable on the N81, there were issues of concern there by a number of landowners and I have spoken to landowners in the area, and I think they're all appreciative of the overall need and the good of the area to go forward. And provided that certain housekeeping matters are adhered to at the development stage regarding entrances on various pieces of land, it will run with them all right. There were a couple of items we thought we could have done different, regarding the stop/go system and diversion, but in the particular situation we found at those bends, it doesn't work, so we are going to have to go with the temporary road surface in the field and restoration of the field as long as that's done to a standard, it's prime agricultural land, that I have no problem in seconding the proposal as well to go forward with that.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Can I call in the Director of Services, Sean.
DIRECTOR QUIRKE: Just to give a little further background, the Manager's Report has been considered by the members at their Municipal District meeting on the 19th of October. The submissions have been teased out. We have got answers from the Kildare regional design office, and the members at that meeting unanimously agreed to recommend to the full Council that the Part 8 be adopted.
SPEAKER: That's great. Let's have a vote on that.
(A vote was taken)

MS GALLAGHER: 28 for, and four not present.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Great, thank you. On to item number seven to propose the temporary overdraft accommodation of 20 million for the financial year ending 31st of December 2016. Proposed by Cllr Bourke. And seconded by Cllr Vance. Is that agreed? Agreed, okay. So item number eight, is the reason why we are mainly here today, the draft County Development Plan and I suppose firstly could I actually congratulate the staff of the Planning Section who are responsible for this. I think as the members have seen, there is a huge amount of work gone into the development of this proposed draft and I would like to thank the Director of Services, Des, Sorcha, Steven, Ashling and Leanora for all the work and time and effort they've put into this. I would also like if I could just to thank the members for -- I know the first meeting I had of this Council back in 2004, the previous members had actually left the final decisions for the Development Plan that year over to the first meeting of the Council, so I think if you can imagine what it was like coming in here on the first day, the meeting actually finished at 2
o'clock in the morning, and there were bits of pieces of paper being thrown around the place with people sort of saying we should put this in and rezone a couple of acres of land here, there and everywhere. It was chaos, so I know the protocol put in a -- everybody agreed that we would have our proposals in by Wednesday, so that the officials would have time to actually do a full review and they'd provide us with the document, as they have done on Friday afternoon with a response to all those proposals. I do know that one or two Councillors have mentioned to me that they had actually proposals in before Wednesday, but for whatever reason they didn't get a response to those, so I am happy to just let those Councillors put those forward now. And Cllr Fox, you just want to talk - you are circulating one there now.
Cllr FOX: Just to get some clarification Chairman, you mentioned that everybody agreed to put their proposals in before last Wednesday. That's not my understanding. My understanding is today is the day that we officially make proposals. That it was an informal arrangement to allow the planning staff an opportunity to look at our proposals. But I certainly didn't agree to exclude anybody who wishes to make a proposal today, that they should be allowed do so. What we are doing today is actually putting it out on public display. We are not agreeing the County Development Plan today. We are agreeing to put it out on public display and - so I think the sooner people make their proposals and that's why I am in favour of anybody that has proposals, that they are made today. It gives the public an opportunity, rather than coming back early next year, and bringing forward new proposals; but I certainly did not agree to putting in proposals last week. My understanding is proposals can be made today. Now maybe the rest of the -CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Fox, I am just wondering what did you think the Wednesday deadline was for?

Cllr FOX: Well I put in what I was thinking, my train of thought to get opinion from Sorcha and the team but that's not making a formal proposal last Wednesday. That's what the meaning the meeting is for today.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay. Cllr Winters.
Cllr WINTERS: Thanks Cathaoirleach. I would actually like to agree with Cllr Fox. I also thought that the Wednesday deadline - and I don't have a proposal I want to put in today, but I do believe that the outline of the County Development Plan is that up to today proposals can be made, and I don't see if there is somebody here who wants to make one, why they can't do it. I think the deadline that was put in was to facilitate an orderly way of doing it, but it's not to exclude something that's of importance today and I would like to support him in that.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, Councillor Nolan.
Cllr NOLAN: I would like to thank Cllr Fox and Cllr Winters, I actually do have a proposal to
put in today. It arrived to me at the last minute, otherwise I would have had it in far earlier and I believe it's of great benefit and as I said all that has to be done is that it be put out on public display, this isn't set in stone or anything, I know it has to be analysed and so on, but had I known I wouldn't be able to put it in, obviously as soon as I got it, but it did arrive to me at the last minute, and I would like to just agree with Cllr Fox and Cllr Winters. They definitely - I believe it should be allowed to be accepted today.

## CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Behan.

Cllr BEHAN: Well Chairman, I would support what Cllr Fox has said here. And I would do so because like this document is going to govern everything that happens in planning in this county for the next five to six years. And if Councillors have suggestions that they didn't maybe have worked out last Wednesday, but they have thought about it since or Cllr Nolan has said something he has become aware of since then, I think it's vitally important that their voices are heard here today. But not only that, when the draft plan goes on display, at the end of the day, it's the people's Development Plan. And I would just like to make absolutely clear, my intention of keeping a very open mind on whatever the people respond to the draft plan. People may be affected by decisions that are put into this draft plan, they may agree, they may disagree, they may have other suggestions. So we are at the very beginning of this whole process, but I wouldn't mind clarification that I am correct that when the draft plan does eventually go on display, if anybody puts in a submission about anything, we are entitled to consider it and we are entitled to amend the plan if we so wish. That would be my understanding but I think it's time to get that nailed down today too.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, I'll ask the Manager to come in at the end of this just to clarify the process. Cllr Ruttle.
Cllr RUTTLE: Yes Cathaoirleach, I would support Cllr Fox's view on this that it was not something that was a legal document that was issued that it had to be done on the Wednesday. It is open to people to bring forward motions on the day. Also, it is only at a stage of display. It's an opportunity to give the public an opportunity to comment on what might come forward. I don't think there will be an avalanche of anything arriving today, but I certainly think we must keep it as inclusive as possible and give the opportunity to the public - the public an opportunity to see as much as they can and what's coming forward. I would certainly support the idea that proposals can be made today or at a later stage as well in the County Development Plan process. That's the way I have always seen it and I am here since the 80s, so thank you Chairman. CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Vance.

Cllr VANCE: Thank you Chairman. First of all, like yourself, could I compliment the planning
staff on the production of this document. It's absolutely first class. Certainly better than I have ever seen it happening here and I have gone through a few of these over the years, and I think they've done an absolutely tremendous job on this and I think we should appreciate the type of time and effort and work that's gone into it, even the fact that even last Wednesday when people had put in proposals that in most cases they were dealt with by Friday as well. A lot of work has gone into this. But I would agree with various other speakers in regard to this: That this is a plan just to go out on public display and I think as much information as we possibly can, we should be putting out to the public for dissemination from the members of the public in regard to this, and any information I think is much better that it comes from this meeting than the next meeting, so from my point of view, I don't have any proposals to go through today or anything like that, but I don't think there is going to be a huge amount from other members either, but let's deal with it as they go along today, but my attitude is similar to Cllr Behan's there, let's get any proposals that are there, let's get them examined and out to the public and the final decision will be made when the plan goes out after that. This is not the final choice in regard to that. I feel that the information should go out there to the public and now is the time to do it. Thank you. CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Fortune.

Cllr FORTUNE: Thanks Cathaoirleach. Again I would like to thank the staff for all the work they've put in to date in getting us to where we are with the various reports. I would - I am interested in what Cllr Behan raised because I have asked that question of the planners that when we put the draft out today for review by the public, the follow-ups then, what happens there after, the input thereafter I think is vital. Also I put in a couple of submissions that are in the amendments we have today, but I put in a lot of other stuff as well because while I fully acknowledge that the County Development Plan is a Development Plan, I just think that, and maybe it's a discussion what I am going to say now for another day, I think it's too narrow. For me it ignores, depends how you define development, there is lots of issues in regards to housing and various investments in infrastructure and different things that are vital. I think some of the public, I would say, are a bit confused about what the Development Plan is as well. I don't think people realise it's so narrow at the end of the day, and I know I'll be told well there is various committees and various things for looking at some of the stuff I raised, but I believe the County Development Plan should be the Bible and I think it should be a bit broader, but maybe that's for a different discussion and maybe it needs to be changed somewhere else, maybe it should be part of proper Local Government reform or whatever, I don't know. I think it doesn't cover everything that should be covered. It doesn't deal with issues that are real out there for people. My main point is that I would like clear clarification about how we proceed from here, and the
input we have when the public feed it back in and how we can tweak or make changes. I think that's very, very important going forward.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, Cllr Bourke.
Cllr BOURKE: Cathaoirleach, it's my recollection from the previous County Development Plan when we were at this stage, it was left up to the Chairman's discretion whether he accepted late amendments or late proposals or not. I recall that a re-zoning proposal came in late, but it was rejected by the Chairman at that time. In my opinion, if it's not dealing with re-zoning issues and the issues are fairly innocuous, there is no reason why you shouldn't accept a late submission. CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay. Cllr Matthews.
Cllr MATTHEWS: Thanks Chairman, I don't have any problem with somebody making an amendment today or submitting a new amendment but I would ask we take the time and it's submitted in a similar format, that the reason for the proposal is given and that it's clear what part of the draft plan is being amended so we have time to discuss it. Thank you Chairman.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, Director of Services, do you want to come in there. And maybe if you could outline the process so everybody is clear...
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: I'll let Sorcha outline the process, she is bound to make less mistakes than I.

CATHAOIRLEACH: And Cllr Behan's request, maybe you will also cover that.
MS WALSH: Cllr Behan and Fox are right, this is a draft plan going on display and the next stage now is for the public to make submissions, they can make submission on anything in the plan or anything they feel is missing from the plan. They can address any matter at all in their submission, and the Chief Executive will prepare a report on all of those submissions, analysing them, breaking them down, looking at where they might slot into the plan or doing full analysis of them and making a recommendation to you then which will be around next February or March and submitting a report to you all setting out his opinion on all of the submissions that have been received. The Chief Executive at that stage can recommend amendments if he feels any of those submissions lead to the need to make changes to the plan, and at the meeting that you will come back to probably around April next year, you can decide to accept or reject or not take any of those recommendations of the Chief Executive and you can propose your own amendments as well if you wish. Any amendments that are made at that meeting in April or May, whether they come from the Chief Executive or from yourselves, if any of them are material, so if there are significant changes to the draft plan, then they have to go on public display, so material change for example would be the zoning of additional land. So there will be a second display period, if you choose to make any material amendments which will be over the
summer next year and your final decision will be in September next year, whether to accept those amendments or not. There is potentially two more stages of public input.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: Okay, Cathaoirleach, I just want to comment on the idea of bringing things before the meeting. You might recall two plans ago, it was very unseemly, I think it brought the county into disrepute. We had people in the public gallery passing notes to Councillors, who were actually making amendments including re-zoning there and then at the meeting. Where the other members had no chance to see what was happening, they had no chance to get advice from the executive to it. So coming up to the last plan, the Chairman, in fact the SPC discussed this and the Chairman of the SPC and myself thought this was a way to do it, an orderly way of putting stuff in in advance, getting the advice of the planners and I would have thought now in the last eight years, we have done an awful lot of town plans, local area plans, County Plans and the whole thing has been -- I don't want to use the word collaboration, but it has been a collaborative effort between the planners and the members. And the planners have always been available to write up whatever the members want, and we have been quite happy to write up things we don't agree with ourselves to get it out there. The whole idea was to make the whole process as orderly as possible. It's not to take away members' rights to put things - to make amendments, and that being the logic of it. An orderly progression that we can work together. I would hope and if we are not working together, someone let me know, or someone tell me, but I would have thought the planning staff are there to work with members on anything they want put forward. We might try and talk you out of it, but at the end of the day, if the members want to do it, we will draft it, do the maps, so we don't want these sort of things - because it wasn't very seemly behaviour and I think it did bring the county into disrepute. I wasn't in planning at the time but I remember watching aghast. That's the background of that and we certainly don't want to stifle any amendments from members. In fact quite the opposite, I like the members to be completely involved in the plan.

Then just to comment, I suppose my own rant on this, to Cllr Fortune, the plan is too narrow. To a certain extent the plan is too narrow because you feel it's such a statutory based plan, such a big document and generally well presented, it's a pity that you can't have all council policies into it. Having said that, and this is my rant, the first plan we had was about this thing and was on that old paper that Cllr Behan would remember well from producing stuff in classrooms, like blotting paper and it was about this thick. Anyone could read it properly in an hour or two. The plans have got bigger and bigger, we have got more and more statutory stuff to do, and I don't know if any of you got through appendix 3, I know one member certainly went through appendix

3 very, very deeply, even found mistakes and thank you very much for that. But it's almost impossible for members to get through the plan as it is. I mean at this stage, it must be about six inches thick and the paper is much thinner. To actually broaden the plan out Councillor, I think would just make it completely and utterly bloated. I see your . but I think at the end of the day, it is under the Planning Act, it is for fiscal planning and for development and it's not everything you would like to see happening in a town. We can do that in town plans because it's very, very local to the area, but unfortunately in County Plans we can't do it. I think that's most of what I want to say. I think I have answered I think anyone had asked.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, great, so let's start with the proposals, so amendment number one is Christopher Fox. Do you want to propose it and then a seconder, because I don't think there is one there showing.
Cllr FOX: Thank you Chairman. I just feel that Bray, the Bray town Development Plan is probably going to start after this County Development Plan process. It will certainly face challenges to identify lands that are suitable for development, given that it's projected to grow by so much. But also within the Municipal District, we have the urban areas, or the settlements of Kilmacanogue and Enniskerry, which I suppose have their own challenges and need protection. I think one thing I would feel strongly about is that Bray, Enniskerry and Kilmacanogue wouldn't coalesce or join up. I think Enniskerry and Kilmacanogue have their own unique character, and I wouldn't like to see them become part of a greater urban area. So I think the best way of doing this is to perhaps prepare a Bray Municipal area plan where if you are looking at the big picture, you can offer more protection to Kilmacanogue and Enniskerry, while identifying future areas for the town of Bray. So I would like to propose -- then an objective would be put in that a Bray Municipal area, Local Area Plan shall be provided to replace the existing Bray Town Development Plan and Bray Environs Local Area Plan which shall encompass all settlements in the Municipal District, including Kilmacanogue and Enniskerry CATHAOIRLEACH: Do you have a seconder? Seconded by Cllr Vance. The CE has no objection with that, so is that just agreed? Agreed, great. Amendment number two, Cllr Ruttle. Do you just want to put on your light there.
Cllr RUTTLE: This is based on what we introduced before called the rural clusters, where it was defined that -- thought that certain smallish settlements, a crossroads, etcetera and various areas of Wicklow would be suitable for some development for housing development for rural people in those areas. Now the reality on the ground is that we would find that some of these clusters are nearer to some of the larger villages, and there are people we would come across in our work who would actually have property or land within the cluster area, but would be in the larger
villages themselves or children. And this would have blocked them from actually moving from the larger villages to that, and to maximise the possibility of rural applicants, and to take up the option there for the planning permission in that area and also to reflect the reality on the ground of the people who live in various large villages but yet because of the proximity of rural cluster would actually have access to land there, but might not have access at all in the larger villages, to do that. I see here the initial response does not support the reintroduction in the plan, has not been successful. I would contend because something has not universally worked does not mean we should abolish it. It should always be there to retain the maximum potential for people who live in rural areas of Wicklow to have go at planning permission. Also people feel if they're living in large villages and a hamlet or a cluster or whatever you want to call it, it might be only a mile and a half or two or three miles away from them, that they can be categorised in large villages as urbanised people, whereas they view themselves as part of the general rural community. On that basis and on the personal knowledge I would have of these villages and the clusters in the catchment area, I am proposing we retain the clusters. People say they haven't worked. That might be the case in some cases, it might not in others, but it's not a legitimate reason to abolish them and also there is people from the level 7 areas move into those clusters and build there, as presumably with the original intention is a more desirable thing than living in the open countryside, if they could live in the cluster as well. That's my proposal.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Do you have a seconder?
Cllr RUTTLE: I think Cllr Timmins.
Cllr TIMMINS: Just briefly, for anyone who follows rural planning, like I have done and Cllr Ruttle over the last long number of years, people in large villages are probably the hardest done by in terms of the restrictions of the County Development Plan offers. A lot of people in large villages have rural connections, but because they're simply residents of large village and the large village can be fairly small now, some fairly small large villages if you look at the number of houses in them, in a lot of ways they have a lot of rural characteristics. I would support the amendment on that basis that people in large villages are very, very restricted at the moment, except the success and the take up of rural clusters has been very, very limited. But just because it's limited I don't think it is reason enough to abolish it, if it even facilitates one or two people, it's worth retaining.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Blake.
Cllr BLAKE: A number of the Councillors here have expressed their thanks to the staff for the wonderful work they've done over the last, best part of a year now in regards to the County Development Plan. And there was a huge amount of work went into it, we had at the outset
when they went around the to various different locations around the county and engaged with the general public, equally so we had a number of workshops and these are one of the issues that came up in the workshop, was the clusters. There was some occasions where it worked in a small number of areas, but one of the big issues down through the years is that the people seen this land was now zoned and suddenly where a site probably was never considered for a house, all of a sudden we had a raft of people looking to charge exorbitant prices for sites in rural areas that was never designed for it. We did have workshops in regard to it and my understanding it was unanimously agreed that we would exclude the clusters from the County Development Plan. That's my understanding of it and I think there was a lot of discussion went into it on that particular day in question, but not all members were at the workshops, but I just have to say in regards to it, that as one of the people who was looking for these workshops to take place, in order to give the members of the Council an opportunity to put forward our views on these issues, this is one thing that did get a lot of airing at this particular point and time and my understanding it was agreed at that meeting but nevertheless, as has been said here, it's up to the members to decide one way or the other what they want to do.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Des do you want to come in on this?
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: I suppose that's one of the problems. A lot of these nothing whatsoever has happened in the vast majority of them. In fact all the ones in Cllr Ruttle's old area, I don't think there has been any houses built on any of them Councillor. I know in say Cllr Blake's old area, that's probably the most successful part has been three or four of them where they've had it. He is right, there is at least one or two that I know of where there has been houses outside the boundaries of the cluster, because from what I have been told the landowner says the land inside that's zoned is too valuable to sell and they're selling lands outside the cluster. They're a mixed blessing. They came in the 90s to tackle what was seen as a huge refusal rate for one-off houses and there was a committee done. A couple of people went around the county in a few days and nominated these ones based on their experience, as well as Councillors suggesting places where they should be done. It wasn't a huge scientific exercise done on picking these in the first place, or the boundaries of them, but I still feel they've been that successful and it's not something I am going to talk against for too long, but I would have thought it's as useful out of the plan as in the plan.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, so the proposal is put forward, it's seconded, is it agreed? Agreed, okay. Amendment number three. Okay, sure, three, six and 12 are all pretty similar on the same item, so if we can take them all together, so Cllr Timmins, do you want to...
Cllr TIMMINS: I'll speak on number three first. I think the planners are pretty much in
agreement here. I am a little bit nervous when I see permanent native resident for somebody trying to buy a house in a large rural town, so I think the definition of permanent native resident what, the planners meant was three years and they've clarified that in the wording, so I am happy to agree with the wording proposed by the planners that defines it as three years. Normally when I think of permanent native resident, I think of ten years, but three years has been spelt out in the planner's definition, so that's okay.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, that one is seconded by Cllr Cullen, isn't it, so do you want to move on Des and...
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: Do you want to take that one now?
CATHAOIRLEACH: Do you want to take six now? So that's formerly proposed and seconded, is it agreed? Agreed, okay, fine. So on to number six.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: It's a similar sort of thing on the large villages.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Can you just hit your button there.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: That's an either or thing, this is more the qualifications of who can... CATHAOIRLEACH: We will come back to it.

DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: If the members prefer we go through the list, I thought these were similar.
Cllr TIMMINS: I think it makes sense if I deal with villages rather than going in and out of it, let me deal with the ones, three, five, six and I think it's 12.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, yeah, if you want to do it that way, it's okay. Number five then, do you want to hit your button please.
Cllr TIMMINS: Number five, this basically is to retain Grangecon as a small village, as it stands in the moment in the County Development Plan. As I pointed out that in the last whatever six years if anything the village has contracted, to me it doesn't make sense to upgrade it to a large village. One of the points in the planners' response is it's considered a 20 houses target to the village would give a significant boost to the village. Under the old plan, there was a target of 15 extra houses and between 2006 and 2011, there was no houses built. So saying making it a large village and giving it 20 houses as opposed to a small village of 20 houses isn't going to help it one iota. It didn't get one extra house. Also, Grangecon has no sewage or water apart from a limited area of Council housing where there is water and an old sewage plant, but the village itself, which is a total of approximately between 40 and 50 houses, doesn't have water or sewage, so to me it makes no sense to upgrade it to a large village given that, if anything, the character of the village is actually declined a little bit in the last number of years, and to say that upgrading it to a large village will increase its likelihood of expanding, I am all for villages expanding, but
changing that grade to a large village is not going to help it expand and I propose, based on the character of the village and the way it has been, and in the existing plan it is a small village, it's the only one that's been upgraded and it mystifies me as to why it was. I propose it stays as a small village.
CATHAOIRLEACH: That's officially seconded by Cllr Blake? Yeah. Do you want to speak into that?

DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: I'll just say the reason that we put it there is it's the assets that the village has are the same as other large villages. It doesn't mean an awful lot at the end of the day. It would loosen up the number of people who could apply to build in Grangecon. But at the end of the day, it's not a huge matter of one way or the other, but it would loosen it up more for more people to live there. That's all.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Behan.
Cllr BEHAN: Chairman this is one of the very few occasions that I can ever remember where a suggestion to loosen up the possibility of people living in the smaller, I suppose, rural areas is being promoted by the officials and objected to by Councillors. Now I actually think that's a very good proposal, as in the Development Plan. And I certainly wouldn't agree with what Cllr Timmins is saying. Apart from anything else, it could have detrimental effects, his comments really are saying if there isn't sufficient housing there at the moment, well, whatever he said, some services have closed, therefore we kind of forget about it then and just kind of agree that that's the status it's going to remain. Whereas I feel we should be allowing for future development in places like that and Barndarrig and Coolboy. So I wouldn't be convinced now by what Cllr Timmins has said and I certainly wouldn't agree with him.
Cllr TIMMINS: Cllr Behan, I would like to point out that the -- I have proposals in here to loosen the possibility who can buy in large villages and small villages, and I brought this proposal to the Development Plan in our initial meetings back in March and I was told that the planners would look at loosening up the qualifications of who could buy in small and large villages. What's come out of the Development Plan is they're not actually being loosened up, as you will see from my next couple of amendments. I am all for allowing people to buy houses in large and small villages. I live in that small village myself. My neighbours either side are from Dublin. Under the existing plan, they couldn't buy their houses. I am trying to get it that someone from even in Co Wicklow could buy a house there. I am trying to loosen it up that small villages develop. I am very, very conscious of the fact that small villages in West Wicklow have not developed. Like the other side of the old N9 towns and villages in Kildare, like Calverstown, Carrowmore, which would be of similar character to Grangecon, now have a
couple of hundred houses, they went too much the other way. I am all for promoting small villages to expand in every way possible and I have amendments in there to do that. I have spoken many times on the fact that we have far too many restrictions on who can buy a house. I am from Baltinglass, under the old plan, I couldn't buy a house in Grangecon if a builder sells it. To me that's daft. Baltinglass is a level five town, someone from a level five can't buy in a small village. I am trying to allow people buy houses in those villages. Grangecon whether it's small or large doesn't make any difference because I am asking who can buy in a small village gets opened up as well.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Behan, is that a proposal that we accept the...
Cllr BEHAN: I would propose we accept what the planners have said.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Do I have a seconder for that proposal? So Pat Doran is seconding that. Any other proposals on this item? No. Then we go for a vote on it.
Cllr BEHAN: Which are we voting on?
CATHAOIRLEACH: Yeah, we would be voting on the amendment. Cllr Timmins' amendment.
(A vote was taken)

MS GALLAGHER: That's 15 for, 14 against and three not present.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, moving on to number six. Cllr Timmins again.
Cllr TIMMINS: This is about -- in the current plan, there is no proposal for housing occupancy for a multi-house development. So if you see on page 40, there is just a paragraph down at the bottom of page 40 stating what the control is. And it doesn't refer to multi-house development. Now I think the planners have recognised this in their response. The only -- I am happy to agree with the planners' response, the only thing I take issue with is the planners are putting in an extra restriction, and this is my whole point, over and above what's in the current County Development Plan. The planners are saying you must be a resident of three years duration or employment within 15 kilometres of the large village for the $50 \%$ county growth. Now at the moment you can be from outside 15 kilometres. I think you can be from different levels of the hierarchy within Co Wicklow. I am happy to go with the planners' proposal, but I would take out within 15 kilometres of the -- in the second part, $50 \%$ applicant purchases must be within 15 kilometres. CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, that's seconded by ... yeah, Shay Cullen. Would you like to speak into that. Nobody else showing.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: Cathaoirleach, there is a long history of the restrictions in living in rural

Co Wicklow, including the rural towns and villages. And this was again part of the workshop that was held at the time. And I suppose there was a fear that people in the villages wouldn't be able to compete for housing there, and that's why this figure of 15 kilometres was chosen. I think Cllr Timmins was right, under the old scheme there was a quota for regional growth and we were trying to loosen it up and that was probably a mistake in retrospect, so we have no problem going with a $50 \%$ regional growth. We think probably as a transition at least you should protect the other $50 \%$ should be from someone within the localities, 10 miles or make it 25 kilometres, but rather than having all of the village open season for everywhere in the county, we think it would be worthwhile having some sort of radius. At the workshop, that was agreed on, 15 kilometres, but it's - I don't think we have to be dogmatic about that. But it might be worthwhile in protecting locals, to give them a chance to compete in the market by having a certain amount restricted.

Cllr TIMMINS: I would point out that at the moment it's only $25 \%$ there is a restriction on. You have $50 \%$ county growth and the old definition of county growth allows anyone from outside level one to four. That includes most of the county could buy. Your restriction of putting 15 kilometres for $50 \%$ is making it more restrictive. I thought when I brought this up in March that we were going to loosen the restrictions. I don't know, maybe other members might have some comment to make, 15 kilometres is certainly way too narrow for a large village, who can buy a house in a large village. Whether we go with open market, county, just within Co Wicklow, or we go back to the below level 4, level five to nine, or we extend it to 25 kilometres, I am willing to listen if other members have any comments.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Nobody else is showing.
Cllr TIMMINS: Yeah, no, that's fine, then I'll stay with my original proposal to remove the 15 kilometres.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, and that's seconded then by Cllr Cullen, okay. So is that agreed? DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: Hang on, can I suggest for clarity now, you are leaving a single house as is proposed there by the Chief Executive.

Cllr TIMMINS: Single removed to -- remove to 15 -- remove the 15 kilometres in single houses as well, in both the proposals.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Is that agreed?
Cllr MATTHEWS: What is the Director's recommendation?
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: I don't have a vote. What I can say was, I'll just repeat, we had a workshop on this and this is what came out of the workshop, so we repeated it here, we don't have strong feelings one way or the other, I am just repeating what was spoken at the workshop.

People in the immediate vicinity.
Cllr VANCE: Chairman, could I just propose that we go to 30 kilometres, at least that gives that's a reasonable amount of distance from the particular area and it does give an indication rather than the whole county, you know, I think 30 kilometres as a compromise, I think that would be acceptable to most members.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Do you have a seconder for that? Seconded by Pat Fitzgerald. Cllr Timmins you accept that, okay. Is that agreed then, that proposal of going to 30? Agreed. Okay. Let's go then to number 12 please Councillor Timmins you are on again.
Cllr TIMMINS: This is similar except we are applying it to the large villages. And I suppose what I was saying was currently the restriction is that you - it's level 6 to 10 who can buy a house in a small village, whereas I was proposing that go to level 5 to 10 . Now, again, following on what we have just done, and maybe in the interests of some kind of consistency, I could go that we change that 15 kilometres to 30 kilometres, just like we have done for the large villages? CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, do you have a seconder, it's seconded by Shay Cullen. DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: The other thing we changed on this is you are now taking away the opportunity for anyone living in level 1 to 4, so that's - that would be from Bray down to Blessington, isn't that right? Now aren't allowed to build in small villages. The proposal we had was to allow basically anyone who was in a large town, who was within a certain radius of the village would be allowed to build there as well. So it wasn't - I think again in the workshop, the intention was that we weren't going to actually exclude people because they lived in a big town. It was basically more a radius from where the town was. So I am not sure is that your -- was that a conscious decision of yours, or -- because obviously it's a matter for members to decide these things, but do you consciously want to make sure that people who lived in these settlements wouldn't be allowed to build a house in a small village?
Cllr TIMMINS: No, I mean all I was trying to do, at the moment levels 1 to 5 can't build a house in a small village.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: But in the proposed draft plan, it allowed anyone from any settlement, provided they were within that radius of the village.
Cllr TIMMINS: 15 kilometres strikes me as being too small to someone, for someone... DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: Take Ballycoogue, what you would be saying is you are excluding anyone from Arklow living in Ballycoogue.
Cllr TIMMINS: I am not proposing that, no.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: That's what you are proposing. I am only trying to question, was this... Cllr TIMMINS: I don't want to do that, because at the moment someone from Arklow and
someone at a lower level, down to level 5 is excluded.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: I am just saying what was on the proposed draft plan that you have had was loosening it up to people from every settlement in Wicklow provided they were from... Cllr TIMMINS: I agree, someone from Arklow should be allowed buy a house in Ballycoogue. DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: You don't intend the one to four to be excluded then.

Cllr TIMMINS: Maybe the radius would allow someone from Arklow buy in Ballycoogue.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: That was in our proposal.
Cllr TIMMINS: The 15 kilometres though for me is too short.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: It was ten kilometres what we proposed and again that came from the workshop.
Cllr TIMMINS: The proposal here that the planners have recommended is 15 kilometres for multi-house development.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: That was a typo. It was a - what was agreed in the workshop at the time was 15 kilometres for people living in the county for three years and the small villages people living in the county for ten years, ten kilometres.
Cllr TIMMINS: Are you saying on the multi-house development that that's a typo within 15 kilometres, that you take out the 15 -- take out the 15 kilometres on the multi house development?
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: Sorry, let Sorcha, I am getting mixed up. It was - $50 \%$ was living in the county three years and 15 kilometres and $50 \%$, ten kilometres in ten years. This was an effort to loosen it up at the time, we were going from a position of being very, very restricted. It was done on a workshop. It's really a matter for the members to decide.
Cllr TIMMINS: That's not loosening it up, that's making it more restrictive. For the single house development at the moment, if you are level six to nine, six to eight, you can buy a single house development in the small village, the restriction is you are allowed to build six to ten. But you are saying there you have to be within ten kilometres and a permanent native resident, that's more restrictive than what's there at the moment.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: Ultimately it's a matter for the members. When I came into this Councillor was to question did you actually intend to exclude from levels one to four? Which, and you agreed you didn't intend to exclude them. That's all I was asking you. Whatever the members decide on a radius or whatever, it's a matter for the members. We did loosen it up. Cllr TIMMINS: I am making the point that you didn't loosen up, it's obvious on single house development.
MS WALSH: If I could just come in there, in the small villages at the moment, you have to be a
first time owner, that's one restriction that was suggested be omitted completely and that came from the workshops as well. In order to counter-balance the fact that you wouldn't need to be a first time homeowner, there would be a bigger market, this was agreed there would still be restrictions. At the moment the restrictions as you say relate to where you are from in the hierarchy, if you are from level six down to ten, you would be eligible in the small village. The alternative discussed at the workshop was maybe that was complex, maybe people weren't happy with that, so let's try an alternative and the alternative was it wouldn't matter what level you were from, as long as you were within from a certain radius. The idea being you are from the area, you have some kind of connection to the area, not just where you just happened to grow up. The good example is Ballycoogue. At the moment people from Arklow aren't eligible to build there. Under the proposal put to you in the draft plan, they would be eligible, so that's a very good example because they're within the radius and they no longer have to be first time homeowners. There will be replicated across say people from Baltinglass moving to smaller villages in the area and so on. We looked carefully at where all the bigger towns were, not just the major growth towns, but even the medium sized towns and looked at the villages surrounding them and the type of issues brought to us over the last five years and a number of members came to us saying it's very unfair people from Arklow can't move to Ballycoogue, so this proposal was to -it opens it up because it removes the first time homeowner requirement and also opens up to people from the big towns who at the moment are excluded. It's just another version of a control and there are lots of different types of controls you could use. The ones we have at the moment, the six to ten and so on, have been in the plan for a number years, haven't really -- I would suggest they haven't worked that well, because a lot of these towns haven't seen any growth. This is an alternative that was discussed, a number of alternatives were put to the members at the workshops and this is the one the members felt could perhaps give a little boost to these villages. There are probably lots of alternatives that might work and this was settled on at the workshop and that we have proposed to you. You may see it as tightening, but there may be a slight tightening at one end and a loosening at the other end. We are trying to counter-balance. The most important thing is firstly that our villages don't become overrun with new houses as they don't have the capacity to do so, we have seen that in other counties, we don't want to see that happening. And secondly, I have forgotten what my second point is, that people have the chance to move to these smaller villages if they are from the locality and can contribute to the community in that area.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Fox.
Cllr FOX: I am supportive of the thrust what of Cllr Timmins is saying, but I think it needs a
little more teasing out, because I mean part of your argument is that levels one to four can move to a small village within what, ten kilometres? But if you take it, level one Bray hasn't got any small village within ten kilometres, has it. Greystones, I am not sure Greystones has any small village. Newcastle, is that not a large village. On the face of it, it looks like you are allowing people from the higher levels to move, but actually in reality there is no small village within that distance of a lot of those towns. But at the same time, I think it needs further teasing out, because I am not sure all the permutations have been fully thought through. I would be supportive of the thrust of what Ed is saying, but I think it needs further teasing.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Blake.
Cllr BLAKE: Briefly, at the workshop we had a lengthy discussion on this particular item and Ballycoogue South of Arklow kept coming up as one area that hadn't developed over the years and people in Arklow, because of the restrictions on the previous plan weren't allowed to go out there. So Ballycoogue and Arklow kept coming up as the one area that we kept harping on as an opportunity where we could allow people to develop or to move from Arklow to Ballycoogue. And that's been probably the ten kilometres came into it as well, we didn't want somebody coming from Dublin down to Ballycoogue, and competing with the people of Arklow to purchase a house in Ballycoogue as such. That was part and parcel of what was discussed at the workshops and to allow Ballycoogue and people in Arklow to move to Ballycoogue, but not to allow people coming from Dublin. That's where the figure of ten kilometres came into it, that it did actually include not alone Arklow but I think Aughrim as well, that people from Aughrim could move to Ballycoogue as well. That's the reason we brought in the ten kilometres. Christopher has brought in another element in terms of where do people in Bray move to, but the whole length of the discussion was primarily around Arklow and the opportunity for people in Arklow to be able to move outside of Arklow, and that's why it was mentioned in that regard. Cllr BLAKE: You say that was a typo within 15 kilometres for the multi-house development? MS WALSH: As you know this was all done very last minute with the amendments coming in, on Wednesday and Thursday, we have done our best to try and distil everything down, but mistakes will happen.

DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: This is only to prove she is human.
MS WALSH: This is very complex, I think what we were recommending was that the proposal that had been put forward was to make $50 \%$ of houses open market, so regional growth and we felt that was much too open for these very, very small places, the majority of which have no services whatsoever, no water and sewage, no schools, anything like that. Our recommendation was that $50 \%$ of the multi-house be reserved for people within 15 kilometres, three years
duration, living in the county and the other $50 \%$ be the ten-ten. It's not a typo, we just haven't filled in the other $50 \%$. We have suggested $50 \%$ have a three -- and we should have put the other $50 \%$, what we were suggesting was ten-ten. Rather than going to regional, go to the wider county definition for $50 \%$ of them and the more narrow definition for the other $50 \%$.
Cllr TIMMINS: Any house in a small village can only be purchased by someone within 15 kilometres living and working -- I think it's too restrictive.
MS WALSH: The discussion I have already had about the large villages, and you agreed you would change the 15 kilometres to 30 . What you could consider here for $50 \%$ of the small villages, you could use the same criteria and the other $50 \%$ have the tighter criteria. So you are being consistent using a three year, 30 kilometres for just $50 \%$ of the multi. So we are not having multiple definitions of what we mean.
Cllr TIMMINS: That's what I said at the very beginning if you remember, make rural and large villages and change the 15...
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, Councillor Tommy Cullen.
Cllr Tommy CULLEN: Chairman, many villages in West Wicklow are bordering Kildare or Carlow. And for instance, 15 kilometres would take in perhaps the town of Tullow, people of the town - is this restrictive to county boundaries, is this 15 kilometres or whatever, is it restrictive to county boundaries or does it mean somebody for instance from Tullow in Carlow could look for planning permission say for instance in Kiltegan or somebody say for instance in Castledermot could look for planning permission in Grangecon Stratford?

MS WALSH: It's clear enough, it's from the county.
Cllr Tommy CULLEN: Can you clarify that please, it is from county boundaries?
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: Inside the county.
Cllr Tommy CULLEN: Fair enough.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Matthews.
Cllr MATTHEWS: Chairman, it's complex, the rural housing policies that we have, and there is an attempt to try and simplify it here, but we have gone from a restrictive policy and I would just be concerned that we go to too open a policy. Remember the last plan over the last five years, we have had a very strange housing market so we haven't seen a lot of the pressures that may come on during the lifetime of this policy. I would ask the Councillors to just consider now, and the idea behind this is to protect the rural areas and to protect the people that live in those rural areas, and just be cautious that we are entering a different kind of housing market now and pressures we might have seen ten years ago may start to come back in the lifetime of this plan. Thank you Chairman.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, so where are we?
Cllr TIMMINS: Try and move it on, again this isn't...
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: Could we maybe put this off until after lunch and we might have a chat during lunch. Just get some wording.
Cllr TIMMINS: Okay.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Could I just go back now to proposal four, please, and we will probably take four and nine, ten and eleven, because they're all from the Arklow MD, aren't they? CATHAOIRLEACH: Who is proposing it?

Cllr BOURKE: Arklow is unique in that unlike other towns in the -- currently, unlike other towns around the county, we don't have any capacity for future growth because we don't have a sewage treatment facility and there is an embargo on development of housing, but we have a housing crisis, a very serious housing crisis that other towns are not experiencing to the same extent that we are, and we feel that if we can't build within our town, that we should be allowed to increase the development outside - in the villages and small towns that can accommodate it insofar as they possibly can, because we need to provide for housing for our residents, and for the future generations that are coming along. So on that basis, we felt justified in proposing an increase in the number of houses for Barndarrig, Ballinaclash and Redcross, large villages within our catchment. We are proposing in Barndarrig, an increase of 30 houses be allowed in the life of the plan, bringing the target growth to 50. Bringing the target growth for Ballinaclash to 30 and Redcross for 30 . We don't feel that's unreasonable. We have to do what we can as local representatives to make sure there is adequate housing for our residents into the future. So I am asking for support on that basis.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Fitzgerald.
Cllr FITZGERALD: Just over many years there people from rural areas purchased houses in Arklow. They found it easier, and rather than settle in the town, which is great, but them options are not available to people from rural areas now because we have no houses available. Very few in fact. And that's partly why we are proposing - the housing stock in Barndarrig was 91 and there is a planning in place there for 21 , so 91 and 21 , so effectively the housing growth target there has already been met by a planning application. What is being proposed here, that if we replace the housing growth target with indicative housing growth target, that's fine in itself, but the fact is that the numbers in them categories in the large villages, if we have to depend on other areas not taking their allocation. So we could be waiting maybe until 2022 for an indication that we can go over the figure of 111 . So that doesn't give solace to people, particularly people in rural areas, that there is going to be no opportunity there, particularly in Barndarrig, there is
going to be very little opportunity there, we are talking about moving the distance to 30 kilometres, Arklow would probably fall within the 15 and Barndarrig, I think the proposal there is that the infrastructural capacity - that the settlement has the form and infrastructural capacity to accommodate the additional development proposed and the total housing target for the large villages of the group should not be exceeded. That is fine to put that on paper, but if the other, if, and it possibly won't, the other large villages meet their targets, we will have no extra housing in Barndarrig other than the 21 that have planning permission. So that's the reason why we wanted an increase of 30 there. There are zoned lands there, there are unzoned lands there which could cater for a lot of the increase because they're in the parameters of Barndarrig, so really if this is voted against, effectively, what we have in situ there with planning, there is no more proposals can be entertained until it's established that the numbers in large villages don't meet the demand, so that's where we are at. But I mean we have no sewage plant. We know that in Arklow. So we have no opportunity until 2021, to get building again. 2020 or 2021 and that's maybe being optimistic with present circumstances. So there will be a bigger demand from people who are qualified to live in rural areas, particularly in Barndarrig and Ballinaclash and in the lower ones, so I think we should have some movement on that figure.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay Cllr Matthews.
Cllr MATTHEWS: Thanks Chairman, I have a problem with diverting growth from the main growth centre such as Arklow, because there isn't a service available, and like the planning principles are that we should be having the growth in those areas that are served by roads, served by rail links, that have that population, that have the jobs present in them. So I don't know if this proposal, there is an increase of 50 houses there around that area, is that to take houses, growth away from Arklow and divert it into those villages? I can't agree with that. And pressure needs to be put on Irish Water. Arklow needs to grow as a town and this restriction, we have been listening to this for too long about Irish Water not providing a sewage treatment plant. That needs to go in place and that needs to happen. But it just -- looking at the wording here that the -- if the total housing growth for large villages is not exceeded, then this could be allowed to happen. So what you are saying is if Barndarrig was to increase by this much, some other villages are not going to get any increase at all. Otherwise we are increasing the overall numbers and the core strategy. That's a carefully balanced equation that's been worked out there. So I would have to question that.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Casey.
Cllr CASEY: Yeah, just briefly, I think my understanding of this is that it's proposed to take that 50 houses from the potential population growth of Arklow figure. Because as you say, we can't
change our population figure that has been sent down to us. What they're proposing is that reduce the population of Arklow, or equivalent of Arklow by 50 houses and spread it across the rural area. It still leaves a huge amount of growth potential in Arklow, we are only taking 50 houses over a six-year period out of Arklow and moving it to rural areas trying to make them small and large villages more viable into the future in all fairness, Cllr Fitzgerald is right, the likelihood of having a waste water treatment plant up and running in Arklow and having the full population growth in six years, and use the full population growth that Arklow has been assigned, is highly unlikely. So they're literally only taking 50 houses of the total population growth of Arklow out and spreading it across the rural villages. I have no problem with it. CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Behan.

Cllr BEHAN: Chairman, I mean, I have to say now I feel the Arklow Councillors are in a really dreadful position and have been for the last number of years. Their hands have been completely tied behind their backs with regards to trying to establish or develop their own town and I think we should take it very seriously when they come together as a group to make proposals like the one that they've done. And I would certainly be supporting it. But I hate to say it, but I also said when the core strategy was being decided here and the population figures were being agreed, I was looking for a higher population ceiling, because of this exact reason, that when it actually filters down to the smaller villages and towns, you find that suddenly there is no room for the development that might have been possible. And that decision has been made by the majority of the members here at the time, and I remember I pushed very hard that we allow ourselves the option of being able to accommodate these other villages without kind of suddenly being constrained. However, that wasn't agreed on the day and we were left with what we have now. I would certainly support what the Arklow Councillors are proposing Chairman.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Fitzgerald, is that...
Cllr FITZGERALD: There is a deficit on the plan there up to 2027. We need 1,767 houses in Arklow. So effectively, we won't be building any until about 2021 or 22. I take on board what Cllr Matthews was saying, but the figures, the population figures for Arklow are way off to be quite honest about it. We are not going to reach a figure - so to take 50 out of it is not going to rock the boat anywhere. I think it makes sense to some extent. Look it, it mightn't happen, but I am just trying to find the population growth figures here for Arklow, we have a deficit, shortfall in units of 1,726 in the plan up to 2022,2025 . So there is no way we are going to get 1,726 houses built. It will mean there will be a lot of people who want to live in Arklow won't be living in it, our population is due to go to 21,247 . It's pie in the sky figures.

And you were right, maybe whoever asked us, when that plan came up, we should have reduced the figures but that's the way it is. It's just to give some solace to people that would have came to Arklow to buy houses, we have one start of 39 houses in the next three months. We have no more planned. Except for maybe the one-off house. So we are in dire straits, and I take on board what Cllr Behan has said. We have people homeless, last week two people living on the river bank. We need to give comfort to some people that we at least have a prospect of some houses being available in rural areas.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: Just to -- a few inaccuracies there, members didn't decide the total population, that's actually handed down.
Cllr BEHAN: I was talking about the core strategy and we had options.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: No, just the total population was actually handed down from the regional authority.
Cllr BEHAN: We had options here, we made a decision here.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: You could have made Bray smaller and Arklow bigger, but the total population you had no discretion in.
Cllr BEHAN: We had options and the option was taken to make it most restrictive, am I right or not?

DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: No, the population since the 2010 Act is actually what's given down to you. The other one Cllr Fitzgerald, the -- you have a deficit of zoning in Arklow, not a deficit of housing, but that's neither here nor there. Can I say that what's being suggested by the Chief Executive is that -- will make this an indicative number rather than a target number, and it then means that the population within either the small villages, which is 170 houses or the large villages, 280 houses can be allocated to the villages that are growing. In Barndarrig in any case, there is no water available to extend it, and the sewage is basically at capacity. So nothing is actually going to happen in any case. Having said that, if it does become available, we wouldn't have a problem about allowing an increase of 30 over the 20, provided the other villages don't go ahead. And we all know there is infrastructure deficits all over the place, and some places can't grow and others can. So what has been suggested by the chief executive's recommendation will allow all the villages to grow. I can guarantee you on that. If something does happen in say three years, Cllr Blake has a motion to look at village boundaries, at the interim review of the plan, which is a statutory review and we can look at populations at that stage. But I just don't see the point to have to go back, revisit the core strategy, and change it all when we actually have the mechanism there as it is by just a change of words.

CATHAOIRLEACH: So your suggestion then is to change that to indicative?
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: Instead of target population, it's indicative population and then we would be able to compensate if one village isn't growing, we can give more of a population to another village within the planning period. That's what that sentence allows us to do.
Cllr FITZGERALD: Can I ask at what stage are we doing that in the plan, will we leave it until the last year?
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: For a review now? There is meant to be a review two years after the plan is adopted, is what a statutory review is and Cllr Blake has an amendment in to look at village boundaries and the Chief Executive has no objections to that, so we can add in there to review boundaries and populations, if you think it's an issue at that stage but I can't see it being an issue.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Bourke.
Cllr BOURKE: Okay, can we take a vote on this?
CATHAOIRLEACH: Unless it's agreed, if what you put forward is agreed, we will have to get a vote.

Cllr FITZGERALD: Could we just leave that until the afternoon after we speak to the Municipal District members.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: So we will take in nine, ten and eleven, that's basically the same on the small villages, is that all right? Cllr Fitzgerald?
Cllr FITZGERALD: Yeah.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Bourke, is that okay yeah. We will take the four, nine, ten and eleven? Okay. So then we will go for number seven.
Cllr BOURKE: I am proposing this Cathaoirleach, because basically this was a proposal that came in at the previous County Development Plan review, and it was lodged a few hours too late, we were all asked to have proposals in, this came in from Cllr Casey the last time and it was ruled out of order by the then chairperson, I think it was Cllr Vance, because it was only a few hours too late, but it was a bit unfair, I felt to the applicant who was looking for this, because we did a number of other boundary changes on that day, and this one was excluded merely because it was lodged a few - a day late probably. And I am bringing it back to the members for inclusion this time to have the boundary amended to include the blue area there, it's a four acres of a boundary change to Barndarrig. And it will facilitate the future growth of the village. The Director of Housing said there was a water deficit, but I am reliably informed that there is actually a proposal for a very, very good water supply for the village which will more than cater for up to 250 houses and I believe that report has been corroborated within the County Council
as well, that it was agreed with, I am told, with a senior water services engineer here, that there is a good source there which is as yet untapped, ready to be exploited. So, now, that's my reliable information. I am proposing this Cathaoirleach.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, and do you have a seconder? Cllr Annesley? Okay, so what's... DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: I think what we have written there, this is unnecessary zoning, more than half of it has been turned down for planning previously in other cases, for other reasons such as traffic and sightlines which I don't think are redeemable, it's a pointless amendment I think.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, is that agreed? As in Cllr Bourke's...
Cllr BOURKE: Sorry Director, it's not a zoning change, it's a boundary change, there is no zoned land in Barndarrig! Which actually Cathaoirleach if I can just add -- I just want to clarify another point and I raised this point with Sorcha Walsh at our workshop last week, it's a pity you haven't got zoned land in the large and small villages because apparently now we cannot extract social housing from any of the large or small villages under the new rules I am told by Sorcha Walsh, that the Council can no longer apply a demand for - 10\% social housing going forward, so we are actually coding ourselves out of social housing by not having our small and large villages zoned, properly, perhaps it would be a good idea for the members to consider a motion calling for them to be zoned and to have zoning plans done for them. I am only just throwing it out there, there is potentially 30 or 40 Council houses, social houses could be acquired, the totality of this County Development Plan, if we actually had our small and large villages zoned. I rest my case.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Am I taking it we don't have agreement on this and we have to go for a vote.

Cllr VANCE: Can we just leave this until the afternoon?
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: I hope you have good dinners!
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, we have one more to go before lunch.
Cllr BOURKE: Is that agreed then?
CATHAOIRLEACH: No, it's agreed to come back to it after lunch.
Cllr SNELL: I think Cllr Bourke had a slip of the tongue while he was on a bit of a roll there, he mentioned that the Director of Housing mentioned that there was a lack of supply of water, the Director of Housing in the Chambers was actually the Director of Planning, so...

Cllr BOURKE: Apologies.
Cllr SNELL: Just to clarify that because this goes out in the public domain, it's not the...
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: I am very hurt to be compared to Joe Lane as well.

Cllr SNELL: We can understand Joe!
CATHAOIRLEACH: Let's go for number eight before lunch, this is from Cllr Blake. Do you want to hit your button there please. It's included in because of the fact that we have talked a lot here about the number of houses, or indicative number of houses we are looking to put into some of the small villages and I am conscious of the fact that somebody, the land is not, or the boundary of some of the small villages is not sufficient to take any houses, I put that in there in order to just have a review during the lifetime of the plan. Do you have a seconder for that motion. Seconder Pat Doran, is that agreed? Agreed. Fantastic, okay, I think at this stage, just before going to lunch, as we did have somebody officially retire from their job, I forgot to mention it, but congratulations I think is due to Cllr Behan and may he have a long and fruitful retirement! And I suppose just I should have said it at the start, but obviously if anybody has an interest in any of the decisions that we are making today, obviously that they need to step out of the meeting for that, or to declare that interest. Lunch is in the canteen at one o'clock and we will return at -- how long do you need? An hour. One hour, back here at 2 o'clock.

## Luncheon adjournment

CATHAOIRLEACH: Can I propose that we are going to actually just continue until we finish, is that okay, agreed, that we are going to continue until we finish? Yeah, whatever hour. Okay, so will we go for - I was going to say number 13.
Cllr WINTERS: I would like a tea break at six, okay.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr O'Neill isn't here. Number 14.
Cllr FORTUNE: Give them another few minutes to come in.
CATHAOIRLEACH: The only thing is, if I am actually criticised for starting the meeting late, I can't -- you can't have it every way, we said 2 o'clock, so Cllr Casey, number 14 is yours.
Cllr CASEY: Okay, just basically, this is in relation to the qualification for - number 14. This amendment is in relation to the qualification for people to get a one-off rural house in the countryside. Back in 2004, there was a lot of work done on that qualification process, and we came up with SS9. The last -- the current County Development Plan is RH14 and had a similar criteria, that was in 2009. And we see a slightly different presentation of it today in HD21. I believe that most of the rural Councillors who work with this on a daily basis have a preference that's presented in similar to the original format that was an SS9 or RH14. That's basically what's before the members today. And that's what I would be proposing.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay and it's seconded by Christopher Fox, yeah?

Cllr FOX: Thank you Chairman. Yeah, I would agree with Cllr Casey, not that I have anything against what Sorcha had proposed in the draft, but I just think a lot of people come to us looking for advice of whether they qualify or not for rural planning, and the old RH14 is renamed now under this, but the old RH14, people could clearly see if they slotted into one of the categories or not. The only thing -- I would make a slight amendment to point number 12, we have always made allowance for a couple who legally separated, or went through divorce, so I would propose that if somebody was repossessed, their house was repossessed, that they would qualify under the same heading, and also that if they could demonstrate a social or economic need, again Point 12 , is something that I would propose to amend, so can I read out...

MS GALLAGHER: Is it this one that was circulated?
Cllr FOX: Yeah, that's been circulated, has it? Not the housing need one, Point 12.
CATHAOIRLEACH: It's going around now.
Cllr FOX: I also -- Sorcha did put in a category there on housing need which I think should be included. These are generally defining who has a need, so you have the first - so at the top of page 52, chapter 4, you have housing need is defined as first time homeowners, someone that previously owned a home. So that housing need, one that I did circulate, I would like to add two points to that housing need and include it, so the two points are someone who previously owned a home but is no longer in possession of that home due to a sale or repossession by a financial institution. And the other one is someone who previously owned a home but can prove a social or economic need to live in a rural area. So I'll circulate point 12. Thank you Chairman. CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay. Cllr Matthews.

Cllr MATTHEWS: Thank you Chairman. I don't have any problem with the designation of who should qualify there, the Councillors from those areas know that better than most, so no problem with that. There are three paragraphs in that amendment number 14 with regards to landscape and the third last one there is, there is a line at the end says "not to the exclusion of social and economic matters", just get a definition of what they mean by that. And "the protection and conservation of views and prospects should not give rise to the prohibition of development." I would question that now because we have certain policies here within the Council where we look...

CATHAOIRLEACH: Could you just clarify where you are quoting from?
Cllr MATTHEWS: Page 23, the three last -- they're not numbered, it ends at number 16 and then there is four more paragraphs there that don't have a number on them. There at the top of page 23. Okay?
CATHAOIRLEACH: Just say that again.

Cllr MATTHEWS: The third last paragraph there, it says -- basically it's about development and its impact on landscape, and there would be "general regard to amenity matters but not to the exclusion of social and economic matters". So I am just looking for what is defined by social and economic matters there, or what the kind of jist of that is? And "the protection and conservation of views and prospects should not give rise to the prohibition of the development", I think that wording is far too strong there, and "a person who qualifies under HD21, their needs shall be supreme." I think that's far too -- in fact I don't think it's in line with our objectives under the landscape policy. So I would like a bit of a relaxation on that, to not put landscape and amenity secondary to building at all costs.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Timmins.
Cllr TIMMINS: Just on that point that Cllr Matthews raises, at the moment the Development Plan allows that social and economic matters reign supreme over views and prospects and we have been through this over the last few Development Plans and that was the wording that we came up with. And I would certainly like to see that retained because any views or prospects, anywhere in Wicklow, could be used to stop someone getting planning and it has been and continues to be. This was just a way of trying to dilute that a little bit. Secondly I would just like to say I support Cllr Casey's proposal. It's pretty much on the line of what's already there. A few minor amendments to it. I have gone through it in detail, as I know a number of other Councillors have as well, and I would certainly support it.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Councillor Gerry Walsh.
Cllr WALSH: On a point of clarity with Cllr Fox's proposed amendment there on repossession, I would presume that would include couples who would still be in possession of their home, but be forced to sell by a financial institution. The house may not be repossessed but they may be forced by a financial institution to sell it. It's the same thing I suppose but it's just a technical amendment.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Councillor Tommy Cullen.
Cllr Tommy CULLEN: Just in regard to section 13 of Cllr Casey's, and Cllr Fox' proposal, I propose that permanent native residents of moderate and small growth towns -- 50 kilometre speed limit.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Can you speak into the mic, I can't hear you.
Cllr Tommy CULLEN: Section 13, permanent native residents of moderate and small growth towns seeking to build a house in their native town or village within a 50 kilometre speed limit on the non-national radial roads for their own use and not as speculation, I would propose that be increased to 60 kilometres speed limit.

CATHAOIRLEACH: You are saying that it be within 60 kilometres zone.
Cllr Tommy CULLEN: Yes.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Do you have a seconder for that proposal? Cllr Casey, okay. And I should have asked Christopher, do you have a seconder for your proposal? Yeah, okay, Shay Cullen. Councillor Gerry O'Neill, I'll come back to you then Sorcha.
Cllr O'NEILL: I would support Cllr Casey's motion here, anything at all that can make things that bit easier in the county's planning is welcomed. But again, I would briefly say that within the county of Wicklow, we still have the An Taisces, we still have the Dublin Mountain Conservation Group, we still have Irish Water or Dublin City Council out our way, so our backs are very much to the wall no matter what we do here, but we would be supporting Cllr Casey's motion.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay.
MS WALSH: I just wanted to clarify, there is a few different amendments knocking around about this. Cllr Casey's amendment that's in the booklet you all got last Friday, you all have in front of you. In light of Cllr Fox's handwritten amendment that you all would have received this morning, Cllr Casey has suggested an amendment to his amendment, and we are just getting it written up now that would cover both of Cllr Fox's requirements. So it would be built into Cllr Casey's amendment. The reason why that is being suggested is Cllr Casey's amendment is to omit the entire pages in the draft plan about rural housing. The section that Cllr Fox referred to changing, which is headed -- it's on page 52 that you mentioned when you were discussing housing needs/necessary dwelling, Cllr Casey's proposal omits that completely, you would have potentially two conflicting amendments, Cllr Fox saying it be changed, and Cllr Casey saying it be omitted. In order to solve that, there is a slightly reworded version of Cllr Casey's amendment that includes Cllr Fox' wording, just being photocopied at the moment and being circulated. It's basically merging the two, so we don't have two conflicting amendments. If you could just give me a minute and I'll get it -- it's here? It will be circulated so Cllr Fox, you will be able to see how your two bullet points can be merged in with Councillors Casey's amendment. CATHAOIRLEACH: Are you okay with that then Sorcha?
MS WALSH: Yeah. I think you all have it now. Sorry, sorry. So if you all have a copy of it I'll run through it now. If Cllr Fox you don't feel that accurately reflects your points, I'll just run through it quickly. Basically, point 12 of Cllr Casey's proposal refers to permanent native residents who no longer had possession of a previous home and still should be eligible for a new rural house, and that category at the moment refers to people who have had to dispose of their house following legal separation, divorce, or transferring the family house to another member of
the family. So the suggestion is to amend that as you can see with the red type there in the blue strike through, that would cover the repossession as well as demonstrating a social and economic need for a new home in a rural area. Which are essentially the two bullet points of Cllr Fox who refers to repossession and previously owned home but can prove a socio-economic need. That's a suggestion I worked with Cllr Casey over lunch. If it doesn't fully reflect what Cllr Fox is looking for, that's his call on that, but it's a way of integrating the two proposals together. As I said Cllr Casey's proposal omits completely what's on page 52, so it would not be logical if you are accepting his amendment to also then agree to an amendment to something that's on page 52, that will no longer be in the plan.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Yeah, do you want to come in on that?
Cllr FOX: Thank you Chairman. Well I accept the rewording of point 12 okay. However, I do have a difficulty with the statement, where you see HD21 and the opening paragraph, and it says, "When it is for the provision of a necessary rural dwelling." Okay. This is something that An Bord Pleanala have really tuned and tapped into. So I actually am proposing that my housing need definition is included in that opening paragraph of HD21, to delete "when it is for the provision of a necessary rural dwelling" and insert that paragraph that Sorcha has at the top of page 52 in that paragraph. So you are defining housing need.
Ms WALSH: Chairman, if I may, Cllr Casey proposes to omit that paragraph completely, that's the conflict, his proposal is that box isn't in the plan.
Cllr FOX: I am proposing to insert that box, that housing need box, because Cllr Casey's proposal is to remove all of that, I am proposing to hold on to the housing need paragraph, and include it in the opening paragraph before HD21. If that's agreeable to Cllr Casey?
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Casey, what's your view on that?
Cllr CASEY: I have no major problem with that, but there is duplication in parts of it, are you with me?

Cllr FOX: The social or economic need is duplicated but obviously just...
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Casey I couldn't hear you there. What did you say?
Cllr CASEY: Generally I have no problem with what Christopher is saying, but there is duplication between what's in that and what's in the list of...
Cllr MATTHEWS: My only difficulty is that the provision of a necessary rural dwelling is not defined, and we have in the past had people refused based on economic circumstances and not being in a position to build a house and that particular paragraph, necessary rural dwelling, was used. So I am proposing we define the housing need with that handwritten...
Cllr TIMMINS: I would certainly say take out the word "necessary".

Cllr FOX: That's what I am proposing, delete "necessary rural dwelling" and replace it with Sorcha's "housing need" paragraph.
Clll CASEY: Will we come back after tea?
MS GALLAGHER: There is no tea ordered!
Cllr RUTTLE: We have still time!
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Casey, do you just want to go ahead with your proposal -- mind you we would have to take Christopher's amendment first, wouldn't we? Cllr Whitmore.

Cllr WHITMORE: Can I ask for clarification, on page 52 in the social need, it specifies persons that were not raised in the rural area, but have resided in the rural area for a certain amount of time and I don't think that's being translated into the amended, the proposed amendment? In the -- what's being proposed it seems to be people who have -- like if they've worked, if they're working in the area, or if they have sons or daughters or whatever, but say for example if you have someone who has lived in that area for ten years, and they've retired in that area, but they have lived there, they've worked there, but they may not necessarily have any family there, I don't know whether the amendment would cover them? I am just -- it does, does it?

Cllr CASEY: Most of what's in that is in it.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Will we come back to this one and just move on for the moment. Okay, we are going to defer this one for half an hour. Christopher could I ask you to work with Sorcha just to clarify exactly what your proposal is. Cllr Fox, will you work with Sorcha just to clarify what the proposal is so we can write up something? Okay.
Cllr FOX: Chairman, I have written it up and it's submitted and that's what I am submitting. CATHAOIRLEACH: There is a lack of clarity as to what exactly it is, I am asking that you take 30 minutes.
MS WALSH: Ashling is going to go and write this up and come back to the meeting in twenty minutes if we can defer for a few minutes to see if we can get it.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, all right, let's go back just -- number 13, you just weren't here at the start of the meeting Cllr O'Neill, sorry, so would you like to propose yours?
Cllr O'NEILL: My motion is straightforward really, it's mainly on the standards of social housing...
CATHAOIRLEACH: I think people need you to talk into that a little closer.
Cllr O'NEILL: My motion is mainly on standards, and it's, whether it be social housing or private housing schemes, it's very near really what the overall plans are for social housing but I wanted to add in there that we should be, we should consider the likes of non-terraced type housing. Throughout the county terraced housing, while some of them work, some of them don't
work and in our area, I never want to see what we have had in the last ten year again repeated, and that's really where this is coming from, that if we just get to it that housing estates from here on in would be of a mixture, that we keep our eye on the ball as regards elderly in the area, people with disabilities, and on the part 5 there, it might be more of an incentive if we went down the route I am talking about with developers, with the $10 \%$ required from them as such, that if to a housing estate that we can add these facilities for the elderly, and the people with disabilities, it might be more attractive reason for them to genuinely take part in it. Up to now they have so many ways of getting out of this, you know. I really feel strong too on the likes of semi-detached housing, instead of the terraces as I say. If we look around housing estates, the alley ways out the back, it's a sin to see some housing estates in the county have neither side nor back entrance. So from here on in, that's what I am asking for is that standard. We are in the garden of Ireland, and I think we should lead the way as regards standards.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Do you have a seconder for that? Seconder from Tom Fortune. You are in now.

Cllr FORTUNE: I think, when I saw this amendment, I think it makes perfect sense and I think it's -- we have to move this way and I think this type of suggestion to me is an integral part of the County Development Plan, and to me it just makes perfect sense and I would fully endorse it. CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, Cllr Cullen?
Cllr Tommy CULLEN: Chairman, can Cllr O'Neill clarify in developments in the first section A in developments over a certain size, how many houses would he think would that be?
Cllr O'NEILL: Over a certain size?
Cllr Tommy CULLEN: Yeah
Cllr BRADY: That's the initial response. That's the official's response.
Cllr Tommy CULLEN: What housing estate size would you think this would apply to?
Cllr O'NEILL: The private development can get away with it, if he builds less than five units, he will get away with it without any obligation to provide social housing so if you are talking about an estate of 100 houses, there is $10 \%$ of them, but it's mixed that I am talking about, that we have a mixture of semi-detached, bungalows, one bed, two bed houses, three, four bed houses, that it would be a mixture of these houses. As you and I would know, there are plenty of people in housing in West Wicklow, there are single people living in three bedroomed houses, elderly people, completely unsuitable as the years go by, so what I am looking for is that complete new mixture of housing in every estate, no matter whether they be private or social.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay.
Cllr Tommy CULLEN: Yeah, no, I would support that.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Can I have an official response.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: Councillor, we already have a mix of housing types for all developments, not just Local Authority developments. So that's actually already in the plan, I don't think we need to add to that. On the question of terraced houses, there are certain places where terraced houses make sense, in townhouse type things, and in the current proposal to do a Local Authority house in Bray will all be terraced houses because you won't get the density otherwise. We are dealing with our funders, the Department of the Environment who will insist on standards, but I think terraced houses are needed, you need it for affordable housing in private estates and Local Authority estates. So I think to do that, you are taking away your flexibility, I can see your point that where land is cheap, it's pointless having terraced houses, you don't save that much, but where land is extremely expensive, as it is in Bray and North Wicklow, you are going to have to have terraced houses to get the density you need. I don't think this would be a good amendment to make to the plan because you would be taking away the flexibility on house types you could have.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Timmins.
Cllr TIMMINS: Just briefly, I think to exclude terrace across the board is a bit over the top. Like we are struggling to get people to build houses in Co Wicklow, and there is enough regulation and restrictions already on that happening. And to be putting in more restrictions to me makes no sense.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Mitchell.
Cllr MITCHELL: I would agree, I think we shouldn't ban, it would be quite wrong to ban terraced houses. In some places they're appropriate, people want to live in them. I think there is a pile of national regulations have been introduced in the last few years all of which have put up the cost of building houses and this means that we are, that people can't afford these houses and therefore they're not being built. I think we need to get more houses built to solve the homeless crisis and I don't think we should be putting more costs on to it and we should stick with the national regulations we have.
CATHAOIRLEACH: We will go for a vote on this.
Cllr O'NEILL: I would completely reject the idea of - reject the idea of the cost. I notice it's coming from two members, Fine Gael, I mean there is plenty of dollars in the country, 64 billion has been thrown out to bankers, there is money in the country. I think that's wrong to take the economic use into it. I think that for the sake of that extra few pound in the long run, I know in West Wicklow, the Council spend a lot of money cleaning up estates where even the social housing, it's housing back on to fields, open fields, and every once or twice a year, these fields
are cleaned up at a cost. In alley ways it's the same. If we eliminate them and put decent housing there, semi-detached for people, in the long run it would be cheaper.

CATHAOIRLEACH: I have your point let's go for a vote.
Cllr Tommy CULLEN: In response who defines what the certain size is, what is - have you any rule what is the certain size?
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: Ten houses.
Cllr Tommy CULLEN: Anything over ten houses?
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: Yeah.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, we will go for a vote.
Cllr BEHAN: Is there any way Cllr O'Neill would remove the sentence about no terraced housing. The rest of it I fully agree with, but like if he was able to consider taking out that one sentence, or is that a point of principle with you Councillor, terraced?
Cllr O'NEILL: It is really, it's part of my view on housing standards in the county and I really feel very strongly about that.
(A vote was taken)

MS GALLAGHER: That's eleven for, 16 against and five not present.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, so we will go for number 15 now, Derek Mitchell.
Cllr MITCHELL: Just to say that there is also an element of cross recommendations here from Shay Cullen and I think Christopher Fox who have both suggested. This piece of land next to the Greystones motorway junction at Kilpedder was zoned industrial. It's been dezoned in this plan. On this land, there is a quarry which employs, and concrete works, which has existing permission, there is a bus depot there and on a Greenpeace of land, somebody has planning permission for quite a substantial industrial estate. Now, the Council officials are proposing after objections from the NRA and the Minister last time, to remove this entirely. I am suggesting it goes back. But there are two things I would like to see done with this site as well as the employment, it should - the site's very - doesn't look great, and I think if there is something to be done with the site it should be a condition that the whole site be tidied up. It's an ex quarry and I think it should look better. And secondly there is a footpath and cycle path that go all the way from Greystones to this site, quite a long distance and excellent they are. They stop at this site and they don't actually reach the pedestrian bridge over the N11 to get to Kilpedder where there are two bus services which can service this industrial site. It should be a condition of this site that the footpath goes through the site to improve the connectivity of

Greystones to Kilpedder. It's very important that we get as many people to walk over the N11 as possible. And I also think this would reduce the objections to the - of the NRA to this because with two bus services and a footpath and cycle path it's difficult for them to say it's isolated. CATHAOIRLEACH: Do you have a seconder for that proposal. Seconded by Grainne McLoughlin. And okay, Shay Cullen.
Cllr Shay CULLEN: I would like to concur with Derek on this. I think we should retain the employment zoning that has been there previously. I know there is new owners of this site and are willing to clean up the site to an acceptable standard, so I would just like to concur by saying I think it's important that we leave it as employment development.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Thank you. Cllr Matthews.
Cllr MATTHEWS: Chairman I would suggest there is enough zoned land within the settlements in the county that we don't need to go putting this kind of zoning on the N11. And we have had warnings from the Minister before on these type of zonings, and it's set out clear there in the manager's response, in section A. It's not evidence based. And the whole planning system has changed from the bad old days, that we now do look for evidence based planning, and I don't think this is evidence based at all. Even that section A alone should be enough for us to not pass this proposal, but B, C and D are as relevant as well. And I can't support this. Thank you Chairman.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Lawless.
Cllr LAWLESS: Thanks Cathaoirleach, I just kind of want a little bit of clarification, just in regards to the footpaths and the cycle path. Is that to cut through there Derek or is that to continue on up and around adjacent to the N11...
Cllr MITCHELL: Some ways it brings it up to the pedestrian bridge which serves the bus stops. I don't know the exact layout of the site, but the objective here is they have to provide a footpath to the site which is publicly available.
Cllr LAWLESS: I just wanted to get a clear image in my head. Thanks for that.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Walsh.
Cllr WALSH: This land was already zoned for employment development, I mean in the recent Economic Think Tank, one of the criteria we used was to try and get people living and working in the county. It was pointed out that $60 \%$ of our population are leaving the county to go to work, so if there is potential to create employment, this would seem an ideal site for creating employment.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Derek?
Cllr MITCHELL: I would like to say about the evidence here, this was stated numerous times
on various bits and pieces of paper. The evidence is clear of the 13 motorway junctions on the M50 in Dublin, 12 of them have industrial sites or they have huge shopping centres, one at Firhouse doesn't. That's the only one. Now there is an enormous demand and draw of Wicklow motorists along this road to go to work in those sites and we need to stop those people commuting and to capture them so that they're working in Wicklow at sites like this, and the evidence is also very clear, almost every morning, the traffic jam from Kilmacanogue stretches back to this site, so we need to get people working at this site and in other sites further out, so they don't have to go and commute to all the motorway junctions in Dublin which have been developed. We need to get some industries not centralised in Dublin.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Just your thoughts or views on this.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: Just to explain, I suppose I can answer the next two as well, Shay Cullen's one on Rathmore and Chris Fox's on everything. We took these out because they actually don't fit in with the general policies of the plan. They don't fit in with Government policies, which we are obliged to have regard to, which is the reason we are taking them out in the first place. Just to say Derek, if there is an existing use or permission on it, because some of these do have planning permission, taking the zoning away does not take away their use. I won't say anything else, just giving the reason why we have been against them. The Minister did send something down before against them, and we will see in the fullness of time what happens.
CATHAOIRLEACH: I think we will go for a vote on this because there isn't absolute unanimity.
Cllr BEHAN: This is Cllr Mitchell's proposal.
MS GALLAGHER: As amended by...
MS GALLAGHER: The one with the amendment in addition the site should connect the footpath from Greystones towards the...
CATHAOIRLEACH: Just to clarify that, the - it's the amended version, not the version you would have got, so...
MS GALLAGHER: It's amendment number 15 with an additional line in it. Do you want me to read it? "In addition, the site should connect the footpath from Greystones towards the pedestrian bridge to Kilpedder."
CATHAOIRLEACH: So we will go for a vote that.
(A vote was taken)

Cllr FORTUNE: I am not fully clued into what this is all about, so I would prefer to wait.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, abstain.
(Vote continued)

MS GALLAGHER: That's 22 for, one against, six not present and three abstaining.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, next one is number 16, Shay Cullen, do you want to very quickly, it's kind of on the same...
Cllr Shay CULLEN: It's on the same lines as the last one yes, I would ask for the employment zoning to remain on this land on the basis that due to the downturn, you know, there was very little chance of developing this land. There was an application in some time ago and I believe there will be something coming on stream again fairly soon. I strongly believe de-zoning land without giving the owners a chance to develop is wrong, as I said earlier, due to the downturn, the owners of this land didn't have a chance to do it. It's close to Ashford, it's beside the film studios, or close to the film studios which are obviously increasing in size all the time and for those reasons and the employment area of Ashford, I would ask that this remains in the employment zoning. Thank you.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Do you have a seconder for that proposal? Okay, Gerry Walsh. Cllr Matthews.
Cllr MATTHEWS: Just to repeat the point again, this is not good planning to do this, okay, you are undermining the areas within the county where there is enough zoned land to provide for this type of employment. We have already been warned against it, I am not going to repeat what I said again, but you are undermining the developed areas where there is sufficient land by doing this. Thank you Chairman.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Official comment.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: I have already made my comment on this.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, so is it agreed, well it's not agreed obviously, we will go for a vote.
(A vote was taken)

MS GALLAGHER: 24 for, one against, six not present and one abstaining.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, number 17, Christopher Fox. If you want to come in on this one. Cllr FOX: Thank you Chairman, well like Councillor Shay Cullen stated there, the last amendment, there is actually a number of locations that are being de-zoned. Now the reason for my submission is quite simple: I believe -- by the way I think 17, 26 and 30 can all be taken
under this, they're all related. It's just one is employment zoning, one is tourism zoning and the other is nursing home zoning, but the principle is the same.
Cllr WINTERS: 17, 26 and...
CATHAOIRLEACH: We will stick with this one just...
Cllr FOX: I'll be making the very same argument. On 17, the reason is quite simple, the locations are currently zoned in the current County Development Plan, and they've been excluded from the draft, which is effectively de-zoning these sites. I have concerns in relation to de-zoning for a number of reasons: One is transactions or transfer of land may have been taken -- may have taken place based on it being rezoned. So there is huge financial ramifications for somebody who purchased rezoned land to develop it, and then has the zoning removed. So that is a major concern to me.

Secondly, I know of a number of these sites that are progressing. And I know planning permission has been granted on one, and that doesn't stop it from progressing, but there are plans on other sites that are progressing and I know, because some of the owners I would know and I would know of their plans. So they will be left in limbo. They may have borrowed money on the strength of developing these lands, okay. Now I fully accept that some of these will never be developed. Some of them should be removed. But I think it would be prudent to put them on public display and let's get the exact status of all the locations, the owners will be fully aware, because up to now, the owners are unaware that these are being removed and de-zoned. So I am coming from a position that we put this out on display and establish the exact status of each one. And if we have to de-zone at the end of this, well then so be it. But I think to de-zone it just at the swipe of a pen without consulting with various people who are stakeholders, which could have huge financial ramifications, well I am not prepared to do that.

Now, I know that Cllr Mitchell and Cllr Cullen have already included two of the sites. But I am proposing that we would re-instate them in the draft, put it on display, and let's have a look at it after we get the submissions back in.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, do you have a seconder for that. Seconder, the first one I saw was Tommy Annesley. Cllr Matthews.
Cllr MATTHEWS: The same argument as I put forward for the last one, but this is even worse. We are going to retain zoned land based on how much people paid for it, that's ridiculous, I can't support that.
Cllr BLAKE: I'll second Cllr Fox in regards to some of the sites haven't been developed, but
others are there for quite a number of years and need a development. I think by taking them out there will be less chance of cleaning them up and developing them into the future. Some are in areas where we don't have the interchange we talked about here a few moments ago, near the N11 and so forth, but they are an opportunity to develop some of these sites and clean them up. CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, sorry, thank you, Cllr Walsh.

Cllr WALSH: I would like to concur with Cllr Fox, it wouldn't be fair with a swipe of a pen to de-zone. The zoning is present, it would be unfair without an investigation into what the future of these sites is.

CATHAOIRLEACH: The top table are saying the same as they had said before. We will go straight for a vote.
(A vote was taken)

Cllr FORTUNE: I'll be voting for on the rationale of Cllr Fox.
(Vote continued)

MS GALLAGHER: That is five not present, one against, and 26 for.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, move to number 18, Cllr Casey.
Cllr CASEY: This is a proposed zoning in -- directly in relation to the film industry, and is specifically only for the film industry itself and no other associated industries. We are building it on one of the key themes that has been identified as one of Wicklow's unique opportunities, being the film industries, and on the back of that we have the national policy of the further extension of tax exception. Making it more attractive. It's also been identified in the Co Wicklow Economic Think Tank and in the local economic plan to be put before the members in the New Year. It's clearly out there from the research that we have done, the demand is current, it's live, and it's necessary at this moment in time. So I would be proposing -- and also the members too identified the need of the film industry by the development contribution scheme, by zero rating development contributions for the film industry. I would be proposing this today. I have one slight reservation in relation to the executives' conditions or part of their condition, and I don't know whether to deal with that now or get general agreement first and then deal with that, but I would be proposing this today.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Maybe just deal with that now.
Cllr CASEY: Just in relation to the executive's response, on the second point, "the agreement of
a master plan for the entire area in advance of an application permission which shall set out the facing sequence of development that shall be generally from the South to the North and if the infrastructure plans for serving that site." I am just wary of, in a master plan, be it agreed in advance of a planning application being submitted. So I would like to just take out "in advance of any application for permission" and put in "any application prior to an agreed master plan must take into account phasing and sequence of development".
CATHAOIRLEACH: I'll second that one myself.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: We are okay with that.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Matthews.
Cllr MATTHEWS: There will be zero development contributions coming from that during the lifetime of that proposal, would that be correct?
Cllr CASEY: That's what we voted as members a few weeks ago.
Cllr MATTHEWS: That was just for studios though.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Is that agreed?
Cllr MITCHELL: Chairman, could I just say, could I just say that I think that's a very good proposal this, but I wouldn't want to see it, there was a proposal some ten or 15 years ago on this site for a film studio and a massive shopping centre, I wouldn't want to see a massive shopping centre on this site as was suggested some time ago. I don't think it would be allowed by what's here, but I wouldn't think we should be going for it. Maybe somebody would confirm that wouldn't be allowed?

CATHAOIRLEACH: So Cllr Casey, could you just clarify, is it as per the executive's response and recommendation, except for the amendment that you just made there?

Cllr CASEY: Yeah.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Grand, agreed? Great, thanks. On to number 19, this is you Cllr Matthews. Actually this is okay with the CE, so I don't know, are we all okay with this one? Yeah, okay.
MS GALLAGHER: Could I have a seconder for it?
CATHAOIRLEACH: Who would like to second that, 19, Cllr Lawless. Let's move on to number 20.
Cllr MATTHEWS: I am withdrawing amendment 20.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, all right. Thank you. Number 21, Cllr Blake.
Cllr BLAKE: Chairman, I am proposing -- we had a lot of discussion here this morning about developments, particularly along the N11 in terms of generating industrial development. Now sorry, I did exclude industrial on that, it's -- you are typing with one finger, you don't get
everything right sometimes, so that's a mistake on my part to exclude industrial on that aspect of it. But the reason I am proposing it is the fact that we only want industry in this county along the N11. We talked about interchanges earlier on and zoning land close to the N11, which I have no problem with whatsoever. When we come down to the rural parts of the county, we don't have that facility, we don't have these large development sites. We just had a few moments ago here, we were trying to exclude one particular area which actually is zoned at the moment by the executive, who wanted to exclude it out of it, so it gives us a very different position in terms of development, any employment generated activities in the rural areas.

I would mention the fact that Kerry Foods is probably the biggest employer in this county in Shillelagh. If everybody was to go by the Development, Plan as we have here before us, nobody would come in and develop that particular site in Shillelagh. 1,000 people employed in it. And to me the policy in here, and in the County Development Plan would be negative towards the likes of that development. Equally so in Rathwood which just won a prize to represent Co Wicklow on the South East at the Enterprise Awards later on this year, or early next year, in Dublin is another example of how a small industry can develop in a rural area, employing now 70 people as well. I am fearful by virtue of the fact that if we adopt the County Development Plan and the wording that's in there at the moment, that we will be working against any industrial development in the rural areas. Now I am not saying the wording I have in there is perfect in any shape or form, but I am trying to highlight the discrimination, as I see it, is going on against industrial development and job creation in the rural areas.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Do you have a seconder for that proposal? Cllr Timmins. Okay. Des do you want to speak into it?
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: Look, I think Councillor, you certainly it's not our intention that it's only for the east. It's for any settlement basically which has zoned land and has services. Shillelagh wouldn't necessarily be knocked out of it today. I think by taking it out, you are just making it -giving less guidance to people out there, but it was never intended, only be it for the large populations in the county. That could have been -- Carnew always had industrial estates from the IDA, could be Baltinglass, could be anywhere. It wasn't our intention, I don't think it will make a lot of difference. It won't prevent anything happening anywhere. It's taking away more guidance in the plan than there is at present. We don't feel strongly about it one way or the other. It's not the intention and it wouldn't be the way we would interpret it.
Cllr BLAKE: I have no problem with somebody to reword that better than I have reworded it in order to ensure the likes of Kerry Foods are looking for a site, if there is another Kerry Foods in

Co Wicklow looking for a site in a rural area outside of what will be a settlement. Some of these industries can't just set up in an actual designated industrial site. We see a lot of sites in Arklow that maybe Kerry Foods can't go into, that are available in there. The Allergen site, they're not developed. I am conscious of the fact that there is some industries out there that do want to locate, but they need a rural area to do so. We in the County Development Plan at the moment are mitigating against some of those industries coming into Wicklow. We are talking earlier on about the traffic building up along the N11 going into Dublin, we were talking here in the past about why can't those people be coming out of Bray and North Wicklow into rural parts of Co Wicklow and getting employment as well. The traffic should be coming the opposite direction. I said to you the wording I have put in there may not be the right wording as well and I am perfectly open to the officials to find better wording than I have in there, but I am just trying to emphasise the fact that we should and can allow industrial development in the rural areas.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, I am not sure, when you say to reword...
Cllr BLAKE: Just that I have made a mistake excluding industrial. Look, I am open - I put it in there, I tried to shorten it as much as I possibly could to achieve the objective of what I want to do?
CATHAOIRLEACH: All right, okay, so you are putting "industrial" back in and with "industrial" back in, is it agreed? Agreed.
Cllr TIMMINS: Maybe put "service based" back in as well, that's crossed out.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, "industrial" and "service based" back in. Okay. Agreed? Great. Thank you. Number 22, Cllr McLoughlin.
Cllr McLOUGHLIN: I suppose there is both myself and Cllr Fortune have this proposal in with regards to fast food outlets being sold...
CATHAOIRLEACH: No, no, Cllr McLoughlin, this is no further retail...
Cllr McLOUGHLIN: Sorry, I beg your pardon.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Don't jump the gun.
Cllr McLOUGHLIN: It was worth a try! Yes, I feel very strongly about this, with regards to the fact that given that "4,500 square metres retail warehouse in the Charlesland has received planning permission, no further permission be allowed for retail warehousing in the Greystones area." This goes back to zoning that we have like Bray or Wicklow as level 2 centres and they're not compromised. I do think we don't need box retail in the heart of Greystones, and so I would like to put this in. I do see your response, but I don't think it's adequate, I think we are still open to retail warehousing in the heart of the village, or town should I call it.
CATHAOIRLEACH: That's seconded by Cllr Mitchell. Anybody any thoughts on it, anybody
want to come in, no? Top table.
Cllr MITCHELL: Can I just briefly say, the town of Greystones has expanded greatly and we have resisted putting shopping centres on the outside of Greystones and I think that's helped keep the centre of the town lively, and occupied. And I think that putting lots of shopping centres on the outside makes the trade go there and it means that the centre loses and the town loses quite a lot in doing so. And that's my view on that.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay. The view of the top table?
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: I suppose it's just making a special case for Greystones not having any retail warehousing. It's probably not a great demand for it anywhere at this stage anywhere Councillor, but it seems to be exceptionalism for Greystones. There is permission there, but that will lapse and there won't be allowed any more retail warehousing, it could be even something like a Woodies or something like that there.
Cllr McLOUGHLIN: Yes well I actually think if you bring in something like a Woodies into the heart of Greystones all you are achieving is making sure the Woodies on the outskirts of Bray... DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: You are not talking about the heart of Bray.
Cllr McLOUGHLIN: We have enough zoned in Charlesland. There is an area in Greystones where certain developers want to put in retail warehousing in the heart of the town, and if we don't put something in place which we have in the area plan, but the County Development Plan supersedes it...
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: You are saying if that one lapses, there will be no permission ever for retail warehousing in Greystones.
Cllr McLOUGHLIN: I do think we should put this in and I think it's very important.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: You don't want any retail warehousing at all.
Cllr McLOUGHLIN: Not in the heart of Greystones.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: But on the periphery, the industrial area.
Cllr McLOUGHLIN: We have 4,500 square metres, we have a situation if it runs out of time for the 4,500 , you can relook at it. In the meantime, if we don't do it now, we have a situation where technically they can put in box retailing in the heart of the town. The town is vibrant now, it's sort of like --

DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: Can we say no further area be allowed retail warehousing, rather than no permission, that means no further area, if that one lapses, at least another one can take its place.
Cllr McLOUGHLIN: I would be happy with that.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Whitmore.

Cllr WHITMORE: I was going to speak in support of the motion, but I am happy with that amendment.

## CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Fox.

Cllr FOX: Just to define what the Greystones area is, are we talking Greystones and municipal area, are we talking Greystones town. What is the Greystones area. Municipal District? Okay. That includes Kilcoole, and Newcastle.
Cllr McLOUGHLIN: Yeah, it does, absolutely.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Based on the change that Des proposed - no, that you encouraged or suggested, that you have agreed with, is everybody agreed with that proposal, no, okay, fine, we will go for a vote. Sorry...
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: You sure you don't want to leave it to Greystones town?
Cllr McLOUGHLIN: I am easy, yeah, okay.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Is that what you were going to say?
Cllr LAWLESS: If it was for the whole district, the implications further down the line for industry and retail for the whole district is gone I presume if that goes through, am I correct Des? If it's for the district, that means it's for the retail units...
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: That means anything in the Kilcoole industrial areas.
Cllr LAWLESS: We can't have anything?
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: No.
Cllr LAWLESS: Is it the Greystones centre or is it the Greystones area?
Cllr McLOUGHLIN: When I put this in originally, I meant the Greystones centre. The district of the town of Greystones.
Cllr LAWLESS: You are including the likes of Charlesland as well?
Cllr McLOUGHLIN: Charlesland has 4,500 already sold, so I would include that.
Cllr LAWLESS: Will that still stand there?
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: No further area be, we could interpret that is there was 4,500 granted, it lapsed, so that's available.
Cllr LAWLESS: Thank you.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Is that within...
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: I'll... Can I read that, "Given that 4,500 at retail in Charlesland has planning permission, no further area will be allowed for retail housing in the Greystones town area".

CATHAOIRLEACH: Agreed? Yeah. Move on to number 23. Maybe we will debate number 23 and 24 together. Councillor McLoughlin, you are on again.

Cllr McLOUGHLIN: Before I go into this, what I really would like the Councillors to be aware of today, I would like to see this going into the draft amendment because I would like the people of Wicklow to see this and gauge their responses to it as well. It's such a big thing for Wicklow to actually make a decision like this, that I would like to see it, rather than turn down today, we be a bit open and allow the people of Wicklow to have a say.

So I don't need to read out the whole thing, do you want me to read it out? Basically what we are looking for is that there would be either, I have 300 metres, Cllr Fortune has 400 metres and I am easy, either, no planning permission for fast food outlets beside schools, or parks. Now I do realise that this is a difficult one. But you know, and as a parent, you watch what your children eat and it's up to us as parents to watch what our children eat, but unfortunately at the same time in this country we have a massive problem with obesity. So sometimes as managers, which we all are, we have to make some hard decisions. There is guidelines from the Department of Health and from the Department of the Environment, but unfortunately as we saw in Greystones, and it could be where the fast food outlet, a major fast food outlet, McDonald's has got planning permission right outside the front gates of schools. There is all sorts of talks about neighbourhood centres and you can go into Centra and have a hot roll and it has more calories, and there is that, but it does not preclude us from the fact that we have a possibility, it doesn't affect any retail outlets or fast food shops that are already there, this is going forward that we look at where we are putting our schools if that's what it takes, but we do not give planning permission for big fast food takeaways or small whatever in front of schools.

I am asking, you may have different opinions of it, but please allow this to go into the County Development Plan in order that the people of Wicklow could actually have their say on this, thank you.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Fortune.
Cllr FORTUNE: Thanks Cathaoirleach, obviously there is two motions, we are taking them together. The motion the way I have put it in reflects what was in as a motion to be added into the LAP some time ago and it's still in the melting pot. Basically I am supporting what Cllr McLoughlin is saying and this is kind of -- this has the full support from all the Councillors in the district, so I am not speaking just for myself, but I think in light of how important this is from the point of view of health, and the fact that when we are doing planning that health needs to be taken into account and all that have, and it is something that's going to be coming officially on top of us sooner rather than later because it's going to be discussed at a global level and

European level about the whole area of obesity and the ramifications of that. All we are asking here today is we are in the process of putting the draft plan out for the public to comment on it to put that particular amendment out there so as the public can comment on it, we can make the hard calls and discussions on it when the planning comes back for final discussion. We can get into a big long discussion on it and I have a whole lot of stuff I can talk about but I am not proposing to do that and I would prefer as Cllr McLoughlin said that the members support it, we put it out there for people to come back and comment on it.

## CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Walsh.

Cllr WALSH: Just in support of the proposed amendment, I would like to remind the members of the recent WHO statistics that show a sharp or chronic increase in obesity in the country. An epidemic reaching crisis levels with one in four primary school children overweight or obesity, we are facing life threatening problems with $40 \%$ having high incidence levels. We have a programme for children and teenagers run in the Temple Street Children's Hospital over the last six years which has shown an increase in high cholesterol or abnormal liver function caused by obesity. Added risk of high blood pressure with the risk of diabetes and first signs of heart disease or cancer. That with the added psychological difficulties, with poor self esteem and depression and bullying, poor eating habits, high sugar intakes, sedate lifestyle, with the easy availability of these cheap fast foods, we need to highlight the damage being done to our children and promote a lack of awareness and education is a crucial role with some parents, they sometimes don't realise the damage being caused by the easy availability of some foods that are supposed to be nutrition. The idea is good breakfast, healthy lunch. Children nowadays are reaching adult sizes earlier with eight or nine-year-olds requiring adult sized school uniforms. Treats such as takeaways are no longer treats. They're becoming an everyday thing, we have pizzas, chips, chicken nuggets gets being sold in - if we don't tackle this serious issue now Cathaoirleach, the average life expectancy of our children will begin to fall. It's the responsibility of this Council to take the small step to send out the right message in the fight against a serious health issue and help to safeguard our future generation, so we would ask the members to support the amendment as proposed by Cllr McLoughlin.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Whitmore.
Cllr WHITMORE: I would like to have my support for this on the record N relation to the response from the Chief Executive, while - I think it's important this has been done previously, the reasons for it might be different but Dublin City Council have actually put restrictions on fast food outlets in the centre of Dublin, so there are ways that we can do it and it has been done previously and legal actions haven't arisen from that.

In addition, I know people think well this should be - the onus should be on the parents.
However, obviously the way we are managing this as a country and as a society is not working because we do have serious problems, so we are going to have to start thinking about other ways we can help and we can protect children. And I think this is a really really good way that we can show some leadership in this issue. I would also like - I think it's important that we put this out and allow people the opportunity to have their say on it. It is an important issue and just to get it out on to public consultation a and let's hear from the people, let's hear from the parents, let's hear from the businesses and just give people that opportunity to discuss it, and get it out into the public arena.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Thank you. Cllr Matthews.
Cllr MATTHEWS: Thank you Chairman. The Minister's guidelines on this have been pretty weak, and I think most of us around the room, we know the actual location that we are talking about here, but because his guidelines are so weak and it's not clear what exemptions or conditions he is deeming to be appropriate I think it would be okay to put this out there and let the public come back and assess their opinions on it. Sometimes public opinion can sharpen the mind of people who are running for election quite shortly. Let's see what comes back on it. CATHAOIRLEACH: Thank you Chairman. Councillor Lawless. Cllr LAWLESS: I'll be brief, I am in full support of this as well as my other Councillors. I do agree that - I mean I agree in principle, yes, I have nothing against take out companies coming in, but I mean these particular ones that we are actually talking about are like big beacons of advertisement, it's not like your local store where the advertisement isn't quite as enticing, so there is arguments against and for it, I think we should be striving towards a healthier lifestyle for the people within the county. I do agree it is up to parents' responsibility whether they let their children eat this kind of food or not, I am sure we are all partial to a bag of chips or a burger now and again, I know I am, the waistline shows it but I think it is definitely a good idea to put it out into the public arena, see what the public have to say about it, because I know in particular we are obviously looking at a certain area in Greystones, and there is a lot of to and for, for it. So I think it would be good to get a really good balance to see exactly how people feel in the area. I would be in agreement putting it out to the public and revisiting it again.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Vance.
Cllr VANCE: Chairman, I have no problem with it going out to public consultation, but I have grave reservations about this. What sort of a country are we becoming where, I mean, we are taking the role of parents away from their children by saying like we are trying to dictate what
other people's children eat? I mean what sort of a nanny State are we becoming when - I have always believed that it's parents decide what their children eat. Where do we stop? Last week we had a World Health Organisation said red meat is very bad for people. Do we get rid of butchers then? Where do we stop in all this. I don't think this should be in the Development Plan, I think common sense should apply in regards to locations and various things, I don't think there should be too many fast food outlets in lots of places but I don't think there should be too many euro shops in places and various things like that, so where do we stop? I don't have an objection to this going out to public consultation but I do have a major objection in regard to this going into the Development Plan and for Councillors and councils trying to control people's lives. I think it's absolutely wrong that it takes away the rights and the intelligence of people to decide what they do, what they want for their kids and how they want their kids to develop. CATHAOIRLEACH: Thank you. Cllr Murphy.
Cllr MURPHY: I had similar thoughts to Cllr Vance a little bit. I think okay we might need to highlight it, maybe not as detailed as Cllr Walsh, because I actually feel guilty going for a bag of chips after being in the pub after that! But anyway, I'll still do it! I actually would feel guilty the next time.

CATHAOIRLEACH: He doesn't practice what he preaches.
Cllr MURPHY: I would like to thank Cllr Walsh for bringing that statistic to us. I do feel as Councillors, we can't dictate, but on saying that, I don't think it's also down to children, I think us adults after going to the pub, we really shouldn't go to the chippers, but I don't think it is the place for the draft plan.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay. Finally Cllr McLoughlin, you just want to come back in. Cllr McLOUGHLIN: I just want to put on the record, I am certainly and I think I can speak for my colleagues, we are certainly not against any fast food outlets, that's not what the issue is here. The issue here is putting fast food restaurants or whatever straight in front of the front entrance of schools. I mean for anybody to say were asking parents what to feed their children, that's just silly talk, I mean we are not. And neither would we even contemplate such a thing, what we are saying is when you have fast food outlets outside of schools and maybe we have to reword it different, that's a problem. And going forward, they are there now, but going forward I would like to think that we wouldn't that's all.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Timmins.
Cllr TIMMINS: In principle I have nothing against it, but the practical application of it, think of a town like Blessington where the primary schools are on the main street and the only retail outlets in Blessington would be on the main street so, 300 metres mightn't be workable there, it's
just the practical side of how it would work in smaller towns would need to be taken account of. CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay...
Cllr LAWLESS: It said excluding premises zoned town centres. The town centres aren't included.
Cllr TIMMINS: That might get over it.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Fortune.
Cllr FORTUNE: I take it we are taking 23 and 24 together.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Before we split the difference to 350, we might get a view from the top table.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: We have made our view in the written response there, and we have also done it at a planning SPC that the Greystones Councillors are at, so there is no need for us to read that further into the record.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Just in terms of the proposal, if we could merge them both together, are you okay to go with 350 ?
Cllr McLOUGHLIN: I am okay to go with 400.
Cllr FORTUNE: 400 was in the original.
CATHAOIRLEACH: 400? Okay, we will go for 400 , is that agreed? So we will go for a vote.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: There is two motions there, do you want to go with the words -
Grainne's is a bit more expansive, Tom's is a bit more to the point I...
Cllr FORTUNE: We are saying we go out to 400, the purpose of the whole thing as we said earlier...
CATHAOIRLEACH: We will go with your 400 and her detail, okay.
Cllr FORTUNE: That's fine.
Cllr VANCE: What are we having a vote on, going out to consultation? I have no objection to it going out to public consultation.
Cllr CASEY: It's agreed then.
Cllr VANCE: I express my views on it, but I have no problem with the public having a say on it.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Is everybody else okay with that, Miriam are you okay with that?
Cllr MURPHY: Sure I am happy.
CATHAOIRLEACH: There is nobody against that. Could we just have a seconder for Tom Fortune's...
Cllr McLOUGHLIN: What does Tom say?
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: It's Grainne's one you were taking.
MS GALLAGHER: We are taking 23 and 24 together.

DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: We are putting 400 into...
CATHAOIRLEACH: We are just doing away with yours officially then okay, grand. DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: And amending number 23.
CATHAOIRLEACH: That's agreed. Number 25, Cllr Matthews. Can you just hit your light.
Part 12, it includes cycle paths and that's been accepted, there is no objection to that I don't think.
Amendment RT22 is covered in TR222, so I accept that and withdraw RT22.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: That's agreed, is it? Is there a seconder?
MS GALLAGHER: Are both withdrawn or...
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Matthews, I should have got a seconder, the first one you are saying it's okay, the CE are okay, so who is seconding, Cllr Brady is seconding that, and that's agreed? Agreed. Okay, fine. And then the second part of that, you are happy to let go? Okay, so number 26, Cllr Fox.
Cllr CASEY: Could we go back and tidy up what we have left behind?
CATHAOIRLEACH: We will, we are on a roll at the moment, so we will keep going. 26.
Cllr FOX: Thank you Chairman. This is just the very same as amendment number 17, only it's the tourism and recreation zonings that are being de-zoned. Although I would exclude Ballynattin, as it's now within the Arklow Environs Plan I believe. There is no need for it to go into the County Development Plan.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: That's a change is it?
Cllr FOX: That's a change, but the rest I would propose the same argument as the employment zonings.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Do you have a seconder? Seconder is Shay Cullen. Is it agreed? Agreed. Okay. The Arklow MD, who is going to speak on this one? I think your Chairman is lost, is he? Oh, there he is there.
Cllr BOURKE: Just a bit confusing there, the members of the Arklow district are unanimous in their support of this proposal for this particular zoning for the Jack Whites' public house. It came up before at a previous County Development Plan review where it was withdrawn at the last minute because the road infrastructure wasn't in place, but I think there was agreement in principle at the time that it was a good idea. Now that the road infrastructure is in place, it's being revisited. So we propose this in the Arklow area, that it will enhance our Municipal District
CATHAOIRLEACH: Do you have a seconder Miriam. Nobody is showing on that one. Top table, for you?
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: I suppose as a normal development on a junction on the N11 for
something that's not terribly clear what tourism development you really want next to a junction on a motorway, we think it's not clear what's needed here and so on that basis I am not in favour of it.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, in terms of the proposal, is it agreed?
Cllr McDONALD: If anybody wants clarification about what it's all about, Cllr Bourke has the submission we have from the owner if you want to copy it to see what he is actually proposing, there is quite --
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: Too late now, it's agreed!
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, 28, Cllr Matthews.
Cllr MATTHEWS: CD 25, that's, CE has no objection to that.
CATHAOIRLEACH: The first part there, "playgrounds to be located where passively supervised" - do we have a seconder for that?
Cllr MATTHEWS: It's a good one, somebody second it?
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Murphy seconded. Are we all agreed with that bit?
Cllr CASEY: I would be wary that we are excluding playgrounds, not every village or town can find a location that's always going to be overlooked.
Cllr MATTHEWS: It's considered necessary by the planning authority.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: This refers to housing developments where we have in excess of 50 houses, the developer has to put it in, just saying if it is there, it will be supervised
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, the second part of this.
Cllr MATTHEWS: The second one, I am advised here now that the Development Plan is not the appropriate place to put this, it was just about identifying, we talked about it before, posters sizes for election campaign, but the advice is it will be dealt with through the environment SPC, could I ask that the Chairman of the - we pass that to the SPC for consideration.
Cllr CASEY: After March?
Cllr MATTHEWS: After March?
CATHAOIRLEACH: I like that fly pestering, do you see the way you had fly pestering in there, I don't know if you saw that. Okay. Okay, number 29.
MS GALLAGHER: Is it agreed?
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: We can take 29 and 30 together.
CATHAOIRLEACH: One second, that one there, we are just sending that to the SPC, so it's not actually - it's withdrawn. So you are saying 28-29 and 30 together.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: One encompasses the other.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, fine, Cllr Cullen.

Cllr Shay CULLEN: Do you want to take 30 first, I suppose it includes all four, rather than... Cllr FOX: Again it's the same as 17 and 26, only this time it's the nursing home zoning and I do know of two of these that have plans to develop, but again my opinion is to put it out on display, so I propose to put it out on display, let's establish again the status of these. So I would propose to have them included in the draft.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, Cllr Matthews.
Cllr MATTHEWS: My usual argument for that one there, yeah, thanks Chairman.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Are you going to second -- Shay will, yeah -- is that agreed? Well obviously you don't agree.
Cllr MATTHEWS: Just let it go out to public.
CATHAOIRLEACH: I appreciate that. Number 31. So because 29, you can take 29 out because of that, so 29 is pulled out, it was 30 that went through. Okay. Number 31, Vincent, do you want to put on your light.
Cllr BLAKE: Thank you Chairman. Child care facilities, I am conscious of the fact that it's a big issue out there and a big cost to parents, and in every aspect of it. I am querying why children in urban areas can have the provision of a purpose built child care facility, where children in the rural area will have to put up with a conversion of a farmhouse, second class citizens? So I know of a number of child care facilities where extensions have been built to houses that have worked very well; I also know of one other area where actually planning permission was given for a stand alone child care facility in a rural area that's very well accepted and working very well for the provision of quite a number of children. So I am putting the thing to the members here, why should anybody in the rural areas have a second class facility, with the conversion of an outdoor farm building or whatever else, as against the urban areas being provided with a state of the art child care facility? That's the only point I am making with regards to it Chairman.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Timmins are you seconding that?
Cllr TIMMINS: I would like to second that please, yeah.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Nobody is showing, top table, what's your view?
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: I didn't realise that was the thrust of -- I didn't really understand why it was. We could leave out the first bullet point and the rest then would be - and the second bullet point like you are not really going to talk about having a giraffe sort of facility in the middle of a rural area with lots of car transport coming from somewhere else. I mean there is a whole basis of the plan is that we are trying to build up settlements to be the driver of the rural area, the rural settlements.

Cllr FOX: I think it's fair to suggest that they justify it, the last point I think is a reasonable point, the scale of the facility should be justified on the basis of catchment, I think that's a reasonable point to leave in.
Cllr BLAKE: That probably covers it to an extent.
CATHAOIRLEACH: You are okay to take out bullet point one and two, are you.
Cllr BLAKE: You are talking about excluding bullet points one and two, and...
Cllr TIMMINS: Why would you exclude bullet points one and two, why are you proposing that?

DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: That's what Vincent wanted.
Cllr BLAKE: To me it looked like you were just -- child care informs a rural area just for conversion of buildings, that's all.
Cllr MURPHY: Can you give us an example of what you mean?
Cllr BLAKE: Can we just exclude the first two out of it then?
CATHAOIRLEACH: Yeah, happy, is that agreed.
Cllr MATTHEWS: Do you have to show a need for it, if you are able to construct a new dwelling, what's to stop that becoming a house in five years if the business doesn't work out? Cllr BLAKE: My understanding is the one that was granted, there was one granted back seven or eight years ago and it was clearly stipulated it could never be converted to a house, that's my understanding of it anyway. Okay.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: Agreed.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Agreed, great. Number 32A.
Cllr MATTHEWS: This is about the Luas coming to the Fassaroe lands or to Bray, that in the event - where the Luas, if the Luas is proposed and then it ends up being a bus rapid transport link instead, I just want to ensure there was enough land preserved then to go for Luas further down the line, but I think the response of the Chief Executive is that that would be a matter for the NTA, and R PA. And the Council's roads department. It was just to draw attention to the fact that in a lot of urban locations now that we have built and a couple of years down the line we are trying to put in bus corridors and cycle lanes and trying to accommodate everything into a narrow roadscape, it was just to recognise that. I accept the CE's response on it and I'll
CATHAOIRLEACH: Are you going to pull that?
Cllr MATTHEWS: I suppose when we go for the application for permission up there at Fassaroe, or when we revisit that, I'll include it at that stage.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Do you want to go for 32B?
Cllr MATTHEWS: There is no objective to that one, so I just wanted to tighten up the wording
on that that it would be the Local Authority would deem it appropriate?
CATHAOIRLEACH: Are you guys okay with that?
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: Okay.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Do you have a seconder for that? Nicola. Okay. 33, Cllr Mitchell. Where is he? Okay, we will come back, Councillor Shay Cullen, number 34.

Cllr Tommy CULLEN: Both of these issues can be taken 34 and 35, just measures for a level 8 small village of Annamoe, due to high speed of traffic from the busy Kilmacanogue to Glendalough road, there is ongoing problems with accidents and speed through a very small village, and flooding through the winter months and I just want these to be included as objectives to the County Development Plan. Thank you.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Do you have a seconder?
Cllr CASEY: I have no problem seconding this, but I am just slightly concerned that after being through two County Development Plans we were never allowed before go down to this level of objectives and I think we all could come in with a list of traffic calming measures objectives for all over the county, and the document would be full of them. I have no problem with what Shay is saying in relation to both issues, there are issues in Annamoe about speeding, but this is probably not the appropriate place to put them or we will all be in with a load of traffic calming and flood risks.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Can I ask the top table to comment?
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: I suppose the problem with anything in the Development Plan is not -doesn't, sorry, it doesn't imply there will be funding available. They're fairly meaningless and again if we do -- we will actually have to resist these in every single part of the county. It's just not strategic enough. If it was a Local Area Plan or a town plan, you can put in more local things into it, but I think in the main plan, there is meant to be a strategic document. As I was saying in my semi-rant at the beginning, I think the document is way way too big, it's almost impossible for anyone to read as it stands and if we allow those things go in, it will just get bigger.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Could I suggest those go through the SPC. Okay? Great. 36A, Cllr Matthews.
Cllr MATTHEWS: This is under waste and environment emissions, I accept number one. CATHAOIRLEACH: When you say you accept number one?
Cllr MATTHEWS: I accept the response of the Chief Executive, so I withdraw that. Objective 2, there is a requirement to produce annual air quality, and an annual audit and provide the figures, but I just wonder can the planning authority request those, that information, at a time
where there is a complaint rather than having to wait for an annual report to come out? And with noise as well, similar to that as well, where noise levels, where there is conditions restricting the amount of noise that's permitted from a development, that we will be able to request monitor where it's part of the condition at any time, rather than waiting for an annual report on it. CATHAOIRLEACH: Can I ask the top table for a response.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: I suppose the -- we start with the noise, we are not a noise agency, we don't have a set up to deal with noise. We normally take the standard - EPA are the noise authority. We normally take the EPA noise standards. Where we actually have put in superior standards, An Bord Pleanala have changed them and taken them out so, I really don't think that's practical. I suppose providing air quality missions data as requested by the planning authority, again that's not really our remit. I suppose it's not going to actually require us to do anything, because some of your ones further on Steve where I would actually have difficulty with. I would rather it wasn't there, but the noise one I think we can't really accept that, because we are not fixed up for it.

Cllr MATTHEWS: I was just trying to tighten things up for us a little bit, so okay, I accept that, the three of them I accept the response on that.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Can I go back to 33 as Cllr Mitchell - sorry, Cllr Bourke.
Cllr BOURKE: Cllr Matthews, that wasn't an attack on farming activities was it, the air quality monitoring?
Cllr MATTHEWS: On which?
Cllr BOURKE: On farming practices.
Cllr MATTHEWS: Do we want to go down that road?
Cllr BOURKE: Can you clarify whether that was an attack on farming or not.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: Can you keep your cows tails between their legs!
Cllr MATTHEWS: What was the question there.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Mitchell, number 33.
Cllr MITCHELL: Yes...
Cllr CASEY: That would be similar to 32, 34 and 35.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Yeah, it could be. Cllr Mitchell, just when you were out of the room, Cllr Cullen put forward ones about traffic calming and flooding and the like and they were deemed to be non-strategic, and the request was to run them through the SPC as being a more appropriate vehicle for that, so would you be okay with that.
Cllr MITCHELL: Yeah.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, great, thank you for that. Let's go on to 37 Cllr Matthews.

Cllr MATTHEWS: Thank you Chairman, this is very similar to an objective that was included recently by Dun Laoghaire/Rathdown County Council. I think it was Fine Gael that actually proposed this amendment. That they'd reassess the way in which they judge the energy efficiency of buildings and design throughout their planning area, and I did send around a note to everybody there, it was a pretty short note just to try and outline the difference between what I am proposing here and what we currently have. And it's just to look at the way a building performs rather than how we rate it, because there is - you can have bits and pieces attached to a building that will give you a high A rating but it may not be performing very well. And on the other hand, you can have a building that performs very well but dint have all those requirements that would get you all the ticks to get you an A rating. So I just think while we improve building regulations to look at energy rating, it was a step in the right direction but this is an opportunity to go even further and look, I know it's a big ask, I know that there is going to be probably a lot of argument against it, but I really do think it's the way forward. I think that building regulations are going to be changed at some point or another quite soon I would imagine. And we will be looking at this as the normal standard that will apply, but I think it would mark Wicklow out as being very progressive and I would ask that some other objectives that are going on here today that, we let it out to public consultation, see what the views are, if the members aren't satisfied with it at that stage, they can take it out. Thank you Chairman. I am happy to answer any questions if people have.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Do you have a seconder. Matt
Cllr WINTERS: I'll second it, I can't say I am all in favour with this, but I think he is right we are putting other motions to hear the public's opinion, put this out there, let's hear the public's opinion.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Fox.
Cllr FOX: Thanks Chairman. I have no problem with it going out on public display but I would have serious reservations about putting additional requirements above the national guidelines or national control measures. It does increase cost, and we are looking for houses to be built, it's the single biggest issue here in the county - we need houses. So I accept let it go on public display, but I would have reservations about putting additional costs on the building of houses. CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Mitchell.

Cllr MITCHELL: I would agree with Cllr Fox, I have a problem about putting costs on building houses. We need more house. People need houses over their head and they need to be able to afford them and I think that one of the problems about building at the moment is we put a lot of extra regulations, three or four different sets of regulations in all sorts of social objectives,
etcetera, down, and these increase the cost of housing, and a lot of people can't afford that and they're not being built, and I think if nobody here has given, has any idea of what the cost of this could be, it could be 30,000 a house, I don't know. But I suspect it could be in that region. And I think to put that on, it would be a disincentive. I don't have an objection to it going out on display and seeing what people think, but at the end of the day, I would be against objectives which put extra costs on houses, because I think that means they're not going to get built, people are not going to have homes.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Timmins?
Cllr TIMMINS: I just concur with Cllr Mitchell, I would oppose this, there is already stringent regulations in terms of energy rating and insulation in new houses. Building regulations are so much more stringent now than they were ten or 15 years ago, to be adding more to it I think is wrong.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Behan?
Cllr BEHAN: Chairman we are going through one of the worst housing crisis we have ever experienced in this country and this county and we are now considering putting out a proposal to the public that would actually make housing more expensive, at a time when supply is critically short. I don't see the point of putting something like this out to the public, I certainly wouldn't be supporting it, and by putting it out to display, you are giving an impression to people that we are going to tolerate increased prices in housing at a time when many people are suffering either homelessness or on waiting lists, or they're in negative equity. To me it's a parallel green universe concept and it might have been acceptable in Dun Laoghaire, and Fine Gael might have proposed it, but I certainly don't think we should agree to it. So I would be against it.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Bourke.
Cllr BOURKE: Well Cathaoirleach, having listened to what Cllr Behan said there, if a project came forward or if say a new installation for your home became available that was going to save you money and the pay back period was two or three years, it might be a no-brainer to have it included in new homes. You really would have to keep an open mind on it, I believe we should have an open mind on these because energy efficiency is very important, what's the point in having a house that's going to cost extra to heat, if by spending three or four times more initially, you would have the price of it back in no time. And you would be in positive territory into the future, it's question of getting the balance wrong. The best available technology not exceeding excessive cost, I think that's what should apply in this case.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Winters.
Cllr WINTERS: When I seconded this, it's so that people can comment. I don't know enough to
know how much extra, if any, a passive house costs. I also would not like to see an increased cost of housing for people, but I am prepared to hear all of the views that people have. And I do think that we, as citizens, or as elected representatives, of the citizens of Co Wicklow actually have to allow them to express their views and this is one way that that can happen. Thanks. CATHAOIRLEACH: The view of the top table?
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: I suppose we are against it I suppose on the basis of the department guidance on it, that the builders don't contend with different standards in different places but just to comment on the costs, I did a bit of - I rung around a couple of quantity surveyors, they said St Patrick's school did an extension in Greystones and he thought it took 40 to 50\% extra costs for that. Now I asked him would he just check out what would all QSs feel that. He looked up a couple of reports. One said about $10 \%$, he said on the passive houses, they said it's 10 to $15 \%$ extra. Our QS here was talking to his counterparts in Dun Laoghaire and they worked out it's a 92 year payback period for it. These things can change over time and technology and be as skills get better, so I wouldn't say it would be definitely out, but certainly right now, the costs seem to be fairly significant.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay. Thanks for the -- we will take a vote. MS GALLAGHER: Proposed by Steven Matthews, seconded by Cllr Winters.
(A vote was taken)

MS GALLAGHER: 21 against, five for, and six not present.
CATHAOIRLEACH: All right, next one up is number 38, Cllr Matthews, the special amenity area, the CE is okay with this, do you have a seconder for that?
Cllr MATTHEWS: That line was to go in after bullet point number one, so it would have included that insertion by the CE. So I don't know do I have a seconder for it.
Cllr McLOUGHLIN: I'll second that.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: There is two points here Cathaoirleach, one is there will be one site, which is Bray Head SAAO and Cllr Matthews wants to put in the possible SAAO sites that were in the previous plan, which really is in amendment 40.

Cllr MATTHEWS: Yeah.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: If you decide to put them back in then, you will be having consideration of SAAO sites and possible SAAO sites. If we don't put in what's in amendment 40, it would be just SAAO sites which would be the Bray Head SAAO site, which we have no objection to, the other one is for the members.

Cllr MATTHEWS: We will see how that one goes.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, go to 40A and 40B, they're both yours.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: There is not an A, there is two parts to it, I call them A and B. That's just me. To implement measures set out in the Bray Head SAAO and investigate the possibility of designation of further SAAOs in the county as per map volume 2 of the County Development Plan 2010 to 2016. We have no problem with implementing the measures of Bray Head, it's already in the plan, but to investigate the object of designation of further SAAOs is a matter for the members, because we did do an SAAO and brought it up to the members, about the small and great Sugar Loafs and it was rejected. If we are putting it back in the plan, it would be a matter for the members to put that back in.
CATHAOIRLEACH: It's just a...
Cllr MATTHEWS: It's the possibility of a designation, it's not setting an objective. I would like to leave it in the plan that it may continue for continuity throughout our Development Plans, it's about there for 20 years, it's still a good objective, it may not be an objective of this Council, but I would still like to leave it as a possibility of an objective throughout this plan, that it may be carried over into the next plan and members can decide at some point in the future or maybe never decide for it, it's just to include it, it's the exact same wording as is included in the current plan and I just want it included in this plan.
CATHAOIRLEACH: The first bit there, the CE you are saying it's already an objective, are you okay with that part?
Cllr MATTHEWS: About the Bray Head SAAO?
CATHAOIRLEACH: Yeah.
Cllr MATTHEWS: That's included in it, I am okay with that.
CATHAOIRLEACH: We will draw that one. It's the second part of that one "investigate the possibility of other SAAOs." Christopher Fox.
Cllr FOX: On the SAAO in relation to the Sugar Loafs, the members voted recently to reject that SAAO for quite obvious reasons, namely there was private land and all sorts of difficulties with it. Now this plan should carry out the members' vote on that occasion. The Sugar Loaf SAAO should be removed completely. Because An Bord Pleanala keep making reference to the possible SAAO. And on two occasions, namely quite recently, it has been rejected by the members to put an SAAO on the Sugar Loafs, so it should not be an objective in the Development Plan and it should not be even the possible SAAO should be removed. I have no problem with Bray Head, it's much more straightforward, it's in place, I have no difficulty with that. But all the stakeholders on the Sugar Loaf have indicated that they are not in favour, and
the members have subsequently voted that they're not in favour of an SAAO on the Sugar Loaf. So I think that the SAAO on the Sugar Loafs, or possible SAAOs should be removed entirely. CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Vance.
Cllr VANCE: I totally agree with that Chairman. I think it places all sorts of problems on landowners and various things like that. I don't think it's achievable either, certainly the last few times that we discussed it, it was generally agreed that it was not achievable at all. I think by having it in there, it gives the hope to some people and it's being used by some people for their own ends as well. I think it should be -- I would support Cllr Fox in the fact that it should be removed.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, Cllr Matthews, do you have a seconder for that proposal? Cllr MATTHEWS: Probably not. It's been a planning objective of this Council for a long long time, I don't see why it's not an objective anymore? We have had plenty of --
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, unfortunately if you don't have a seconder, sorry.
Cllr MATTHEWS: Okay, that's fine, I accept that.
CATHAOIRLEACH: So what happens then to 38 ?
Cllr MATTHEWS: Then 38 just...
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: That will be outside zoning.
Cllr MATTHEWS: Fair enough.
CATHAOIRLEACH: 39, Cllr Cullen, Shay.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: Hang on, number 38.
MS GALLAGHER: Cllr McLoughlin.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Cullen yeah.
Cllr Shay CULLEN: Obviously the whole area of wind turbines and wind farms is a very contentious issue in rural communities. Whilst I am in favour of renewable and green energy, we must as public representatives listen to and represent the concerns of the public. Wind farms, whilst on paper seem to be a logical way to go about generating renewable energy, but there is a cost to communities that are located in or beside wind farms, and I believe that these communities have to be listened to and helped. This is something I feel gets overlooked at times. Commercial wind farms sometimes come at too great a cost to rural communities. These communities in general go back generations and just because of the nature of rural communities being a little further down the road from their neighbour, this does not mean that the community spirit or sense of belonging to a community is any way less than the community spirit in an urban setting. We would never consider placing commercial wind turbines close to an urban setting, but we are willing to place them close to rural homes and as far as I am concerned, this is
unacceptable. It is well documented recently in the media that there are serious health concerns with regarding to wind turbines. There is also visual flicker, there is noise pollution, there is disturbance to wildlife habitats, concern over the impact on rural landscape, negative impact on tourism, devaluing family homes, a little bit like pylons, nobody wants a wind turbine beside their home because it virtually leaves it that it's unsaleable.

And most of all, which is a huge problem in rural communities is the division that these wind turbines are causing within rural communities.

Now I note the response from the CE, it would effectively end providing wind energy projects in Co Wicklow. I am here to help and support the people of Co Wicklow before worrying about wind energy companies who are interested in profits. I would ask the Councillors to support this motion and let the people of Wicklow have their say on this contentious issue and as stated, I would suggest that wind farms be at least 1,000 metres away from any residential dwellings. CATHAOIRLEACH: Do you have a seconder for that? Seconded by Cllr Ruttle. Just hold on now. Cllr Kennedy.
Cllr KENNEDY: I had my light on to second that, I fully concur with Cllr Cullen on this, I think 1,000 metres is a realistic distance, and we are waiting on the new guidelines whenever they come from the Government. Unfortunately I don't believe they'll be published before the general election, and I wonder why. But these commercial developments on the tops of mountains in rural areas are causing stress, division and the splitting of rural communities and families and I fully support what Cllr Cullen has put forward here today. 1,000 metres is a realistic distance from a dwelling house.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Ruttle.
Cllr RUTTLE: Yeah, I have seconded that, I have had experience of the same and one of the items Cllr Cullen raised is the amount of division it raises in areas is huge. Leaving aside all the other, it's something that leaves a residue for a good while.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Do we have -- sorry?
Cllr MATTHEWS: I don't support that, it will go against national policy, European policy. I can't support it. Thank you.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Fortune.
Cllr FORTUNE: Thanks Cathaoirleach, I think one of the points Cllr Cullen has listed as the rational for his proposal, the negative impact on tourism, I don't think we should floss over it, it's an important point, tourism is one of the main drivers of our economic activity in the county, so
it's very, very important and we had a lot of discussion on this in the past if my memory serves me correctly but it just seemed to stop and there is a lot of discussion still out there about the value of all this, what is the actual saving, is there an actual saving? I think those kind of conversations need to be discussed as well. But I think we shouldn't be doing things within the county that are actually causing negativity or negative effects on something that has such potential for us. It's a serious issue as far as I am concerned.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr O'Neill.
Cllr O'NEILL: I just wonder here, we are talking about national issues here and if Cllr Cullen, what was the reply from the Minister? You know, our Government Minister, I think we can spend time talking about issues like this here, but I mean, it's a Government Minister's deals with wind turbines, we can spend a lot of time in the chamber here talking about this stuff and really it's your own Minister that's shoving this down our throats, and previous governments as Fianna Fail governments, it's been pushed down our throats. The argument is really in there, not in the Chamber here.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, Cllr Mitchell.
Cllr MITCHELL: Sorry, I would just like to say that we do have an objective, European things to meet in terms of energy and we have very substantial wind assets here which other countries don't have. Obviously if it's beside your house, it's a problem, I don't know what the present distance is, but we do have other things that go through rural areas for national objectives, such as motorways, and there is a scheme of compensating people who have motorways next to them, and I think that at some national level, something should be done on that basis, that those who do have these things inflicted on them, if they don't want them, that there is some system of compensating them. Of a similar way to the way that motorways are handled, being the national objective of providing transport and something which we all use. But actually the noise from a motorway can be quite offensive to those people who live within a kilometre of it. So I intend abstaining on this, but I think woe should have a national policy which recognises the fact that we need to compensate people if we are to meet the objectives of a certain amount of renewable energy.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr McLoughlin.
Cllr McLOUGHLIN: We seem to be curtailed all throughout today with regards to national policies and I am just wondering if there is a national policy on this, how can we amend our County Development Plan that's against national policy? So what I would like to know is what is the national policy and are we actually legally allowed to do this, that's what I would like to know?

CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Behan. Chairman, I fully agree with what Councillor... CATHAOIRLEACH: Your button didn't come on.
Cllr BEHAN: There is a huge irony when most of us would be dealing with people who when they try to get planning permission for a one-off house are told that it would have a terrible impact on the visual amenity of the area, and yet the County Council forward planning unit are saying to us that we should always keep the door open to have this kind of massive monstrosity in the local rural community, it's just to my mind extremely inconsistent and it's one of the reasons I believe firmly why many rural people are completely against these turbines, because they see the injustice of a person who is trying to get planning permission for a son or daughter being refused because a simple house might be a blot on the landscape. So like national policy or no national policy, common sense dictates that when you treat rural people very unfairly at one level and then you treat these massive constructions and the businesses that are promoting them with sympathy on another level, it's no wonder people are so much against them, as well as all the other reasons that Cllr Cullen so ably pointed out Chairman, so I certainly agree with him. CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Walsh.
Cllr WALSH: I would like to concur with the previous speakers on the negative impact the likes of this has on communities and tourism. I think the emphasis going forward should be on offshore wind farms rather than having them in rural communities and also maybe to explore the possibility of solar energy, if we are going to get away from the dependence on food outlets fossil fuels.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Murphy.
Cllr MURPHY: I concur with the other Councillors and also to say that of my experience and other Councillors here attending meetings say like the Ballymanus wind objection committee, no compensation makes up for these behind their houses. Compensation. It doesn't matter what they offer, they're taking over the - people are there for years and years and years in this area and now they have no say in what's done in their area behind their garden or whatever. Also, another point is that my experience is there is farmers who have worked their land and it has been handed down from generation to generation and have now have these being just placed on their land without say, but we will give you a pay back if you let us. And also these farmers are trying to hand down their farm to the next generation, and it's not - the policy might be coming from our top tables, higher than here, but the reality, they're not living in the real world and we as Councillors are seeing what the real world is for these. There are farmers who have cried at these meetings. That's reality. Thank you.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Brady.

Cllr BRADY: Thanks Cathaoirleach. I just say, the primary problem here is the lack of national policy. I mean there is national guidelines. There is no coherent policy and that's the main problem here, and there has been huge pressure put on the Government and to hear from someone over there say there will be nothing this side of an election is disappointing because communities the length and breadth of the island are crying out for proper legislation, not just guidelines. Because every county is different, I mean if we put in place stringent controls here in Wicklow, it means it's going to push it on to Kildare or Carlow or somewhere else. There needs to be a coherent national policy, not just guidelines. Because what we are seeing here is Ireland, and I said this in a previous debate, we are being used here as an offshore island for wind turbines to generate electricity, renewable energy for the UK market, so it's all being sent over there via the interconnector. That's fundamentally wrong, and that's being facilitated because there is stringent policy in place in the UK to govern all wind turbines and that. Some of those policies, I mean European wide, now stating 1,000 metres away from a dwelling, I am happy to allow that go out and I agree with that, but some of the controls there in the UK and other areas, they work of a policy there of anything over 25 metres high, the wind turbine, it has to be at least ten times away from the dwellings. So I mean that would work out at 250 metres in and around that from a dwelling. That seems to be a European norm, so I mean what we are saying here is greatly in excess of that. I am happy for that to go out. But the main problem here is the national - a lack of a national policy so I think maybe outside of that, we should be lobbying for that as well.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Councillor Pat Kennedy again.
Cllr KENNEDY: There is no compensation package out there that can compensate a farm and families and rural dwellers who have been for generations in some cases on the rural landscape. They're the keepers of the rural area, and 1,000 metres is realistic. I actually think the European guidelines is more than 250 metres. I think it's 1,000 metres and could be going up to two kilometres in some cases as far as I know, but 1,000 metres is realistic. Cllr Cullen's proposal is good, he is not saying he is against them, he says there needs to be a realise distance between a farmhouse and these turbines or pylons. The members need to realise the confusion and the hardship and stress this is causing in rural areas. This has the potential to break up the rural families in our county. We are elected to represent the people here and we have to listen to what the people are saying. And I'll second that Cllr Cullen and I would hope everyone in this chamber will support you, thank you Cathaoirleach.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: Something against the wind comes to mind! All I can say is we, Sorcha
and the team did an exercise putting 1,000 metre radius around every house, and you end up with the Wicklow mountains and a small bit around Crockan where there is a windfarm. Effectively this policy will mean no wind farms in Wicklow, just as long as you know what you are doing. CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay. Is that agreed?
Cllr McLOUGHLIN: I asked is it legal what we are doing? Can we do it if there is national policy?

DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: Cathaoirleach, you can do what you like, the Minister may well come down with a direction.

Cllr McLOUGHLIN: Okay.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Somebody said no, they don't agree.
Cllr WINTERS: Yeah.
Cllr NOLAN: Councillor, I forget there was so many speakers, is it possible to investigate offshore, or is that completely out of the question.

DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: That's not in your county.
Cllr WINTERS: That's not in the County Development Plan!
CATHAOIRLEACH: We will have a vote.
(A vote was taken)

MS GALLAGHER: That's 22 for, three against, six not present and one abstaining.
CATHAOIRLEACH: 41 is next, Cllr Fortune.
Cllr FORTUNE: Basically what I am asking is that this be put into the plan, that we facilitate the development of a coastal walking route between Bray and Arklow. I think it's something that has been discussed a long time before.

CATHAOIRLEACH: The CE are okay with that actually, do you have a seconder. Okay, seconded, the first one I saw was Cllr Matthews. No, you wanted to make a comment.

Cllr FOX: Thank you Chairman, I would be supportive of this proposal. However, in light of the fiasco that Sligo County Council made of Lisadell House with walkways, and now the Dublin to Galway greenway has ran into significant problems and has been shelved, they both ran into problems because there was no prior consultation and agreement with the landowners. Okay. So I would suggest from the outset that this will be progressed quickly, if there is consultation and agreement sought from whatever landowners that it is crossing, and I would even go further to say in any chapters and I know in the coastal zoning in particular, there are a lot of references to establishing walking routes and walking trails. In all chapters where
reference is made to developing walking routes or establishing rights of way, it should be in consultation and agreement with the landowners. Okay. Could that be...

CATHAOIRLEACH: You are amending it to...
Cllr FOX: I hope Tom doesn't get me wrong, I fully support what he is proposing, I think it would be a wonderful thing if we could get it into practice, but...

CATHAOIRLEACH: Do you have a seconder for that? Cllr Casey is the first one I saw. Do you want to come in.

Cllr CASEY: Just briefly, I am supportive of Cllr Fortune's and I am fully supportive of Cllr Fox, I mean the development of linear walking routes and long linear walking routes is critical for the tourism industry because it has the potential of keeping people in the county overnight. But equally as Cllr Fox has stated, we see the difficulty that the one going to Galway has run into already because of lack of consultation, and agreement with landowners, and we know the trouble we got into in 2004, in the County Development Plan because we didn't include the words "consultation agreement with the landowners", I think it's critical them two words are put into this, so I'll second Cllr Fox's amendment to Cllr Fortune's proposal, but I think it's a fantastic objective we need to deliver on.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Mitchell.
Cllr MITCHELL: One of the biggest parts of this to try and achieve is the bit between Greystones and Wicklow Town, which is walkable at the moment and the biggest issue there is that you are actually walking alongside or close to a live railway line, and the landlord there presumably is mostly CIE. But I would certainly be very supportive of this and I think it's a great objective to have. I think it's more complicated with landowners when you get South of Wicklow Town, but I support this.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, Cllr Fitzgerald?
Cllr FITZGERALD: I fully support the motion but just to give you some idea, we put a walk over the sea on the South side of Arklow there, about 1,000 metres and we tried to extend it and straight away, fireworks, there was fireworks when we tried to extend it because of who owned the - some of the ground in fact and we had to abandon ship, pardon the pun, I think it will be extremely difficult to get agreement. I fully support it from Arklow to Brittas Bay to be quite honest about it, that was stopped and it hasn't continued since, even though we had funding in place to continue it on for another three kilometres.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Murphy.
Cllr MURPHY: I was going to echo what Cllr Fitzgerald said in front of me and unfortunately it would have been another added bonus for a huge tourism amenity to Arklow, but maybe this is
another push that we need to see a bigger picture. But I think two things: We need to learn from the experience of what Cllr Fox said, and secondly, we sit here and suns I came on the Council, I think the word thing is that we all know that we have to communicate very strongly with stakeholders involved in these premises and I think again it's a reason for to build up the good reputation of Wicklow County Council and our local and municipal areas as well. But it is certainly needed.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Fortune, are you okay to take on that amendment on your proposal? Cllr FORTUNE: I have no problem.
CATHAOIRLEACH: If that's the case, is it agreed? We move on to 42, Cllr McLoughlin. Cllr McLOUGHLIN: I am not sure it's necessary to go through every one of these amendments, for the most part I am happy enough with what the CE has recommended, so I would be proposing we go ahead as the recommendations are. I was very happy that they reworded the piece about the road, so that's in red there.
CATHAOIRLEACH: So the lighting from the GAA to Newcastle, do you have a seconder?
Cllr McLOUGHLIN: Councillor Derek Mitchell seconder. It's mainly to do with walking, and those sort of facilities, within the town and there is one small piece about the traffic calming, and I am happy enough to include that, to omit the reference to a roundabout.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: As per the CE recommendations.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Is that just for 41 ?
Cllr McLOUGHLIN: It's all under 42, different amendments.
CATHAOIRLEACH: So you are all happy with that, is that agreed?
Cllr FOX: Have we not set a precedent on traffic calming when Cllr Cullen...
Cllr McLOUGHLIN: This is a town plan.
CATHAOIRLEACH: I nearly fell for that one myself.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: But thanks anyway!
CATHAOIRLEACH: 43, Cllr Cullen.
Cllr Shay CULLEN: Thank you. Yes, I suppose as it says, to provide a foot bridge on the R755 to connect the Vartry reservoir...
CATHAOIRLEACH: Is there a seconder on that? Cllr Casey. And the CE is okay with that, is that agreed? Okay. 44.
Cllr CASEY: Before we go to 44,44 is an amendment to 44 .
CATHAOIRLEACH: Say there is 43 amendments in 44.
Cllr CASEY: I think we should go back to the rural policy before we go to that one amendment.
Cllr TIMMINS: I would second that.

Cllr CASEY: One Councillor has 43 amendments in under one amendment.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Unless you want to do 45 and 46.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Can we do 45 and 46 and then that's the one we will come back to the design standards, so 45. Cllr Matthews.
Cllr MATTHEWS: It's just typing up words.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay.
CATHAOIRLEACH: 45 anyway.
Cllr MATTHEWS: 44 you are talking about is it?
CATHAOIRLEACH: 45.
Cllr CASEY: There mightn't be any wind left so.
Cllr MATTHEWS: That's okay, I accept that. I accept the response.
CATHAOIRLEACH: You are withdrawing that.
Cllr MATTHEWS: I thought they could only designate units, I didn't know lands was up.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, 46.
Cllr MATTHEWS: Well I think we have dealt with that one.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: We have no objection to the SAAO sites, so let's take out the other bit.
CATHAOIRLEACH: A seconder?
CATHAOIRLEACH: He has taken it out.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: The second one is SAAO sites.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Do you want to do that rather than go for the draft guidelines. All right, so we will go back to the villages. Sorcha do you want to come in on this.

MS WALSH: We are going back to Cllr Timmins' proposals at the beginning of the day with regard to large villages and small villages and integrated into that...

DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: Did we agree towns?
MS WALSH: Yeah we did, just a small matter with regard to rural clusters, I discussed this with Cllr Timmins over lunch and a document has been circulated, has everyone got this, it's got "large villages, level seven" written at the top. I think it was handed out just after lunch. And these are what Cllr Timmins will be happy to propose now. I'll hand it back over to him. CATHAOIRLEACH: Does everybody have that in front of them? It's "large villages level seven" at the top of it.
Cllr TIMMINS: Can I go ahead. We have already agreed the large villages, it's the small villages where we had the discussion that we took off site. And I had a discussion with the senior planner at lunchtime and small villages is the proposal we came up with. Large villages was actually agreed before lunch.

CATHAOIRLEACH: That was number three.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: No, number six. But it was more just to put it in writing because it was a bit confusing for everyone else I think.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, so do you have a seconder for that?
Cllr Shay CULLEN: I'll second that
CATHAOIRLEACH: Is that agreed? Agreed, okay. This was a bit too easy, wasn't it? Does that now cover, which ones -- we had three, five...
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: Cllr Casey now.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Does that cover all of those. That's the merging of three, five, six and 12 with that last one.

DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: We also have to do four, nine, ten, eleven. The Arklow Municipal District.
CATHAOIRLEACH: And the 14.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: And 14 as well, yeah.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Fitzgerald.
Cllr FITZGERALD: Cllr Blake had a proposal there before lunch, number eight, was it? Which was to do with growth, or small village boundaries and that was agreed, the CE has no objection to that. What we are looking at increasing the populations in small villages and large villages. Can we link that into Cllr Blake's proposal? His was only small villages. We are on four here, which is...

DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: You are saying...
Cllr FITZGERALD: Large villages I should say.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: You will be changing at the boundaries and adding an indicative...
Cllr FITZGERALD: Yeah, the figures...
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: Of small and large villages are renewed during the lifetime of the Development Plan.
Cllr FITZGERALD: Within two years. This is four, nine -- this is amending -- this is four, nine, ten and eleven. There is an item seven which is separate. Four, nine, ten and eleven which is a housing growth targets in large villages. Nine is in small villages. Ten, small villages, is it? DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: Nine, ten and eleven cover Askanagap, Conary, Johnstown, Thomastown, Greenane, Ballycoogue and you are proposing -- in the original proposal was to increase the target population of about $50 \%$.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Kennedy.
Cllr KENNEDY: Cathaoirleach, just to make the members aware, I am going to excuse myself
when we are talking about the small villages because Greenane has been mentioned and I do farm land in Greenane so, in the interests of fairness I am going to exclude myself.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Maybe Cllr Vance will give him the nod when it's time to come back in. Cllr BOURKE: I am going to absent myself too.
CATHAOIRLEACH: We will have nobody left soon. Am I the only one -- Cllr Fitzgerald. Cllr FITZGERALD: It's very difficult to talk here, but I have good hearing. Cllr Blake's proposal went through there this morning, the small village boundaries, that the boundaries of a small village be reviewed during the lifetime of the new County Development Plan. What we are doing is amendment number four, large villages here where we had proposals in for growth, Barndarrig, Ballinaclash and Redcross. Number nine we had growth in -- well let's say indicative growth for Askanagap, Conary, Johnstown and Thomastown. Number ten is for Greenane, and number eleven was for Ballycoogue. And Ballycoogue has been mentioned many times here today where there is issues. So effectively we are trying to tie that into Cllr Blake's proposal that during at the end of two years, that we review the policy with regard to housing growth targets, or look at it, and we possibly will agree then to an indicative figure, is the words...

DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: The Chief Executive's recommendation for...
Cllr FITZGERALD: When we spoke before lunch there and the members are agreed with that, that's 2018.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: Look, what I'll say is that, just read as the boundaries and indicative housing growth of small and large villages are reviewed during the strategy review of the County Development Plan which has to be two years after it's adopted.
Cllr FITZGERALD: Speaking to the members there, that was agreed there. There is two of them gone out here, there is only four of us left.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Is that agreed by everybody?
Cllr FITZGERALD: I still have to come back...
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: Could you leave the amendment eight with those words and then we will accept in amendment four, nine, ten and eleven, the Chief Executive's wording? In that we will change growth targets to indicative growth number of houses and then this mechanism that if one, if there is one village exceeds its indicative growth and there is...
Cllr FITZGERALD: That we won't exceed the figure of 280 during the lifetime of the plan, is it? Yeah, well I take the view if one is not performing, another can step into the breach if there is a demand. I think I am only speaking here, and then we are just left with seven, that is the amendment to the boundaries.

DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: Are we agreed...
CATHAOIRLEACH: I think we agreed seven, didn't we?
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: Are the members agreeable to the amendment, to amendment eight? I'll read it again?
CATHAOIRLEACH: Yes please.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: The boundaries of, sorry, "the boundary and indicative housing growth of small and large villages are renewed during the statutory review of the County Development Plan." Which is two years time. That's in the statute.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, that's agreed.
Cllr BEHAN: Two years from the adoption of the plan which will be when?
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: September 2016.
Cllr BEHAN: So you are talking about late 2018?
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: Correct.
Cllr FITZGERALD: We still have one there.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: And then...
CATHAOIRLEACH: That is agreed.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: Is it agreed to accept the Chief Executive's recommendations for amendment four, nine, ten and eleven?
Cllr FITZGERALD: It is a point by Cllr Behan that we are talking three years away, but two years is the earliest we can review it.
CATHAOIRLEACH: So for the Chief Executive's recommendation for four, nine, ten and eleven, is that agreed? Agreed, okay, fantastic.

Cllr FITZGERALD: Seven.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Did we not agree number seven?
Cllr FITZGERALD: No, there is a proposal there to amend the boundaries.
Cllr WINTERS: Do you want to tell them to come back in, because this is Sylvester's proposal.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Bourke.
Cllr BOURKE: With regard to the proposal number seven, I would like to amend it, just I am -I was looking for a boundary change here Cathaoirleach and I am suggesting that the Manager was quite opposed to the idea of that four acres, I was going to ask that the boundary be changed just to two acres, which would include the portion closest to the church, and that that would be the extent of the boundary change. The two acres.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: On the left, is it?
Cllr BOURKE: The one on the left.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Do you have a seconder for that?
Cllr ANNESLEY: I would like to second that.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Right.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, is that agreed?
Cllr MATTHEWS: I am going to abstain from that, I have no idea what's going on down there in Barndarrig.

Cllr BOURKE: That's the piece that goes up there.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Is that agreed?
Cllr MATTHEWS: I have no idea what's going on down there.
Cllr FITZGERALD: Can I make it clear that's not a re-zoning, it's unzoned land. It's a boundary change. It's not a re-zoning.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Boundary change to take in unzoned land.
Cllr MATTHEWS: That will be reviewed in two year's time, is it?
CATHAOIRLEACH: Not...
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: We are reviewing all of them.
Cllr BOURKE: Can I clarify again, for Cllr Matthews, this was proposed and seconded at the last review of the County Development Plan, but the Cathaoirleach that day said it wasn't lodged the day before, so he didn't accept it. It was the Cathaoirleach's discretion at the time on the day, so I felt it was unfair that other boundary changes were allowed through that day, but this one was excluded and that's why I want to right what was an unfairness delivered on somebody five years ago.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Fortune.
Cllr FORTUNE: I am looking for clarification, Cllr Bourke is making it clear that it's not re-zoning, it's boundary revision, why are we doing a boundary revision?
Cllr BOURKE: We have agreed to that we have agreed on 50 houses in the Barndarrig village. Cllr FORTUNE: It's not zoned.

Cllr FITZGERALD: You don't zone within a village area, it's the boundary. You have to apply for planning. It's not a one-off house.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Vance.
Cllr VANCE: I take issue with Cllr Bourke and his interpretation in that, it was extremely fair the way it was done that day I can tell you, because I chaired that meeting and I took a decision that was very fair.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Thank you for that Councillor. Cllr Lawless?
Cllr LAWLESS: What's the impact if - obviously I am quite new to this, what's the impact of
changing the boundary, what's the impact for the landowners who own that land there for - like, I don't know whether I am explaining myself properly, but what is the impact of on Barndarrig if we extend the boundary? I know it's unzoned at the moment, but what is the future impact for zoning and what impact does it have on the landowners there as well?
CATHAOIRLEACH: Just one more...
Cllr LAWLESS: Just so I can have an understanding of why the boundary is being moved, that's all, just for my own clarification please.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Brady.
Cllr BRADY: It's my understanding, I may be wrong, I believe that land may be owned by a member of Fianna Fail who possibly sought nomination to stand for the party as a candidate in the forthcoming general election. I just want to ask as to whether there is a conflict of interest here for the other members of Fianna Fail to be part of the discussion here, as if someone wants to prove me wrong, fair enough, but I am not sure as to whether any of those people, members of that party should be included in the discussion here. Maybe if I just get a view from the top table on that.

Cllr VANCE: I will tell you I have no interest in this whatsoever and I'll not be leaving this table and certainly I won't take lectures from Cllr Brady.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, all right. Do you want to just come in and answer those questions. Cllr FITZGERALD: Chairman, I have no interest, good, bad or indifferent. I would consider myself as clean as Cllr Brady. Right.

Cllr BRADY: I am not asking if you had a shower this morning.
Cllr FITZGERALD: I'll tell you what, I'll speak, I have no interest. If you are trying to taint me, do it outside the Chamber. If you are trying to taint me...
Cllr BRADY: I asked a question.
Cllr FITZGERALD: If you are trying to taint me, do it outside the Chamber. I don't care who he is.

Cllr BRADY: I am just asking whether there is a potential conflict of interest for the other members of...

Cllr ANNESLEY: I take umbrage from Cllr Brady, I didn't think he would stoop so low, just because nobody in his party has ambition to create employment in this county. So be it, if there is a Fianna Fail member in the county that wants to create employment. Let him be at it. How dare he say we should leave this room. There is a member of Fianna Fail has left the room because he ploughed the field in the same area. And now he wants us to leave because there is a member of our party has property. Chairman, please, please Chair the meeting Chairman.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Let's just cool it and leave it there. Could I just go back, Nicola Lawless asked a clarification question, can I ask you to please answer it.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: Generally speaking if someone is applying for permission for housing or anything else in the villages, whether it's inside or outside the boundary would be a very persuasive argument when the people are assessing it.

On the other one, I am not really that qualified to talk about it, I know the Essex Registry, you are talking about personal interest, not interest in an organisation you might be a member of. CATHAOIRLEACH: Yeah, okay. So that's your proposal, it has nothing to do with sex? Cllr BOURKE: Can I just clarify, there is a proposal for a water supply, the well there which is very well supplied is to augment the water supply for the village of Barndarrig, so it makes sense.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: Can I just make a clarification there, because you didn't hear that from a senior engineer in water services, did you?

Cllr BOURKE: Not personally yet, but...
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: Just to say that the investigations that were carried out, no senior engineer has said that in the water services section.
Cllr BOURKE: We can clarify that at a later stage. I hopefully can clarify that for you.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Trying to remember, do we have disagreement on this, does it require a vote? Okay, we will take a vote.
MS GALLAGHER: Proposed by Cllr Bourke and seconded by Cllr Annesley with the amendment.
(A vote was taken)

MS GALLAGHER: That's 16 for, one against, seven not present and eight abstaining.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, can we go back to 44, Steven Matthews. Casey, no, sorry, 14. CATHAOIRLEACH: Oh, 14, your own, sorry. Yeah. That's right.

CATHAOIRLEACH: So we circulated that document, do you want to talk into that Pat? Cllr CASEY: Sorry, yeah, the proposed amendment has been now circulated with the additional amendments...
CATHAOIRLEACH: Has everybody got that in front of them? Okay, fine.
Cllr CASEY: You will see highlighted in crossed out in blue what has been taken out, and put in in red what's going in. So that's the amended amendment. Do you want me to go through the
whole rigmarole again.
CATHAOIRLEACH: No, I'll tell you, there is something within this, if you remember Cllr Cullen this morning proposed the 50 - that 50 to 60 and that was seconded by I can't remember whom. Is at that something that people are - that aspect of it even, are they agreed with or not, it's just relevant to this then.
Cllr CASEY: Okay, I have no problem with it.
Cllr MURPHY: Could you just read me that again.
CATHAOIRLEACH: So in this, Cllr Cullen, the proposal was for a 50 kilometre speed limit, that this would be within the 50 kilometre speed limit, and he wanted that to go to a 60 kilometre speed limit.
Cllr CASEY: That was item number 13.
CATHAOIRLEACH: So are people in favour of that?
Cllr RUTTLE: Yeah, no problem.
CATHAOIRLEACH: It's not a speed limit change, it's seeking to build - yeah. Okay, so we will go - that's agreed then, okay, fine, back to this here. So...

Cllr CASEY: Are we taking the full amendment now with the amendments to the amendments? CATHAOIRLEACH: This is the full amendment of this? Everything on that sheet there. Cllr CASEY: Everything on this sheet that was handed out is now the new proposal? MS GALLAGHER: Headed D21, housing in the open countryside.
CATHAOIRLEACH: As no-one is showing to speak, you are going to second that Christopher and is that agreed? Sorry, do you want to hit your button.

Cllr MATTHEWS: The question on the landscape, the last three paragraphs there, basically, you could build, it doesn't matter about the views, prospects and landscapes, it says there will be no prohibition, that their needs, the people in this category, their needs are supreme and no exclusion if it's of a social and economic nature, is that the wording that you intended to put in, to have so little regard for landscape views and prospects. I just wondered is that it?
Cllr CASEY: This has been in the County Development Plan since 2004. It was put in 2004. And any applicant for a one-off rural house that has views and prospects in it has to come in with a visual impact statement. They're in another sector of the document and most people around here who are dealing with rural planning on a day-to-day basis will tell you how hard it is to get a one-off rural house with a view, as in prospect, even though statement is there. A whole set of visual impact statements have to be issued with all planning applications.
Cllr MATTHEWS: I think we reduced the area of landscape that would need a visual impact assessment if I am correct. Just to ask the Chief Executive there is no practical difference
between what is being proposed here and what was in the -- there is no difference, that wording is still as extreme as that.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: That's been there for ten years.
Cllr MATTHEWS: That's the clarification I wanted.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Agreed? Okay. Number 44, so Cllr Matthews, you are on for a while. Cllr MATTHEWS: I don't think they'll take long, I don't have a second for them. This was about energy efficiency, but we have thrown that out the window.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Give me that.
Cllr MATTHEWS: Forget proposal number one because we don't want energy efficiency in Wicklow.

CATHAOIRLEACH: You are removing number one?
Cllr MATTHEWS: I'll remove that one. Forget about the most productive and economical use of energy resources because we have rejected that as well.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Point five is it?
Cllr MATTHEWS: Sorry, number two there, CATHAOIRLEACH: Point 5.

Cllr MATTHEWS: Key principles of good design, I'll withdraw that.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: I am not with you.
CATHAOIRLEACH: We are on amendment 44, which is on page 44, item -- page 77 sorry, item number one, Cllr Matthews has withdrawn number one. Under item number two, he has also withdrawn the other one and most productive and economical use of energy resources. We are on to number three now.

Cllr MATTHEWS: This one is about at edge of centre Greenfield locations, good connectivity to town centres will be required and where access to roads or pedestrians cycle links do not exist, these will be required to be provided or financed by the developer and I was asking to put in prior to completion by the development or being financed by the developer, it's not something I want to chase a developer for after completion and sold. I think it would be good to nail that down at the start. I see the response is that it wouldn't be too restrictive, you would have to wait on the agreement of a possibly multiple landowners, but I don't think for financing we would, maybe to provide for it we would, but not to be financed by a developer?
CATHAOIRLEACH: First of all, do we have a seconder for that.
Cllr FORTUNE: Yeah, I'll second it.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: My reticence there is that if it's land outside the control, then they can't do it. If it's financial, we look for a development levy and that's covered by statute. They have
to pay it -- well they pay it in accordance to what the condition is, at the moment the condition is generally in advance.
Cllr MATTHEWS: I accept that then.
CATHAOIRLEACH: That was withdrawn then yeah. Number four.
Cllr MATTHEWS: Under design quality, I think the CE has no issue with that one, it's...
CATHAOIRLEACH: I need a seconder for that.
DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: No, no, that's all one amendment.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Is it, okay?
MS GALLAGHER: We haven't got a seconder for 44.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Didn't Cllr Fortune...
Cllr FORTUNE: Just that particular point.
CATHAOIRLEACH: You need an overall seconder.
Cllr MATTHEWS: Number three is gone.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Let's get to the end of it, if that's okay.
Cllr MATTHEWS: Number four, we can leave that in.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Adequately protected, is that okay with everybody else? Yeah, agreed. Cllr MATTHEWS: Number five is on external appearances and we put on the possibility of providing whereas required to be considered, this is for communal satellite dishes on town centre -- I was going to say apartment blocks, but we have a whole load of dishes on the outside of them. I think I had highlighted to remove the word considered, so the provision of will be required.
Cllr CASEY: Will be required. How can we enforce this?
Cllr MATTHEWS: Through our Development Plan and planning conditions.
Cllr CASEY: Actually how do we implement this, about putting satellite dishes on roofs of apartment blocks.
Cllr MATTHEWS: Forget about urban landscapes, I want to concentrate on urban landscapes. DIRECTOR O'BRIEN: We can do it through planning permission.
Cllr MATTHEWS: It would be a planning condition.
Cllr CASEY: How does this practically work? If I have an apartment and I want to put a dish myself, are you telling me I can't get it?
Cllr MATTHEWS: This is where they're to be constructed, from here on in, if we are going to construct these, we put communal satellite dishes on the roofs of them rather than individuals putting in satellite dishes. You still go through a planning process now if you want to put it on the front.

Cllr TIMMINS: Who puts in the communal satellite, the developer?
Cllr MATTHEWS: Yeah.
Cllr MURPHY: Does 245 have to be built into the planning of the house.
Cllr MATTHEWS: It's just commercial and apartments.
Cllr BRADY: Cathaoirleach, I think this makes sense because most if not all apartment blocks have management companies in place now, and they preclude anyone putting any satellite dishes outside the buildings anyway, so I think it makes sense, because a lot of people in apartments would like to be able to avail of a satellite but under the management companies that are there at the moment, they're not allowed do it, so I think this makes sense and it's a good idea, so I would certainly support it.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Is everybody okay with that?
Cllr WINTERS: Yeah.
Cllr TIMMINS: I have a problem with it.
CATHAOIRLEACH: What's your problem with it?
Cllr TIMMINS: I don't think it's practical.
CATHAOIRLEACH: You are against it? Okay. Will we have a vote on it?
MS GALLAGHER: Point 5 of 44, proposed by Cllr Matthews and who is seconding this one?
Cllr BRADY: One overall proposal or -- I'll second that.
CATHAOIRLEACH: We are going to have to do a vote on the whole way along. Cllr Brady you are seconding that?
Cllr BRADY: I'll second it.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay.
(A vote was taken)

MS GALLAGHER: That's 18 for, five against and nine not present.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Brady, I know we were due to stop at ten to, is it okay if I just finish this 44 one.

Cllr BRADY: As long as we have time to do it.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay. So the next one then, Steven, is under greenish shoes. Cllr MATTHEWS:

CATHAOIRLEACH: Could you just hit that again sorry.
Cllr MATTHEWS: Number six was booted so far out of the building, it hasn't landed yet, so we will leave that one out of it. Number seven, yeah, that's - I accept that. I accept the CE's
direction, we can withdraw that one. As for number eight, I accept their assessment on that. CATHAOIRLEACH: Number eight is withdrawn, if the members are happy.
Cllr MATTHEWS: Number nine now is - I just wanted developers to indicate where their walking and cycle routes are proposed to town centres and schools would be in those plans. It would focus their minds on laying out the development so there is good connectivity. It may not be the right section for it here, I think that's what the recommendation has come back as that these designs are generally for within the development sites, what I am asking is how can we ask a developer then to indicate where he would foresee the connectivity into the town and the town centres and the schools where from we are developing? I know we are addressing the internals but I would like them to think outside their own development and look at where they're going to connect?
MS WALSH: What I would just say on that is that would really be a matter for the Local Area Plan to look at rather than the developer, so through the Local Area Plan, land is being designated say for residential development. The plan team with the Councillors will look at what's the most appropriate land to zone and one of the key considerations in what land we zone, how connected it is to a town and can it be connected into the town centre? Say there was a piece of foot loose land that was miles and miles outside the town centre, that there was no possibility or no existing footpaths or cycleways and very difficult to provide them, say the roads were particularly narrow, that would be a key consideration in deciding whether to zone the land at all. I think that would come in at the Local Area Plan process rather than asking the developer at the much, much later stage which is actually the proposing of a housing estate design, how the estate would be connected up. We would however, as the normal assessment of a planning application, look at where the footpaths that are within the developer's own site and that's he is responsible for providing where they connect to existing footpaths and we have other design standards within the Development Plan that set out that connectivity would be required, so that we would provide the paths or ask the developer to provide a contribution towards the paths. It's works that are potentially outside their control, it's really for the Local Authority to step in, so I don't think it's necessary to be in the plan and it wouldn't really affect how we would assess a planning application. It would be the type of thing we would look at anyway.
Cllr MATTHEWS: I get what you say if there was foot loose zonings with no connectivity or footpaths, they would obviously be rejected by the members.
MS WALSH: I would hope they would, but...
Cllr MATTHEWS: Okay, I withdraw that one Chairman.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Ten is the next one?

Cllr MATTHEWS: I'll withdraw energy efficiency performance as well. There is no appetite for that here.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Eleven.
Cllr MATTHEWS: Eleven is about landscape proposals within developments, it's the same as number 19 and number 36. I was looking for a landscape architect to design landscaping within - say substantial developments. It's probably not required for an individual, but it's just in terms of a landscape architect would be a much better person to look at the impact of his landscape and design say 20 years down the line or when it's handed back to the Local Authority to have to maintain that. We have a lot of examples of landscaping that was carried out that looked fantastic for the first three or four years and then became a headache fort local authorities to have to try and maintain it, I think a qualified landscape architect would be the person to do that. I get the point that the CE says that it's onerous. I don't think it's too onerous considering the life expectancy of the plantations we will be putting in and the landscaping we will be doing.
CATHAOIRLEACH: So do you have a seconder for that? Okay, sorry about that, that's gone. Cllr FORTUNE: I'll second that.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Just got in there.
Cllr FORTUNE: Saved by the bell.
Cllr SNELL: On that Cathaoirleach, it doesn't distinguish between one-off development and housing development.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Do you want to put a size on that proposal
Cllr MATTHEWS: Just have a quick look at 19 and 36, I think they refer to it as well.
MS WALSH: This proposal relates to landscaping within business parks, it's page 21 and that's the section of the design guide business commercial and employment developments. This isn't about one-off houses, so they, you know, not saying they're all large scale, but they'd be on the medium to larger scale normally you would expect, but maybe referring to it being a large scale developments only might make it more palatable.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Business markets.
Cllr MATTHEWS: Business parks and developments of whatever we consider to be an average or...
CATHAOIRLEACH: But is that separate, 21 you are saying business parks.
MS WALSH: There is reference in Cllr Matthews other amendments.
Cllr MATTHEWS: We will just take eleven then.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Business parks.
Cllr MATTHEWS: To include a landscape artist.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Are we going to agree that a landscape architect should be required for business parks? Shall instead of should. Is that agreed? You are all getting tired, are you? Okay if I don't hear nobody fighting me on that, we will say it's agreed.
Cllr MATTHEWS: Number 12, there doesn't seem to be any objection from the CE on that, just a typing on the words should for shall again if that's acceptable.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, a seconder for that please. Cllr Behan. And is that agreed. Agreed. Okay. 13, cycling facilities

Cllr MATTHEWS: 13, in respect of the ratio -- sorry, I went above current standards there, I was hoping for something a little higher, but I'll accept the recommendation on that and withdraw the ratio, there is a request that for cyclist welfare facilities should be included in design where practicable.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cycle welfare facilities should be included in the design where practical. Can I have a seconder for that. Cllr Fortune. Is that agreed?

Cllr CASEY: In the same ratio as car...
CATHAOIRLEACH: No, that's gone. Number 14.
Cllr MATTHEWS: Storage requirements, there been no objection from the CE from that, it's keeping it clean from residual grease and contaminants. So we can go with that.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, seconder for that please? Cllr Behan. And is that agreed? Agreed, okay. There is a shall in section 15.
Cllr MATTHEWS: There is no objection from the CE.
CATHAOIRLEACH: A seconder? Okay, Councillor Gerry Walsh. Is that agreed? 16. Cllr MATTHEWS: That's just all life stages of extractive industry. There is no objection from the Chief Executive.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Can I have a seconder for that? Councillor Nicola Lawless. Are we agreed? Are we agreed? Okay. 17.
Cllr MATTHEWS: Number 17 is about access transport vehicle movements etcetera from extractive industries. One of the hardest things to prove is intensification of an operation, and we have had quite a few cases and they've been very hard to prove. I want vehicle movement numbers to be kept by operations that would assist and indicate there had been intensification. I wouldn't take it as proof there has been intensification, but I would take it as a good indicator. I want to ask - can that be included as a planning condition?
MS WALSH: It could be yeah, there would be nothing unlawful about it. Our response is vehicle movements would be just one aspect if we are looking of intensification and trying to do an evaluation of the site.

Cllr MATTHEWS: I would agree with you, it's a good indicator.
MS WALSH: It's an indicator. I don't know if that's a strong reason to include that requirement just about assessment, there would have to be a traffic safety reason, but we will put our view there.
Cllr MATTHEWS: It can be included as a condition, can it?
MS WALSH: It could be.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Does that mean you are withdrawing that one?
Cllr MATTHEWS: To move it along, I'll withdraw that one thanks Chairman.
CATHAOIRLEACH: 18.
Cllr MATTHEWS: 18, there was no objection to that.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, so somebody second that please?
Cllr CASEY: What exactly would that mean?
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Casey, do you want an answer to that, do you, no, great, okay, fine. Agreed?
Cllr BOURKE: I'll second it.
CATHAOIRLEACH: It's seconded already, thanks. And that's agreed. Page - section 19. Cllr MATTHEWS: Let me just see which one this refers to. This is for caravan and camping developments and I am looking for a landscape architect to be involved in this as well. I don't think that's unfair. Generally caravan and camping developments will go in quite scenic areas by their very nature so, I would look for a landscape architect to be included in that.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Is Councillor, just hold on one second, Cllr Timmins.
Cllr TIMMINS: All these things are laudable and all but they're just putting more red tape, more cost on small businesses, we are talking here about creating jobs in this country and this county and all these things are mitigating against job creation and businesses trying to create wealth or whatever. There is heaps, I mean the Director spoke about the thickness of the Development Plan. There is so much conditions and costs and this report and that report, and now it has to be a qualified landscape architect. He is just adding more and more costs to the cost of doing business. It's like Wicklow is not open for business if we are going to go down this road. CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Vance.
Cllr VANCE: Chairman I totally agree with that, it looks like in the last few minutes we are promoting landscape architects now. Really and truly it's getting to be a ridiculous situation with the amount of stuff that is being put on people who are trying to build and various things like that and supply jobs in the place. Between engineers and signing off by qualified architects and all this, and now we are looking for landscape architects, not even people who are appropriately
qualified. It has to be a landscape architect. Professionals want to be taken out of that. This is going a step too far as far as I am concerned. Putting a huge amount of burdens on this.

## CATHAOIRLEACH: Councillor O'Neill.

Cllr O'NEILL: I would tend to agree, but would be concerned about the plantation as such of trees in Blessington alone now there are four estates there, and the trees that were planted 20 and 30 year ago, they're tearing up footpaths to beat the band.
Cllr MATTHEWS: That's why you want a landscape architect.
Cllr O'NEILL: They're tearing up roads, so the type of tree, yeah but you don't specify what type of tree.

Cllr MATTHEWS: This is why you get the landscape architect in, that's their job.
Cllr O'NEILL: With all due respect, there was qualified architects around that were involved in the planting of the trees 30 year ago, and they have the whole place designed.
Cllr MATTHEWS: The houses were designed 30 years ago, you move on and up and improve your standards.

Cllr O'NEILL: I am just pointing out it is a problem in Blessington where the roads and footpaths are destroyed by the wrong type of tree, and they were designed by qualified architects.
Cllr MATTHEWS: What's a qualified professional then?
CATHAOIRLEACH: What is a qualified professional?
MS WALSH: If landscape proposals came in that we didn't feel was qualified to make the proposals, we would certainly go back on them. We are all professionals in the Planning Department with different backgrounds, we have engineers, economists and architects in the building. If we had any doubt about whether the person preparing the plans was lacking qualification, I am sure we would be able to see through them easily enough. Having the word should in any policy is suggestive in that if we feel a proposal requires landscape proposals from a professional, we can certainly ask for them but not every case is going to necessarily need them that. Leaves it open for us to seek them if we think it's essential.
Cllr MATTHEWS: Okay, do you want to take it out? 20. I think this is covered in the shop fronts design for Bray which I think is going into the overall Development Plan, is that correct? This is where we have had shop fronts painted in these bright gaudy colours just to attract attention to the business and it's detrimental to, in my view, visually to the street scheme. I just want a little control on that otherwise we will end up with a completely visually jarring streetscape. But I think it is covered in the shop fronts design, is it?
MS WALSH: The Bray shop front study that was done, the key aspects of it are integrated into
the County Development Plan, but it was done specifically for Bray, so we are not necessarily saying those standards should apply carte blanche across the county, we are taking the key areas that are general and putting them in the County Development Plan. Just? Terms of paint colour, a shopkeeper is entitled to repaint their woodwork without any requirement for planning permission. It would make no difference if this was put in the Development Plan because legally they'd be entitled to do it anyway. Our standards are generally about new shop fronts and we set out how shop fronts should be designed, the proportions and so on, not ongoing changes to them in the future, many of which may be exempt from the requirement to get planning permission. Just the paint colour is something that's taking it a step beyond our powers.
Cllr MATTHEWS: All I was trying to do is basically you can paint your shop any colour you want, in the entire streetscape, we could end up with every colour under the sun if people chose to do so.
MS WALSH: That's what you see in lots of traditional Irish towns. The tourists like it.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Fortune.
Cllr FORTUNE: I am just picking up on what Cllr Matthews said, it's a question I'll probably never get a chance to ask again. Who permitted the building in front of the mermaid centre in Bray to be painted the colour it's painted.
MS WALSH: The blue one?
Cllr FORTUNE: It's kind of a purple.
CATHAOIRLEACH: That's gone. 21.
Cllr MATTHEWS: Again about streetscapes again, looking for a temporary display to be added CATHAOIRLEACH: And the CE oh has no problem with that. I'll second that, is that agreed? Cllr MATTHEWS: 22 doesn't seem to have been an objection to that, just the cycle networks in that.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay. I'll second that. Agreed? Agreed? 22 cycle network. That's all. Cllr MATTHEWS: 23, I withdraw 23, that's covered.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Withdraw, okay. 24.
Cllr MATTHEWS: I take the . that's been made and it would be out of the control, again I was just looking for indications
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, that's withdrawn. 25?
Cllr MATTHEWS: I withdraw that, I misread that one.
CATHAOIRLEACH: 26?
Cllr MATTHEWS: Yeah, I don't think there has been anything on that.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay. Does somebody want to second that please?

## Cllr MURPHY: Go on, I'll.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Is it agreed? Okay, Cllr Timmins?
Cllr TIMMINS: You have small villages in this county with narrow roads going to them, there is no chance in the world you would get a cycle lane in them, let alone sometimes you struggle to get a footpath on them. Again this is not practical.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Sorry, just one second, Cllr Casey.
Cllr CASEY: We all know most of the rural villages, the football grounds are located on the outskirts of the villages and the road network will never potentially never take footpaths or cycleways.
Cllr MATTHEWS: Would you accept that "where practicable."
Cllr CASEY: Then you are going to destroy the rural landscape and the whole presence and appearance of a village if we start putting in one and a half metre footpaths and one and a half metre cycle lanes and a buffer zone between the two of them. Next thing you have a footpath and lane bigger than the road beside it.

Cllr MATTHEWS: I don't know, was that a proposal?
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr McLoughlin.
Cllr McLOUGHLIN: I think we have to learn from our mistakes though, look at Kilcoole how long it took them to get a footpath from the GAA field up to Kilcoole.

Cllr CASEY: That's an urban centre.
Cllr McLOUGHLIN: You have that situation, you have a situation in Newcastle where there is a GAA pitch and no lighting for footpaths up to the main street, so I think the proposal is a good one. We have situations where there is GAA fields on the outskirts of the villages, maybe we should be looking at when we are putting in sports facilities that they're put in a place that there is access, and we can put in footpaths and whatever to accommodate the kids. Having children on roads without safe walking is just not acceptable if we want to encourage them to walk.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr O'Neill.
Cllr O'NEILL: I would strongly oppose that because I know in the rural parts of West Wicklow, you know you wouldn't have GAA grounds or whatever. Usually they do, there is adequate car parking spaces at these grounds, but they're not carriageways that - to the entrance of them. So I don't think it's practical as such.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Fox.
Cllr FOX: Yeah, well I mean there is - I see both sides of the story but I mean, you are not comparing like for like. Large urban areas need cycle routes and paths. Maybe it's something that could be inserted in the settlement plans say from levels one to six, and then the more small
rural villages would be excluded from it. You know, perhaps.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Blake.
Cllr BLAKE: It just means that any small sports club if they get planning permission to develop facilities, soccer or GAA, it means they'd actually have to provide a footpath in those circumstances with a huge cost to any club to provide those facilities. You could be talking about 200 yards, 500 yards. We have heard the costs from all other engineers over the last number of weeks talking about footpaths in various locations, it's quite expensive, they could end up with a condition of planning to provide any facilities, particularly in the rural areas of supplying footpaths and cycleways for sports clubs, it's totally impractical.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Kennedy.
Cllr KENNEDY: Cathaoirleach, I think what, I take the point Cllr Matthews is making, but I think what Cllr Fox is suggesting might work, but this is not workable in the rural areas. Because we will be lucky enough to get a field somewhere off a farmer to buy in order to create some kind of community facilities. It's not workable Cathaoirleach in the rural areas. It's just not workable.

## CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Walsh.

Cllr WALSH: I agree with Cllr Blake, it's unfair to impose such conditions on clubs, they're tasked with the provision of these paths, etcetera.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, Cllr Matthews.
Cllr MATTHEWS: Can we put in "where practical", obviously there is always going to be an urban rural divide in Wicklow, that's the nature of the county we have, it's very hard to apply one rule covers everything, but where practical I am sure (INAUDIBLE) if children could cycle safely to sports facilities or walk safely to the facility and you wouldn't have to be constantly dropping them off and picking them up. That's where I am coming from. Because I am not from a rural area, I may not be as close to the situation, but where practical would you agree we should try and put these in for sports facilities? Where practical?
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Casey?
Cllr CASEY: I am - who was - whose responsibility is this? If a local GAA club apply for planning permission for a new clubhouse or something, will this now be a requirement of planning?
CATHAOIRLEACH: Yeah, it will.
Cllr CASEY: Who funds that?
CE: I think it would be very difficult to ask the GAA even on proper planning grounds to provide facilities outside of their site. I think we have had that issue with developers not being
found to provide development outside their site to service a section that you might not have development. And I can just for my own benefit, I could go around here and quote quite a number of GAA pitches and soccer facilities just in case anyone is saying I don't look at all sports, that in the rural area, there is no connectivity. It's a difficult scenario, but Laragh for instance is one that comes to mind, Stratford is another playing pitch, not only that we park our cars outside on the road and it causes all sorts of problems. I think it would be a very onerous issue to put on sporting clubs and other facilities in the rural area. Like there is a condition in the town plans and that is reasonable, and it is in the town plans.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Vance.
Cllr VANCE: Chairman, I have some sympathy with Cllr Matthews. In a perfect world, this would be ideal. Where you would have access, you would have footpath access, you would have proper car parking facilities. But we don't live in a perfect world and in a lot of rural areas, it would be unfair to place any type of burden on people who are voluntarily providing services to young people in their particular areas to be talking about footpaths and cycle lanes and various things like that. I think the tone of it, I think is right from a planning perspective, but it's not practical particularly in a lot of areas. In an urban, it's certainly more practical than what it is in a rural area. I know rural areas as well and a lot of sports facilities down there, it's not possible to provide this type of facility in those. So I don't think we can restrict it in the Development Plan. I just - in that sense but I know exactly where he is coming from and in a perfect world with perfect planning, this is the way it should go but it doesn't go like that.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Matthews, it doesn't really seem to have support.
Cllr MATTHEWS: If it's not practical, then GAA clubs and football clubs don't have to do it. Cllr VANCE: The problem I have it could be used as that it's desirable that they do it.
Cllr MATTHEWS: It would be desirable.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Do you want to vote?
Cllr MATTHEWS: I am just trying to improve things.
CATHAOIRLEACH: And to be fair to you, you have gone through this document with a fine tooth comb, I really do appreciate the effort you have put in. But on this one you, you don't seem to have the support.
Cllr MATTHEWS: Okay, never mind, scratch it.
CATHAOIRLEACH: 27.
Cllr MATTHEWS: This one here is similar as well, where we are requesting that neighbourhood parks should ideally be located within 800 metres of the population served, and again I am looking for the same provisions there, so going to the park is something that can be
walked to or cycled to and not have to be dropped off by car.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Sorcha.
MS WALSH: Sorry, that's part B of number 26. 26 was for sports facilities and cycle routes, the second part is regarding neighbourhood parks which are slightly different, they're not specifically for organised sport. And at the moment the Development Plan just refers to where they should be in location, 800 metres from the population served, doesn't really mention access to them and so on. Our vision is neighbourhood parks are probably delivered as part of a large scale proposal and they'd probably be in the centre of a town anyway so connectivity in terms of footpaths would probably come hand in hand with it and we didn't feel it necessary to actually say it should be connected. The only time we get new neighbourhood parks is when there is a significant large development being proposed, something like Charlesland or there is a big development in Dunlavin where there would be a big park. You would see them being connected up anyway. It doesn't really make any practical difference so, we have no particular objection to it, it's something that we would look at anyway.

Cllr MATTHEWS: For that 26, we will remove the first one and the second one is acceptable to the Chief Executive. And then the third one is the - sorry this is about layout and position. MS WALSH: It's about the positioning of apparatus for play that they feature that exploit existing landscape features to provide ... (reading) ... natural light"
Cllr FOX: I still have a problem with neighbourhood parks. They're generally provided by voluntary community groups.
Cllr TIMMINS: Neighbourhood park is the same idea as a sports facility.
Cllr TIMMINS: Neighbourhood parks can even double up as soccer pitches.
MS WALSH: In terms of zoning and designation, we would see them differently, neighbourhood parks are more the passive use for walking and recreation, the sports ground are the laid out pitches.
Cllr TIMMINS: But they do double up. I know of cases where you have a playground and there could be a soccer pitch part of it and that's exactly the same problem, in rural areas and villages, exactly the same problem we spoke about a minute ago.

MS WALSH: The Development Plan is talking about new developments, where someone is proposing a new sports ground, these are the development standards that would apply, this is the section of the development setting out what criteria we would use to assess them. We are not talking about an existing park like in the Burnaby Park in Greystones who want to do something to improve it. It's if a developer wanted to build a new park...
Cllr TIMMINS: It could be a local voluntary group wanted to take a field and maybe build a
playground in a small village, so exactly the same problem that we agreed earlier, it's not suitable for a small village in rural areas, just because it's a playground park doesn't make it any difference from a GAA or soccer pitch.
Cllr FOX: That's absolutely right and most of these sports facilities and neighbourhood parks are provided for no financial gain to the providers, it's done on a voluntary basis with money, fundraised in the local community, so to put this burden, this financial burden on them, is not right.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Matthews, do you have a seconder for this?
Cllr MATTHEWS: No.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, sorry. 27.
Cllr MATTHEWS: This is about landscaping architects again. We know how we feel about landscape so, let's scrap that one.
MS WALSH: There is an A and a B to this part, that the landscape plan should be prepared by landscape architect and then future maintenance requirements, we have no difficulty with the second half of that, that's what Cllr Matthews is getting at.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Are you okay to remove the -- the qualified architect but leave the other, so leaving the other, I'll second that, is that agreed?
Cllr MATTHEWS: 28 didn't get a response.
MS WALSH: We just missed out that. The Chief Executive have no difficulty with that subject to the word where appropriate added on. This is about park and ride facilities and shelter for bike users.

Cllr MATTHEWS: Just for users, the park and ride, there would be adequate shelter provided, I want to encourage it.
CATHAOIRLEACH: I'll second that, is that one agreed? Thank you, 29,
Cllr MATTHEWS: I withdraw that.
CATHAOIRLEACH: You withdraw it? Okay. 30
Cllr MATTHEWS: 30, this is about on urban local roads, a requirement to put in a buffer between the road and the building line, and I am just looking, again trying to just think 25 or 30 years down the line when we want to put in cycle paths, that we would make this buffer adequate enough to maintain its buffer function but also to accommodate a cycle path in the future. MS WALSH: The Chief Executive response is set out there, we think requiring buildings to be further setback than they already are required in order to facilitate a cycleway would mitigate against in some cases the creation of an appropriate streetscape if you are talking about a town centre, you may have a substantial streetscape and then jarring setbacks to allow for possible
future cycleways. These are always usually in town centres where there are other mechanisms for keeping speeds low, the narrowness of the road and other traffic calming and cycleways can be integrated on the carriageway.
Cllr MATTHEWS: Are you okay to remove that. It's not for new urban local roads.
MS WALSH: This is the setback of new buildings from existing --
Cllr MATTHEWS: I thought it was for new urban local roads. Let's take that out then. CATHAOIRLEACH: 31.

Cllr MATTHEWS: This is on waste water disposal. We do ask that a developer would give us the details on the main lay out for the waste water system within a development, at application stage. What I am looking for is an inclusion of the secondary connections as well for future faulting again as well. I know we have a couple of incidences in Bray, but we don't have the actual foul water layout to these estates and it does cause problems for residents later on the line. I appreciate it's an Irish Water issue to deal with that, but I would ask that - I am sure it's there at the design stage, I am sure the designers and engineers have an idea where they're going to put the secondary system in. I would ask that to be included in the design and the application. MS WALSH: That would suggest that's something within our power to make a decision on which it isn't. A developer of say a new housing estate would go to Irish Water to find out whether the services are there and how Irish Water want them to lay out their services and how they want them to connect and that would be a matter for Irish Water. We wouldn't be going through and assessing those proposals so it seems an unnecessary thing for the County Council to ask for when it's not within our gift to question it.

Cllr MATTHEWS: It doesn't have to come out with a planning application though, does it not? MS WALSH: I think it would be more their agreement with Irish Water. We don't give connections.

Cllr BEHAN: I just said I would support this, looking ahead as Cllr Matthews in fairness has done with a lot of what he has proposed here today, you know in the future it would be very worthwhile for the Local Authority to have detailed maps and plans of the location of these type of facilities, because they are going to affect the people who are living in them, and while we talk about Irish Water being responsible, they may not always be responsible in the future and this plan is something that's going to be serving us for the next whatever, up to six or seven years time. I think it's very worthwhile and it should actually be part of a planning application anyway, so that the public can also see how it's planned.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, do you want to...
CE: I can't add any more, it is a matter for Irish Water, any records and drawings will be
retained by them into the future. Because of the nature of our relationship, the Local Authority's relationship through the SLA, service level agreement with Irish Water, we do have sight of those drawings, our engineering staff who are still our employees, but working for Irish Water, would have sight of them, but they become the property of Irish Water for retention at this time. Cllr MATTHEWS: So could we request that Irish Water forward us a copy of the detail that's sent to them.

MS WALSH: They do. But it's not part of the planning process, it's part of the SLA. So we would just be concerned about setting out something in a Development Plan that this is something we are going to ask for in a planning application when it's not going to be something that we will assess. It will sit there in the planning application and the planner and the team here will be able to make no comment on it, it might be misleading to the public that this is something the County Council will be evaluating and able to form a opinion on or ask the developer to redesign.
Cllr MATTHEWS: I will withdraw that, could I ask that we forward that to the environmental SPC so we get that proper detail.

CATHAOIRLEACH: 32.
Cllr MATTHEWS: 31 is withdrawn then.
Cllr FORTUNE: Forward it on you say.
Cllr MATTHEWS: No the question is.
CATHAOIRLEACH: So 32.
Cllr MATTHEWS: Just waste storage facilities in apartments, I know it's a hazard when they're located close, I want them located so as not to cause noise impact on residents, adequately screened or located.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Can I have a seconder for that please. Christopher Fox. Agreed? 33. Cllr MATTHEWS: The same would go for employment and commercial developments, that they're waste storage areas would not cause noise impact on the residential amenity in the area.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay seconder for that, Daire Nolan, agreed. 34.
Cllr MATTHEWS: I take the . on 34 so I withdraw that.
CATHAOIRLEACH: 35.
Cllr MATTHEWS: This was just again, it's like the extractive industry ones that I put down about a log of traffic movements. It's just for trying to deal with intensification questions that come up in the future. They reckon it's an onerous requirement on small businesses, I suppose when I was considering this I didn't think a facility for an inert material would be a small business I was thinking bigger scale, quarries and Roadstones and things like that.

CATHAOIRLEACH: What do you want to do with it?
Cllr MATTHEWS: Yeah, it can be included as a planning condition for future use, so I'll accept that and withdraw that one.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Finally.
Cllr MATTHEWS: Landscaping, how do you feel about landscape architects? Withdraw it.
Cllr VANCE: Landscape architects and you now ...
CATHAOIRLEACH: That's withdrawn.
Cllr MATTHEWS: I planted a tree at Bray Head once, does that mean -- yeah, let's take it out. CATHAOIRLEACH: The overall 44 then with all those changes in, can I have a seconder for those. Cllr Fortune. Is that agreed? Agreed. Okay. So we have got two outstanding but I promised that we would take the...
Cllr BRADY: If you want to take them two first and come back to the ... yeah, yeah, no, there is no point.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Margaret are you okay.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Would everybody just like to facilitate people to take a toilet break as such, just a five minutes quick break. Okay. Just to walk around, get air, absolutely.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Just to be clear, there are people here who require legally to have that opportunity to do that, okay, so five minutes break, we will come back here.

## Short break

CATHAOIRLEACH: Members, are you ready. Cllr Brady, if you want to take the opportunity. Cllr BRADY: Cathaoirleach, thanks for allowing the suspension of standing orders, it's just to try move everything on, I know everyone has expressed condolences with the families that died in the tragic events in Carrickmines a number of weeks ago, but I know Pavee Point now have obviously raised serious concerns that events like that could happen again and also raising other issues around travellers accommodation and rights and that sort of thing. I suppose they've highlighted some damning statistics that since 2010 alone, the traveller programmes in general have been cut from 35 million down to 4.3 million this year, and the national traveller accommodation budget has been cut from 70 million in 2000 down to 4.3 million this year. So I think whilst everyone expresses their condolences and that is good, I think pressure has to be put on the Government to try address broader issues affecting travellers, so I know we discussed it last week in Bray Cathaoirleach, and hopefully we will further that discussion at our meeting tomorrow night but I would like to put forward the following motion and hopefully the members
here will support the motion, so I'll just read it out Cathaoirleach.

It says, "Wicklow County Council expresses solidarity with the bereaved families and wider traveller community following the recent tragic events in Carrickmines. There is a fear that similar tragedies will happen again unless preventative steps are taken now. We are calling on the Taoiseach to implement the recommendations of the April 2014 report by the Oireachtas Joint Committee on Justice, Defence and Equality on the recognition of traveller ethnicity before the end of the Dáil term, establishing an All Ireland forum involving travellers and the settled community including representatives of all political parties, Central Government, local authorities, health and education sectors that would be tasked with enacting a series of measures to address the housing crisis affecting travellers." I would like to propose that, as I said Pavee Point and other organisations representing the travellers movement are fully supportive of the wording on that motion, I think it is long overdue and again Cathaoirleach can I propose that. CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, do we have a seconder for that?

Cllr LAWLESS: I'll second it Cathaoirleach.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay. Anybody, nobody showing to talk into it, Cllr Thornhill. Cllr THORNHILL: I would just like to say in the light of the recent Carrickmines tragedy, and at a Special Meeting we had at Bray Municipal District, that I would concur with the sentiments of Cllr Brady and I think that this Council should support this motion. I think that in the light of the tragedy, it's something that - well it shouldn't happen again and ten people lost their life as a result. When you think of the word equality, about six months ago, there was a referendum in this country about equality and I think that we should start thinking about the equality of -- I mean the travelling community and all of us, I mean not alone should we be talking about say the travelling people, you take the homeless, there is a wide spectrum in relation to all this. I would just like to say as well that in time to come we are going to accommodate an awful lot of people from the Syrian disaster, people coming from Syria, and it's a humanity issue. We should consider that - we should look after these people and that it should have to come that ten people lose their life, so I give this motion my full support and I think that the Council should follow suit. Thank you.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Annesley.
Cllr ANNESLEY: Thanks Cathaoirleach. It's shocking that a terrible tragedy like Carrickmines has to bring this to the fore, but I was shocked to learn on the Clare Byrne show that no Local Authority spends the Government money that's funded to them. The monies go back. And that's including our own Local Authority. Wicklow County Council. Now I am on the SPC for
housing, and John Snell is the Chairman, and Cllr Brady is on that committee, and it is one of the quickest, the travelling housing, it's one of the quickest things that's swept over. I feel that's our fault. Like we have a serious problem with housing in Wicklow. But we also have a serious problem with travellers' accommodation in Wicklow. And I am shocked that we haven't had -partly it's my fault as well, I am not putting the blame on anybody else, we need to have an emergency Housing SPC to sort out the travellers. Thank God the weather is not so bad at the moment, but in Arklow alone on the Kilcarragh bends, we have five families living there in treacherous conditions. Now no-one gave them permission to go in, they opened it up but this Council is doing nothing, so without blaming the Government or anyone else, Cllr Brady, now if I am quoting the Clare Byrne show wrong, forgive me but this was said on national television, the monies from the Government goes back from local authorities, so if we have money to spend on local travellers families, and don't get me wrong, I know this is a very sensitive issue with settled people, but we have a moral responsibility not to let what happened in Carrickmines happen again in the Kilcarragh bends, because I as a Councillor in the Arklow municipal area, I couldn't forgive myself, I am just naming one problem in the Wicklow area. I am sure every other municipal area has their own problems, but I can only speak about my own. I feel we need an emergency Housing SPC to deal with these issues and I am shocked that it's taken so long, but I would support Cllr Brady's motion, thank you Cathaoirleach.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, Cllr Lawless.
Cllr LAWLESS: Thank you Cathaoirleach. I have to agree with the other Councillors here, I am actually the chairperson of the local traveller accommodation consultant committee and we do deal with traveller housing and we have said on occasion there isn't really enough being done, it is a very difficult situation. If we are going to have a special meeting or an emergency meeting on housing, I think the Committee should be involved in it. There should be collaboration between the SPC housing and ourselves. And like with most people have said, it's terrible to think this tragedy has brought us to the point that we are actually going to take action and action should have been taken beforehand and I do agree with that. I am definitely willing to work with the Council here to try and improve in our own county our accommodation for our travellers here, and it's something I would have been doing anyway regardless of what was going on here, because there is a few Councillors here, and there is big issues, and the area you have spoken about is a huge issue and it comes up time and time again and we are trying our best to look for solutions. But maybe it is time that we have a meeting with Housing SPC as well and see can we come to some kind of collaboration and push forward with this so we don't have this situation again within the county or within any county really, so anyway, that's my views on that, thank
you.

## CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Snell.

Cllr SNELL: Thanks Cathaoirleach, again just to remind members that this tragedy, and it was a woeful tragedy, it took place in another county. The way members are talking, you would think it actually took place in Wicklow. Unfortunately it took place at all. But I would remind everybody that we as a Local Authority have met our obligations and objectives to the traveller accommodation programme to date. It was only a few short months ago we had the opening of the Ballyhenry site. There is an expressed text to the - extension to the site in Ballinteskin. I can go on and on. The site that was mentioned by a previous member there is an illegal site of which members of this chambers have been into the housing section to try and regularise that site. By that I mean move the traveller, the members of the travelling community on, because it's not appropriate in regards to where they're living at the moment. I personally as Chairman of the Housing SPC have met them families, as have other members and the housing section have been in a lot of dialogue with them people. They have made offers of accommodation, some of which is only being taken up now. People don't know the in-s and outs and they come here and they're looking to grab a quick headline and that to me is appalling on the back of an atrocity, that they come in here looking for a cheap headline, if you want to know something about housing, go into the housing section. Don't be hiding behind your papers and your nonsensical one liners. It's despicable. Most people here when we come along and try and implement some of the policies you are asking for in accommodating travellers, the same members will be the first ones in here opposing members of the travelling community being housed in their own areas. Everyone knows the difficulties in regards of halting sites, and identifying locations. And yet these people are here singing from the rooftop on how great they are and what they're going to do for members of the travelling community. Wicklow County Council are doing everything in their power. If you go and look at the figures, and we all know that with the collapse of private rented sector, that the very people who were affected first and foremost is members of the travelling community. They're the ones who are losing out on rented accommodation, because landlords are not taking them in, and that's not my word, that's the word of people representing the travellers themselves, who see it on the Housing SPC, and people in this chambers are aware of that, and yet they're hiding behind all of this nonsense. Thanks Cathaoirleach.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Maybe I'll call in Margaret Malone.
MS MALONE: Okay, I'll just maybe give a quick overview of what we do from a traveller accommodation point of view in terms of the numbers of families that we are currently dealing with in the county and the types of demand that there is from travellers for traveller
accommodation at the moment. So Wicklow has about 242 traveller families, indigenous traveller families. And almost half of those families are accommodated in standard Local Authority housing. And the trend in Wicklow, as would be nationally, is for standard Local Authority accommodation. It isn't for traveller specific accommodation. So of nearly $50 \%$ of those families as I say are in standard Local Authority accommodation. The remainder would be in traveller specific, that could be group housing where you might have a scheme of four or six houses with one family generally, or one or two families at most, and we would also have halting sites, and then we have people in private rented and we have people who own their own properties as well.

So that's the trend, and I suppose in terms of our day-to-day allocations and what we do as a housing section generally, we would consider a large number of traveller applications for standard housing and try and meet that demand in the first instance. We have the Local Authority Traveller Accommodation Consultative Committee that Cllr Lawless referred to and that's a statutory body that's been set up in every Local Authority and is required to meet at least four times a year. And we shadow the work of the Housing SPC and our role is to work on traveller accommodation issues, particularly. And I suppose I would have to give credit to the two traveller representative groups in the county, the Bray Travellers Group, and the Wicklow Travellers Group, who sit on that committee and other local authorities don't have - not all other local authorities have functioning effective LTACs as they're called. In Wicklow we have been lucky there has been a good relationship between all the parties who have come to the table, elected reps, officials, traveller representative groups and travellers themselves who have participated. That LTAC drives the traveller accommodation agenda in the county and we are currently working to a new traveller accommodation plan that brings us up to 2018. There is a plan, there are targets, we do chase down the money. There was a review of all money that was drawn down nationally by various local authorities recently and Wicklow fared quite well in that in that what we get we spend, we don't give money back. The two projects we are funding under traveller accommodation this year are two tiny projects, we received about 65,000. And that's to do an extension to one - to two houses actually in different parts of the county. Money is starting to flow again, there wasn't an awful lot of money, hardly any money for traveller accommodation during the downturn. That's changed. We have two large schemes that we are in the process of developing technically. They'll be going into the department in December, January. And the combined value of those two schemes will be in or around one and a half million. And they will look to improve significantly facilities on two of our halting sites,

Ballinteskin in Wicklow and Silver Bridge in Bray. But there are issues, of course there are issues and overcrowding on our halting sites is a major issue, and like every other Local Authority, we are in trouble there. And that's just a statement of fact. In relation to the tragedy in Carrickmines, you will be all aware that there is a safety audit being carried out at the moment, a fire safety audit on a lot of our schemes. We are actively engaging in that. We are all being brought up to Dublin Castle tomorrow to be briefed by the Department on fire safety issues and regulations in light of Carrickmines, and we are attending a local meeting in Bray on Wednesday afternoon to discuss the specifics of the fallout from Carrickmines in the county as well. So there is a lot of challenges ahead and somebody referenced the fact that traveller accommodation is not an easy area to work in, it's not easy for you as public representatives, it's not easy for us as officials, it's not easy for the traveller representative groups or the families themselves a lot of the time, because there are challenges in getting traveller accommodation projects across the line, but that's the situation, we will just do as best we can.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Margaret, thank you very much for giving us the facts surrounding this, and it sounds really great that we are doing so well with the different consultative committees and the fact that they're actually working in this county, I think it's really important that that message goes out loud and clear. Cllr Fitzgerald.
Cllr FITZGERALD: I was just listening to what Cllr Snell said and he is quite right, there is a lot of work going on here in Wicklow County Council, I am a member of the committee there with the chairperson is Nicola and at every meeting I think I brought up the issue of the Kilcarragh bends. Relatives of the people who were killed in Carrickmines contacted me last week, they are connected to the people on the road, there is 14 children there now at this stage and they invited me out there. They shouldn't be there. It's totally unsafe. And I have raised that at every single meeting and it has been taken on board but there are difficulties. There are the issues with availability of mainstream housing that will suit the needs but there is a difficulty with that for everyone, so the availability of housing, there have been issues there with rent allowance where the rent allowance has been reduced or whatever, and maybe the forms weren't sent back and people unfortunately then were homeless. But I said - I have said at several meetings that there is a tragedy awaiting at the Kilcarragh bends in Arklow. I happened to be out there last week and there is to many young people coming to the entrance, there is no sightlines, we wouldn't permit mainstream housing there so people shouldn't be living there. And I take on board what Cllr Snell has said about accommodation having been offered to members of the travelling community, sometimes they have difficulties with that accommodation that's offered, but I - from the figures last week that were on the daily papers,

Wicklow County Council didn't send any money back to the department and I want to thank Margaret Malone and the staff there for the work they're doing, for all our people who are without accommodation, not only the travelling community. I have met some members of the travelling community recently re rent allowance, and I mean it's a difficult time for everyone, the tragedy was a dreadful tragedy and hopefully we don't see any in this county in the coming months. Because if the weather forecast is right, we are coming in for the winter, them people that are at the Kilcarragh bends described to me where they're getting their electricity from, one generator, how many plugs and sockets and whatever else they have there and I mean certainly something dramatic is going to have to be done there. I mean the situation is maybe to put, proffer a plot of land in Dublin, but they're not simply available. I don't think they're available to be quite honest about it, but something needs to be done very quickly, because I hate predicting bad news but there is going to be a tragedy on them bends and I mean we are coming into bad weather, driving is difficult on them roads at night time and I just - hopefully we don't have problems there, and again I want to thank - I would - if I had to be critical, I would be, but I am not critical of the housing staff because I have to say I have been with Margaret on many occasions and others in there and there is a huge effort being made to accommodate people who deem it necessary, they're out in caravans, absolutely - that's on the side of a hill if people want to - I mean John Snell, Cllr Snell said he had been out there, I don't know whether you have been there Nicola or not, I mean you wouldn't put anything there. We need to move quickly on that situation before we do have a tragedy. So look at, there are a lot of things happening and maybe as has been said, unless you are in there and you know what's happening, you think there is nothing happening maybe, so it's not a headline grabber for me to be quite honest about it, it just needs to be rectified.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Thank you. Cllr Brady, do you want to...
Cllr BRADY: Just to sum up there Cathaoirleach. I agree with most of the comments that have been made and again Cllr Snell and others commended the staff here in Wicklow and the motion that I put forward isn't directed at Wicklow, it's a national problem as I perceive it. An awful lot of work goes on through Margaret, Mary Rose, Joe and all the other staff that deal with this issue. And both of the committees through Cllr Lawless and Cllr Snell, it's a constant issue on the agenda there through the county but the problem comes from I suppose a lack of commitment at a national level. And that's evident in the cuts that have happened over the last five, six years. From a high of 70 million down to 4.3 million. Margaret has said if the small amounts of money we have got from the department this year, 65,000, hopefully, hopefully things will change. There is hopefully going to be two proposals going up to the department.

One is I assume to do with Silver Bridge which is appalling conditions there, unsafe, kids walking along the side of the N11, you have temporary barriers had to be put up there just to try address that safety concern. So hopefully, hopefully something can be done. But I think the motion that I have put forward there has the support of Pavee Point, there is huge issues facing the traveller community there, not just to do with the traveller community, but rights in general, in terms of employment, in terms of education and that, so again I propose that we adopt that motion and that it is sent up to the Taoiseach, Enda Kenny.

## CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Mitchell.

Cllr MITCHELL: Can I just ask seeing as you are going to the meeting about fire safety, is there a report on what happened? What seems most peculiar to me is what was the cause of this, and how come so many people lost their lives in such a short time? What were the physical circumstances and therefore what can be done differently in the future or what should be changed? Because we don't get this sort of high death rate if a fire in a house usually, and I don't see why this is different, and I certainly think before we get too many comments about it that we should see what happened and how it can be prevented.
MS MALONE: We certainly locally don't have any insight or have any official report that states what happened. I think what tomorrow will be, there is already a national co-ordinated survey of all of our halting sites going on in terms of fire safety and our local Fire Service have been very active in going out with the traveller caretakers on all of our sites around the county, so that will just be reviewing the actual fabric of the units that are out there, and ingress and egress on to the sites and to identify what can be improved but in terms of the specifics of Carrickmines, I wouldn't be able to comment on that at this point.
CATHAOIRLEACH: In terms of the national supports that are there for travellers and the agencies in place, do you think there is a need for a new agency?
MS MALONE: I think it's worthy of further consideration. I think that probably and John mentioned it there, at a national level a push is probably needed to drive the agenda on to another degree and to get more targets achieved nationally. I suppose it's something that a lot of local authorities have been anticipating happening in the - forward into - going forward into the future. It's not to say from an official point of view or my point of view, it's not necessarily a negative thing, I don't see it as something that's taking power or services or role and responsibility away from the Local Authority, it's something that we could work very closely with, but I think possibly there is merit certainly in considering whether a national push could achieve more in terms of reaching traveller accommodation targets now than has happened heretofore.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay. So we have a proposal and a seconder. So is it agreed? Okay.

Grand. Margaret, thank you very much, and please extend our thanks to your team who do fantastic work. Okay, there is two proposals to go with regard to the Development Plan before we vote on the whole plan. So the next one is from Shay Cullen.
Cllr Shay CULLEN: Yeah, thanks Chairman. It's with regard to removing listing on the rectory at Annamoe, Roundwood, Co Wicklow; the reason being the entire house and outbuildings were extensively renovated in the 70s and none of the original features within the property remain. I suppose just to quickly elaborate on this, there is now -- there is some time passed with nobody living in the property and there is now extensive work needed to this property, and I would like it to be put out on display for the owners to come back to us with a full and detailed analysis of what they are proposing. So those are the reasons I would like to proposal to be carried please, thank you.
Cllr FOX: I'll second that.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay.
MS WALSH: Firstly, could I just point out that if it is proposed and agreed to delete this from the record of protected structures, there is no obligation on the owner to make any sort of submission. By carrying through that action, it doesn't draw a submission as has been suggested. They can simply sit back and wait for the final decision to be made and the plan be adopted. The Ministerial guidelines on the record of protected structures state that a building should only be removed from the record of protected structures following analysis; and should only be removed if either you are integrating the building into an Architectural Conservation Area, so it doesn't need a standalone listing, or it's been evaluated and the features that made it worthy of being listed are no longer present. Now in this case, that analysis has not been carried out and we would not support this proposal. Our heritage officer looked at it today, unfortunately she can't be here at this stage. And she is firmly of the view that this site and the main house and I think there is a coach house and another building on it, are of high architectural historical value, and that while there may have been features internally that may have been lost through the passage of time, that there are numerous significant external features that are worthy of protection. It's a very substantial structure, imposing structure, in the village of Annamoe and it's loss from the record of protected structures and the suggestion that that may allow it to be altered, or demolished or whatever the case may be, is extremely worrying. What we would suggest, and I have suggested this to a number of the members that have mentioned the record of protected structures in the last few months is the plan goes on display with this structure included in the record of protected structures and the landowner can make his submission while the plan is on display and include their views on why it should be delisted, including internal photographs or
anything at all that they want to submit. And we will do a detailed evaluation of that information, including our own inspection. And the Heritage Officer can evaluate those internal features if that's an issue and come back and report back to you next March on her view on whether this structure should remain or be removed from the record of protected structures, in light of the ministerial guidelines which are very clear. At that stage, you will have the full information in front of you to make an informed decision. At the moment many of you probably don't even know what building we are talking about here, so you have no idea whether it has any features at all, so you are being asked to make a decision without any information about this building, and I would urge caution in that regard. There will be plenty of opportunity for you to do it at the next stage if you so desire, and I would recommend you leave it to the March/April stage and allow that information to be gathered and us to present that information back to you. CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Fox.
Cllr FOX: Thank you, Chairman. Well I would actually support Cllr Cullen's proposal. Based on the fact that I have met the couple, I visited the house, it hasn't been lived in for a while and I actually think that the couple, the owners of the house have the best interests of the house at heart, and the house will be preserved better, I think, by people living in the house. The current listing is very restrictive in the fact that they can't renovate the interior of the house. It has proven a problem and if the listing remains, I feel that eventually the house will fall into disrepair, and I strongly believe that the best way of protecting this premises is to allow the couple to renovate it. I would support Cllr Cullen putting it out on display, I am confident the couple will make a detailed submission to inform the rest of the members of the situation, and look, if it comes that it needs an assessment, well then that's the road we have to go down. Thank you Chairman.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Snell.
Cllr SNELL: Thanks Cathaoirleach. I would be opposed to this based on what we are after hearing, the lack of information in front of us, and knowing the house and knowing the area, and I believe that we have to be governed by a heritage officer, particularly the members here who have any interest in heritage within the county, need be mindful of that before we do something like this. We have these professionals within the Local Authority here. And they're telling us that this is not the right thing to do, that it can be addressed further down the line if the information and the assessments are carried out, and I would issue a word of caution to all members to maybe let that procedure follow suit at a later stage, because I would - I wouldn't like to see anyone make a hasty decision here today, particularly when the heritage officer of Wicklow County Council won't out there - went out of her way today to view that and is relaying
that information here, and as I say, I in particular know the area, I know the structure and I think most other members don't and I think it's very unfair to put it in front of them at this late stage. CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Casey.
Cllr CASEY: I won't say too much on this, I know the house in question. Equally we sat here a few years ago, we had the same situation with the La Touché Hotel in Greystones, where the internal fabric of the hotel was not worthy of maintaining or minding at all and we came up with some way of maintaining the outside, which is now lending to a planning application coming in for that site. Maybe there is some room for some movement here on this. There is no doubt about it, the inside of this house is not anything like what was there years ago, it's changed on several occasions and the use of that house has changed on a number of occasions over the years, so I don't know, there is issues there that have to be solved on that site, and this is part of it, similar to the La Touché in Greystones, we had that problem there as well.
MS WALSH: The La Touché is a very good example, before a decision was made to remove it from the record of protected structures, a lot of detailed analysis was carried out. Internal inspections were carried out by experts and you were given advice before the decision was made. That was coupled with including it in an Architectural Conservation Area. So it completely complied with the ministerial guidelines on this. I suppose doing an ACA for Annamoe could be considered in due course, because there would be a number of buildings in Annamoe that would be worthy, it has a nice streetscape and there is a handful of buildings there that could be protected through ACA. But that's not something we could do at this late stage. It could be the objective of the plan to do an ACA for Annamoe and if we progress with that in the next two years, we can review the individual buildings in the ACA and delist them exactly like we did with the La Touché Hotel. But again I reiterate, that was done with plenty of information before that decision was made.

Just in terms of the renovation of the house, there is nothing in the law that says you can't renovate a protected structure. It's just the way in which you do it, and the heritage officer is always there to give assistance to people and if features have been removed, whether that be fireplaces or internal coving, all these internal features that can add character to a place, if they're gone already, they won't be made put them back, that would be just historical imitation. The heritage officer is always there to assist in the renovation of buildings. This is a site where there has been quite a lot of unauthorised development over the years as you know. And we would be very supportive of it being renovated back into active usage. There was just difficulties with outbuildings being converted to second and third homes on the site. That's been one of the
principal difficulties on this site is regularising the unauthorised development. I would question whether the listed status was the biggest hurdle. I think there were other hurdles as well. I am anticipating the response I might get from Cllr Fox here.
Cllr Shay CULLEN: Just to follow up on those points, the inside of this particular house has been completely transformed compared to when it was built. There is nothing left inside that's from the original building. The couple in question feel that their hands are tied, they can do nothing to improve their own home at this stage. There is - as you rightly said unauthorised development has gone on, not through these people but former owners and unfortunately they've been landed with this problem and have no way out, and the reality is they want to preserve the house. They don't want to alter any of the outer exterior of the house, but they want to - they want to be able to do up the house and improve the house to the standard that they want - that's achievable. So to suggest that they don't, they're not going to keep the character of the house, that's certainly not the case. They want to preserve what is there for generations.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Fox.
Cllr FOX: It's just important to note that this couple did not have any issues with unauthorised, it was the previous owners. And I just think it's important. And they did engage Deirdre Burns months ago, they have actively sought her opinion. This couple is - they're serious about preserving the exterior of the house.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay. We will have a vote.
MS GALLAGHER: Proposed by Cllr Cullen and seconded by Cllr Fox to remove the listing of The Rectory at Annamoe in Roundwood in Wicklow.
(A vote was taken)

That's eleven for, seven against, eight not present and six abstaining.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay. So on to the last proposal of the day,
Cllr O'NEILL: I propose a suspension of standing orders for the Notice of Motions.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Yeah, no, we said
MS GALLAGHER: Didn't we say that will go to protocol.
CATHAOIRLEACH: We said we were going to complete...
Cllr O'NEILL: There is motions going back almost a year, I have a very important one in there...

CATHAOIRLEACH: What we said was we were going to complete the Development Plan and we haven't completed the Development Plan yet.

Cllr O'NEILL: Even at that can we get some sort of a guarantee...
CATHAOIRLEACH: Your colleague...
Cllr O'NEILL: Notices of Motion will be discussed.
CATHAOIRLEACH: It's on the agenda for protocol which takes place after this meeting and I think she will probably raise it during that meeting. Unless you are doing a runner!
Cllr O'NEILL: We can vote on it very quick there
CATHAOIRLEACH: No, honestly, that's not the way we can do it, okay, sorry Gerry but we have to continue with the meeting, so okay, Daire.

Cllr NOLAN: Thank you Cathaoirleach. This proposal has been circulated to all the members and there are maps available at the rear if need be, if they wish to have a visual idea of where this development would be and of what is taking place. This proposed development would have tremendous gains for Newtown and the surrounding areas. The Newtown GAA club and ergo the community as a whole would gain from the provision of land that would allow for at least two extra pitches and training and juvenile pitches. The proposed development is located quite close to the settlement of Newtown and I think it's very suitable for - sorry, I am getting tongue tied now, it's very suitable and it wouldn't affect the rural area in a negative fashion. The growth projected for Newtown is a moderate growth town, this is in chapter two, page 15, division and core strategy table 2.4. In the Development Plan, in 2011, the population of Newtown was 3,073 people, this is to increase to 4,967 by 2022 and it will be projected to be 6,000 , that's the target, by 2028. And the population in Newtown is essentially projected to double within a few short years. The additional housing in this development would help alleviate the question of where are these people going to live because there is only one current active development in Newtown, Tower Homes, and while there are 800 new houses being built there, I do not know if that will be sufficient if these targeted projections are to be met.

And as well as the population increases, the additional amenities that would be provided as a result of this development would be of great use to the new people coming to the area. At present, there is zoned land in Newtown but I don't think there is sufficient land zoned for the targets in the Development Plan, perhaps they feel it -- but myself being familiar with the area, it is a town that's is growing and it is a town that needs more amenities and this development will provide those to an essential part of the community which is the GAA club and I would ask the support of the members here and the support of the Council. Thank you Cathaoirleach.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, do you have a seconder for that motion?
Cllr CASEY: I'll second that Chairman.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay.
CE: I'll start off the kicking. It's a bit unfortunate that a significant proposal like this, which is dated September 2015, was not submitted so that proper consideration here by the members could have been given to this. You are talking about nearly 80 acres being added on to Newtownmountkennedy Town Plan. Without any accuracy of the figures contained in being checked by the planning staff in here, just to give it a proper report for your good selves. I think the proper time to consider such a proposal will be in 2016 when the Newtown plan is up for discussion and review. I think anything before that would be premature. Now we have had a quick look at it, and if the members want Sorcha to outline the few issues, just off the top of her head at this stage...
MS WALSH: Okay, look, I suppose firstly, I would set out this conflicts with the principles and protocols and working mechanisms that we have worked very hard to establish over the last number of years, which is that when we are zoning land for residential development in a town, we use the appropriate plan to do that. In this case, there is a Local Area Plan for Newtownmountkennedy. That's where all the land in Newtownmountkennedy is zoned through that process in the boundary of that plan. And we don't have any foot loose non plan specific residential zonings in the County Development Plan anywhere else in the plan. If this is passed today, it will be the only one in the county. And I believe, I concur completely with Brian that there is a Local Area Plan process that we have been using successfully for ten years or more now, to do this kind of zoning and to make these kind of decisions.

Residential zoning is carried out following detailed analysis of the population housing requirements in any area, and once we establish there is a need for more land to be zoned, the planning team go out and evaluate the land that's available in that given town. We look at the topography, the drainage, road access, we look at footpaths, proximity to the town centre, proximity to schools and so on; we carry out a strategic environmental assessment that evaluates all the environmental criteria that comes into play; we carry out a flood risk assessment, an appropriate assessment, numerous assessments to evaluate any piece of land that might be considered, and we report back to you the Councillors on what land we recommend will be zoned. Often the members will come back and say look at this piece of land and we will report back on that before any decision is made to zone any substantial piece of land. None of this has been done on this piece of land. So again you will be asked to make a decision without any information on whether this land is suitable for zoning -- for housing zoning.

The core strategy of the Development Plan has already set out the population target and it sets out how much land needs to be zoned in Newtownmountkennedy going forward. The figures in this report, although I haven't had much time to go through it today, are completely erroneous and are based on the old Development Plan. There is a mention in this document that there is a need to zone for 600 additional houses in Newtown. Your own core strategy, which you have adopted, clearly identifies that the underzoning in Newtown is the order of 134 houses, not 600 . So the analysis and the data and the calculations in this document are misleading and erroneous, and I would caution against making a zoning decision on data that's not correct.

You all know, we tell you this ad nauseam about decisions being evidence based and rational and so on. And again we talk to you about the Christian versus Dublin City Council case over and over again, but again there is no evidence put to you at this point to support this zoning. There is no question of where are the people in Newtown going to live? There is enough land, vacant land, at the moment in Newtown for 1700 additional houses. We have a need for 1800 and there is land zoned for 1700 . We are only 100 short. This piece of land is to the order of 30 hectares. And so even at a lowish to medium density of 15 to the hectare which is six to the acre, you are talking 450 units. So it completely conflicts with your own core strategy. I would also mention it would conflict with Government guidelines on core strategies and zoning, it conflicts with the Planning Act which sets out what the core strategy is supposed to do and lines up your zoning with your population targets; conflicts with the regional plan and your own core strategy. So based on all of that, I couldn't see how we could support this amendment.

CATHAOIRLEACH: But apart from all that it's fine?
MS WALSH: Apart from all that, yeah!
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Winters.
Cllr WINTERS: Thanks Cathaoirleach, I seconded Cllr Fox's motion today that we would allow, or should be allowed to make amendments and there were several amendments made today that were not particularly significant. This would not fall under the remit of that. This is a proposal to rezone a significant amount of land you and I honestly believe if the people who were doing it had a greater or bigger plan in place they would have been more organised, they would have had it here, they would have spoken to the people, including ourselves, prior to the 1st of November, as the Manager correctly points out, this report was prepared in September 2015. I am happy to accept what Sorcha says that, some of the figures are erroneous, and that's only with her having a quick glance at it, I would urge the members not to accept this, it's - I worry when something is - which is this large is thrown as a curve ball at the end. I just think if
people had a good plan in place, that they could stand over, we would have all heard about it before now. Thanks.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Matthews.
Cllr MATTHEWS: In fairness to Cllr Nolan, he probably didn't think we were going to listen to the advice of the planners when we were making decisions. Thank you, Chairman.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Nolan.
Cllr NOLAN: Firstly, I would like maybe Cllr Matthews to clarify his comment.
Cllr MATTHEWS: Just that there has been a number of recommendations made today that the Chief Executive and the forward planning team, the professional planners have advised that we didn't take their advice on and probably the same was thought of this. It was not directed at Cllr Nolan at all. It was a comment on the process today. Is that clarified?
Cllr NOLAN: That's fine, I withdraw. My main concern would be just to let this go out to the people of Newtown, the community, put it on public display and let the people who live in that community decide whether this is a good thing or not for their community. I believe it would be. I wouldn't have brought this before the Council if I thought this was a bad or negative thing. The community and the GAA club in Newtown will benefit from this. And if this was put in public display and we could get feedback from people in the community, that's what I am asking, that's all I am asking. Thank you.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, Cllr Cullen.
Cllr Shay CULLEN: Yeah, obviously I just came in at the end. Look there is no doubt that this is very, very late in the day to be presenting a document to this meeting, and looking for I suppose an answer on it today. But it seems to me from where this is coming the GAA club have had a developer in offering them land to develop their facilities or their GAA facilities, and they seem, they see it as a proposal that they can't turn down and they're looking for support it seems for this developer, from the GAA club for the housing and care facility that seems to be proposed for this. Obviously I have major reservations, but at the same time is it possible that we could put it out there, and get the views of the people of Newtownmountkennedy, because I actually believe that the people in Newtownmountkennedy don't even know anything about this, so is there any mechanism that we can put it on display and push it back to March and have a real look at it, because I am not saying here that I am supporting it, but I am also not saying I am against it. I think it needs to be given time and certainly lots of meetings to tease this out to see is there anything in it of any value. I think that's a fair way of putting it.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Fox.
Cllr FOX: Thanks Chairman, and I too would be guided by the local Councillors on this from
the area, and I know Cllr Nolan is probably the closest to this. But just on the principle, on the process in relation to zoning, this is different than we have done before, where before people, landowners through architects and whatever put in their proposals for zonings and we looked at them and adjudicated on them. I am unsure of how people put forward proposals this time. I mean there was no facility, am I right, for the developer to put this to the - the process didn't allow for the developer to put this forward and the reason I raise this is for example Enniskerry, where we have zoned, okay. The owners of some of that zoned land are totally unaware that their land has been zoned. And I know in some cases have no intention whatsoever of developing that zoned land. Okay. So it's just the process is different than previous development plans. And we then say well we have X amount zoned. But in reality, we probably don't. And you take the large towns, Bray has a huge amount of capacity which it's never going to reach. Other towns are the same. So is there - are we saying that other towns like Newtown are going to take up the slack? Maybe you could offer clarity on that? So, look, again I am in favour - I am not committing to supporting this, but I am in favour of putting it out on display and getting the professional opinion back from the planners, and the local opinion from the community in Newtown. Thank you.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr Vance.
Cllr VANCE: Chairman just in regard to this, it was late arriving and we didn't have an awful lot of time to look at it. There is two issues here: I mean we can put it out to public display and see what the people think of it. Or the other thing would be that we could decide to leave it as it is, and then encourage both the GAA and the residents in Newtown to sit down and with the people that are proposing this particular plan, and do a lot more work on it to try and flesh out an awful lot of the questions that need to be answered in regard to it as well. And then spend the time in the next few months to actually talk to the local area councillors and various things like that and see if a proposal could be brought back to the Council to incorporate the sports facilities and zoning and stuff like that, if it could be possible that there could be agreement between the Local Authority members, the GAA there, and generally the people in the town as well, if that was possible. That's how I see it as a way of getting this. It maybe - I think probably the best way if we took a little bit more time, and asked them to come back and certainly I am always open to a suggestion in regard to helping out any sports organisation in regards to improving their facilities whatsoever, and I am always prepared to take decisions that would help them to do that, within reason. And I think that may be the best way to do it, to say listen I certainly wouldn't be opposed to it if people want to go out to public display, I'll support that, but I probably would prefer a situation that I had more information before me to allow me to make a
proper decision and we can make a decision in a couple of months time with other information there. We can make a decision in regard to that. And that decision then would go out for public consultation as well. So there are two issues there that people should consider maybe, and that it is a fairly significant development, and probably needs to be teased out a little bit more in my opinion. Thank you.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Thank you very much. Cllr Behan.
Cllr BEHAN: Chairman, just reading the report here, I see and this hasn't been referred to by Sorcha, but perhaps she might confirm if it's true, that the land has already been, previously been accepted by Bord Pleanala for development for a large scale tourism development. So there is already a permission on the site. It's not like we are talking about a Greenfield site here, so the concept or the principle of development has been accepted by An Bord Pleanala, is that correct just before I say anything else?
MS WALSH: I'll answer the question properly.
Cllr BEHAN: It's a simple yes or no?
MS WALSH: There was a planning permission granted for the site by An Bord Pleanala, for housing.
Cllr BEHAN: If I could just continue, you said there were inaccuracies, but this is obviously not an inaccuracy?
MS WALSH: No.
Cllr BEHAN: If the principle of development has been accepted by Bord Pleanala, we are talking about a situation of what type of development might be suitable in this area. We have already accepted there is a need for additional housing in this county, in Newtown and other areas. As far as I am concerned that's the first priority we should have in this county at the moment as public representatives. There is also an obvious spin-off in terms of the GAA club and sporting facility which aren't there at the moment. I also think that's a worthwhile development and I most certainly think at the very least we should put it on display to at least encourage local people and people generally and the planners to have the opportunity to assess it then and we can have a proper and realistic discussion and decision when the time comes. So on that basis I am certainly happy to agree that it goes on public display Chairman, thank you. CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay, just for my own point of view, Cllr Behan said something a little bit earlier on that the very fact that you put something out on display gives an indication that you actually support it. I am just saying what Cllr Behan said. I just can't believe this has come forward to us today in this way. I think it's virtually anti-democratic, and it's what I actually hated about the original time in 2004 when I came on the Council first. I think it's the wrong
way to do it. I think Cllr Vance makes probably an interesting proposal, and a better way to move forward. I have no idea whether this should be developed. I have no idea. But from learning from the experts, they're telling me that this is completely against our core strategy. So that's something I take very seriously. So I absolutely will not be supporting the concept of putting this on display today. Cllr Casey.
Cllr CASEY: Just a point of technicality. When it comes back to the draft plan in March or whenever it is, do we have the facility at that stage to make this amendment? Because it's clearly identified in the north of the county there is a shortfall of a significant number of units in relation to land and land zoning, there is over nearly 4,800 units required in the North and Newtown does tick that box in fairness to it, so I am just wondering if we were sitting here in March, can we make this proposal?
MS WALSH: You could make the proposal. This proposal would be, would conflict with the core strategy that you have already agreed. So you have a table in the plan that says Newtown is underzoned by 134 units and that will be addressed by a future Local Area Plan, the process which will commence in 2016. So to accept this proposal, you would have an internally inconsistent document. I don't see how the core strategy, the first four chapters of the Development Plan, could be put out on display with this with it because it would be completely inconsistent. What you could do next March or April is review the entire core strategy and add an extra 1,000 population on to Newtownmountkennedy, and then the zoning for 450 houses could then follow that. That 1,000 could come off Bray, Arklow, wherever you want it to come off. You do a recalibration of the core strategy. To reflect the submissions that might come in, you might review the zoning in the North of the county, say there might not be enough land in Bray to make up the shortfall, let's look somewhere else. You might be looking at the population target for Newtownmountkennedy as part of the core strategy and if the output of that is that we are underzoned by 500 or 600 houses rather than 100 , then suddenly we are in a cycle of having to zone more land. It's an holistic process that's comprehensive that deals with everything all together. At the moment you are dealing with a spot zoning, a one-off zoning that's unrelated to any other aspect of your core strategy, and will clearly be in conflict with your core strategy. Your population table will not add up. Your core strategy zoning table will not add up. Your settlement strategy won't stack up. You are raising a red flag straight away to the regional authority and to the Minister that you have a plan that does not comply with the Planning Act, so I would caution you on that.

As Cllr Casey correctly points out, this can be reviewed in March as part of the overall
amendments that you can make next March. The stage next March is usually the much more significant stage for amendments rather than this stage for any of you who have been through this before, because you have the views of the public at that stage. The report at that stage is usually a couple of thousand pages long. At this stage we have no knowledge of the public's view on this. So you are supposed to be putting out a draft plan that meets Government guidelines, core strategy guidelines, compliant with the regional plan and so on and with this amendment, you wouldn't have.

Just to answer one of Cllr Fox's questions, saying things seem to be different now. The Planning Act was changed in 2010, so things are different. The process for doing zoning is generally through the Local Area Planning process which as I said there is a plan for Newtownmountkennedy and a new provision was brought in for towns under 5,000, you could do these mini town plans. We have those two processes. Newtown wasn't brought into the County Plan as one of these mini plans because its target is more than 5,000 . It's a standalone LAP that will be reviewed as soon as the County Plan is reviewed. There will be ample opportunity to look at this zoning as part of the Local Area Plan process, but things have changed quite a bit. You are supposed to decide the strategy and the core strategy. That's what you are supposed to do and then set out the zoning. And you are supposed to do that I suppose without the public having made specific zoning submissions. They can make submissions about the core strategy, about the population targets for their town, that type of thing. But you then make the call on the zoning provisions. And I would hazard a guess that the idea is to allow you to make those decisions away from the pressure of lobbying and so on. But look that's just my inference from the Planning Act. But as Cllr Casey said, the opportunity to come back in March is probably a better opportunity because there will be more information we will be able to give you, we will be able to analyse the data, the housing figures put into this submission.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay. Let's go for a vote.
MS GALLAGHER: Proposed by Cllr Nolan, seconded by Cllr Casey.
(A vote was taken)

Cllr ANNESLEY: I am gathering my thoughts -- yeah I am for.
Cllr BOURKE: I am in a conflict there, there could be relations of mine own that land up there. My grandfather was born there, Ballinahinch.
(The vote continued)

MS GALLAGHER: That's 14 for, five against, eleven not present and two abstaining. CATHAOIRLEACH: Thank you members. It's been a long day, but -- sorry, oh know, we have to vote on the overall plan. My apologies. The problem is that it's inconsistent with the core strategy. So I don't know what you want to do.

## CE: Take legal advice.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Do we vote or not?
CE: We have gone through the process, I think you can vote. It's whether it's valid after that decision. I have to take legal advice. It's gone through a process.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Do we do a final vote. Okay members, we will have a final vote on the whole plan. But legal advice will be taken as to whether or not there is any . in putting the plan out on display as it's inconsistent we need to take a final vote on the whole plan to put it out on draft display, but legal advice will be taken by the Chief Executive, because the plan is now inconsistent and it may not - there may be no point in actually putting it out on display. Cllr FOX: It's still a draft, isn't it?
MS WALSH: It has to comply with the Planning Act.
CE: We won't argue the toss of whether or not it's legal. It should be voted that it is a draft but I'll be taking legal advice on the basis of ...
(A vote was taken)

Cllr LAWLESS: For, but objection for the last part of the amendment.
Cllr MATTHEWS: Abstain.
(The vote continued)

MS GALLAGHER: That's 20 for, two against, nine not present and one abstaining. CATHAOIRLEACH: Right members, there is a meeting on the 23rd, which is the estimates meeting, that will be an early start meeting again. And sorry, do you want to...
MS GALLAGHER: I just want to remind elected members of the requirements under the Lobbying Act 2014 regulations.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay there is a protocol meeting right now.
MS GALLAGHER: And you were circulated with questionnaires, we have been asked to
remind elected members, you have the whole month to complete them, just from time to time. 23rd of November, 10.30.

Cllr RUTTLE: Complimentary lunch?
CE: If we go along we will get tea.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Thank you members.

The meeting adjourned at 7pm
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